A melt-focusing zone in the lithospheric mantle preserved in the Santa Elena Ophiolite, Costa Rica
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Abstract

The Santa Elena Ophiolite in Costa Rica is comprised of a well-preserved fragment of the lithospheric mantle that formed along a paleo-spreading center. Within its exposed architecture, this ophiolite records a deep section of the melt transport system of a slow/ultra-slow spreading environment, featuring a well-developed melt-focusing system of coalescent diabase dikes that intrude the peridotite in a sub-vertical and sub-parallel arrangement. Here we present an integrated analysis of new structural data, $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ geochronology, major and trace element geochemistry and radiogenic isotopes data from the diabase dikes in order to elucidate the tectonic setting of the Santa Elena ophiolite. The dikes are basaltic and tholeiitic in composition. Petrological models of fractional crystallization suggest deep pressures of crystallization of $>0.4$ GPa for most of the samples, which is in good agreement with similar calculations from slow/ultra-slow spreading ridges and require a relatively hydrated ($\sim0.5$ wt% $\text{H}_2\text{O}$) MORB-like source composition. The diabase dikes share geochemical and isotope signatures with both slow/ultra-slow spreading ridges and back-arc basins and indicate mixing of a DMM source and an enriched mantle end-member like EMII. The $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ geochronology yields an age of $\sim131$ Ma for a previous pegmatitic gabbroic magmatic event that intruded the peridotite when it was hot and plastic and an age of $\sim121$ Ma for the diabase intrusions, constraining the cooling from near asthenospheric conditions to lithospheric mantle conditions to $\sim10$ Ma. Our findings suggest a complex interplay between oceanic basin and back-arc extension environments during the Santa Elena Ophiolite formation. We propose an alternative hypothesis for the origin of Santa Elena as an obducted fragment of an oceanic core complex (OCC).
1. Introduction

To understand the evolution of our planet, it is fundamental to constrain melt generation and transport processes that occur in the mantle. In an extensional environment, when the upper mantle crosses its solidus through decompression, melting initiates as an inter-granular network of melt (Karato and Jung, 1998; Kelemen et al., 2000; Faul, 2001; Dasgupta and Hirschmann, 2006). Then, physical and chemical changes during reactive melt transport allow segregation of the partial melts increasing the porosity of the upper mantle host (Kelemen et al., 1997; Kelemen et al., 2000; Spiegelman et al., 2001). At extensional environments like mid-ocean ridges (Fig. 1), basaltic melts separate from the peridotite residue and react with the lithospheric mantle as they rise buoyantly through this network of melt (Kelemen et al., 2000; Bouilhol et al., 2011). After these ascending melts coalesce and evolve beneath the ridge axis they erupt to produce new oceanic crust (O'Hara, 1985).

Because it is difficult to reach deep segments of extensional regimes (i.e. mid-ocean ridges, fore-arc basins, back-arc basins) we rely on more accessible geologic features as analogous to these environments, such as ophiolites. Ophiolites consist of ultramafic and mafic mantle lithologies that formed along spreading centers and get subsequently obducted or exposed onto continents by tectonic processes. Conceptually, ophiolite assemblages are composed from bottom to top, of peridotite (including lherzolite, harzburgite and dunite) variably altered to serpentinite; gabbro and diabase intrusions; and extrusive sequences of pillow lavas and massive flows that are typically overlain by deep-sea sediments (Coleman, 1971; Dewey and Bird, 1971; Dewey, 1976; Steinmann et al., 2003; Dilek and Furnes, 2011; Dilek and Furnes, 2014). Although such lithological associations have commonly been attributed to mid-ocean ridge or back-arc origin, other interpretations for ophiolite origins also exist,
such as supra-subduction zone (SSZ) ophiolites, plume-related ophiolites and continental margin ophiolites (see Dewey and Casey, 2011; Dilek and Furnes, 2014 and references therein).

Based on geochemical affinities and order of mineral crystallization, Dilek and Furnes (2011) developed a first order classification, separating ophiolites as subduction-related and subduction-unrelated types. Within their classification, mid-ocean ridge (MOR) type ophiolites show geochemical consistency with normal mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB). Depending on the proximity to features like mantle plumes, the geochemical affinity may fluctuate from MORB all the way to enriched MORB (EMORB). In contrast, subduction-related ophiolites show a progressive geochemical affinity from MORB-like to Island Arc Tholeiite (IAT) and Boninite in the later stages of SSZ ophiolites (Dilek and Furnes, 2011).

Even though the geochemical affinities expected in ophiolites are well-established, secondary processes occur after the formation of new oceanic crust must also be considered. Hydrothermal systems that transport heat from the magma lenses to the surface interact with the crust resulting in hydrothermal alterations and ocean floor metamorphism (Pearce, 2008; Pearce, 2014 and references therein). Enrichments in large ion lithophile elements (LILE) that are usually attributed to an arc-related fluid interaction between the subducting slab and the mantle wedge, could easily be mistaken with seawater interaction and contamination during the emplacement of hot oceanic crust, and vice versa (Boudier et al., 1988; Nicolas and Boudier, 2003). Therefore, the discrimination between MOR-type ophiolites and SSZ ophiolites has to be done carefully and by integrating several geochemical tools. Consequently, in order to accurately assess the geochemical fingerprinting of ophiolites, it is necessary to look at the fluid-immobile element data. Fluid-immobile elements remain unaltered during weathering and low-temperature alteration. These elements are characterized by high to intermediate charge/radius ratios and include most of the rare-earth elements (REE) and high field strength elements (HFSE) (Pearce, 2014). The concentration of these elements is controlled by the chemistry of the magma
source and the crystallization processes that occur during the magmatic evolution. Several authors have worked on creating fluid-immobile element proxies, which are compared to element ratios that correlate with a specific geological process (Cann, 1970; Pearce and Cann, 1971; Floyd and Winchester, 1975; Pearce, 1975; Shervais, 1982; Sun and McDonough, 1989; Pearce, 2008).

Another useful parameter for ophiolite characterization is its preserved architecture. Variations of the magma supply and spreading rates can modify the architecture of the new oceanic lithosphere (Nicolas and Boudier, 2003; Dilek and Furnes, 2011). Ishiwatari (1985) linked petrological and compositional features of ophiolites to their genesis and to variations in the spreading rates (Fig. 1). In this regard, the structure and composition of an ophiolite can aid to the elucidation of the paleo-spreading rate (Cannat, 1996; Godard et al., 2000; Dick et al., 2003; Michael et al., 2003; Godard et al., 2008; Cannat et al., 2009; Till et al., 2012). Additionally, the composition of the constituent peridotites and associated melts can contribute to characterize the origin of an ophiolite. For instance, while harzburgite compositions may represent an uppermost oceanic mantle melt source and higher degrees of partial melting, lherzolite compositions evidence a deeper oceanic mantle, as they represent more fertile residues subject to lesser degrees of partial melting (Fig. 1) (Jackson and Thayer, 1972; Boudier and Nicolas, 1985; Dilek and Furnes, 2011). Thus, ophiolite segments around the globe provide windows into fossilized melt transport systems that once fed the oceanic or arc crust and upper mantle. The presence of a zone of intense dike emplacement that represents the melt-focusing part of the system is a common feature in these exposed sections of the mantle (Robinson et al., 2008). When present, these dike networks provide an insight to the magmatic origin and geochemical evolution of a particular ophiolite.

Our study presents new $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages, major and trace element data, and radiogenic isotopes from melts that intruded the Santa Elena Ophiolite, located in the northwestern Pacific coast of Costa Rica. This ophiolite represents an emplaced fragment of 250 km$^2$ of upper mantle lithologies
overthrusting an ancient accretionary complex (Tournon, 1994; Baumgartner and Denyer, 2006; Denyer et al., 2006; Gazel et al., 2006; Denyer and Gazel, 2009; Tournon and Bellon, 2009; Escuder-Viruete and Baumgartner, 2014) (Fig. 2a). Occurrences of diabase dikes around the peninsula are frequent, however the well-preserved diabase dike transport system is largely exposed in two different sections of this ophiolite: the northwestern swarm and the southeastern swarm (Fig. 2c). In both outcrops, the diabases intrude lherzolite peridotite (Gazel et al., 2006; Tournon and Bellon, 2009). The goal of this integrated structural, geochemical and petrological analysis of the diabase melt-focusing system is to elucidate the magmatic origin and evolution of the Santa Elena Ophiolite and the implications of its origin in the understanding of melt transport and the evolution of the lithospheric mantle.

2. Geotectonic Background of the Santa Elena Ophiolite

Costa Rica is currently situated near the triple junction of the Cocos, Caribbean and Nazca plates (DeMets, 2001). Across the Middle American Trench, the Cocos plate is being subducted underneath the Caribbean plate resulting in an active volcanic front (Saginor et al., 2011; Saginor et al., 2013) (Fig. 2b). A series of oceanic complexes have been accreted onto the Caribbean Plate along the Pacific side of Costa Rica including the Santa Elena Ophiolite (Tournon et al., 1995; Hauff et al., 2000; Hoernle et al., 2004; Denyer and Gazel, 2009; Herzberg and Gazel, 2009; Buchs et al., 2013). Several authors correlated the Santa Elena Ophiolite with other serpentinized peridotite locations along the Costa Rica-Nicaragua border suggesting that it represents an E-W suture zone between different tectonic blocks (Tournon et al., 1995; Hauff et al., 2000; Baumgartner et al., 2008; Denyer and Gazel, 2009).

The Santa Elena Ophiolite, constitutes a preserved fragment of the upper mantle that includes evidence for at least two different magmatic intrusive events. The oldest event is constituted by
decimetric to centimetric pegmatitic gabbroic veins that intrude the lherzolite without showing any sign of cooling margins, suggesting that they were emplaced when the peridotite was still at high temperatures and in a plastic state (Gazel et al., 2006). The second and younger event is the diabase dike melt-focusing system, which crops out along the peninsula (Figs. 2 and 3); generally presenting cooling margins in contact with the peridotite. The pillow basalts from Murcielago Islands (~110 Ma; Hauff et al., 2000) do not show a clear lithological relation to the rest of the Santa Elena Ophiolite. Even though they have been interpreted as the uppermost basaltic sequence in agreement with ophiolite architectural models, the contact between this unit and the peridotite cannot be observed in the field. These pillow lavas are probably related to other pillow basalts and mafic lithologies in the Nicoya peninsula included in the Nicoya Complex (Dengo, 1962). This complex is interpreted as segments of oceanic plateaus and the Caribbean Large Igneous Province (CLIP), with geochemical affinities that are unrelated to the Santa Elena Ophiolite (Sinton et al., 1997; Hoernle et al., 2004; Geldmacher et al., 2008).

The Santa Elena ophiolite is overlain by Campanian (Upper Cretaceous) rudists-bearing reef limestones (Fig. 1a) (Meschede and Frisch, 1994; Gazel et al., 2006; Baumgartner et al., 2008; Escuder-Viruete and Baumgartner, 2014) suggesting that it was emplaced during the Upper Cretaceous with the peridotitic complex at the hanging-wall and an igneous-sedimentary complex at the footwall, known as the Santa Rosa Accretionary Complex (Baumgartner and Denyer, 2006; Denyer and Gazel, 2009; Buchs et al., 2013). A unit of layered gabbros (see Fig. 2a) has also been identified at the footwall (Tournon and Azéma, 1980; Hauff et al., 2000; Arias, 2002); this unit yielded an \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar age of 124±4.1 Ma (Hauff et al., 2000). Previous work from Gazel et al. (2006) interpreted a suprasubduction zone origin for the Santa Elena ophiolite, considering the layered gabbros unit as a part of the ophiolite. Here this interpretation is revised in light of the new modern analytical data and our detail geologic mapping, as
the layered gabbros unit belongs to the footwall, in a highly deformed shear zone below the overthrusting ophiolite (see Fig. 2a).

Based on spatial relations between the lithological units that compose Santa the Elena Ophiolite at least two rotation events can be identified in its geologic record. The pillow basalts from Murcielago Islands display a near 80° tilt towards the north, while the northern Cretaceous (Campanian) to Paleogene sedimentary cover show a dipping angle of 50-40° towards the north (Fig. 2a). However, the Plio-Pleistocene ignimbrite veneer appears unaffected by the rotation (dipping angles of 5° E). These relative structural disposition suggests that the two tilting events (one pre-Campanian age and the second one roughly in the Upper Eocene) affected the entire sequence for a current net rotation of 80° towards the north (Denyer et al., 2006; Denyer and Gazel, 2009).

3. Materials and methods

3.1 Structural methods and peridotite/dike determinations

Diabase dikes are exposed along the coasts and riverbeds of the Santa Elena Ophiolite intruding the peridotite at a variable density of diabase vs peridotite between localities (Fig. 2a). A spatial analysis was performed along the northwestern and southern coasts of the peninsula in order to quantitatively determine the dike density, dike orientation, and structural relationships (Fig. 2a and c). We collected a continuous photographic record and structural measurements (strike/dip angles) of all the diabase dike outcrops on the coast. The data were corrected using the program Win-TENSOR (Delvaux and Sperner, 2003) to account for a tectonic 80° tilt of the entire complex towards the north in order to obtain the original strike and dip angle of the diabase dikes. This tilt creates an apparent 80° increase in the dip
angles of the dikes intruding the block (Table TS1, Supplementary Materials). The continuous photographic record from the coastal outcrops was used to generate panoramic sections of the peninsula (Fig. 3). We carried out a 2D analysis, which included calculation of Cartesian areas in each of the panoramic images created. Considering the rock exposure areas of every outcrop as the total area (100%) we calculated the relative abundance of peridotite and diabase. We focused the analysis on the areas that display a continuous occurrence of peridotite and diabase (i.e., along the NW and SE coast of Santa Elena peninsula) (Fig. 2a, c).

3.2 Samples and analytical methods

Fresh diabase dikes were sampled from coastal exposures and riverbeds in the Santa Elena Ophiolite. Outcrop location, GPS coordinates, and structural data are reported in Table TS1 (Supplementary Materials). We also sampled pegmatitic gabbroic veins to constrain the timing of the evolution of this ophiolite given the spatial relationship between the units.

Using a rock saw fresh pieces of the samples were cut and later crushed into gravel, cleaned with deionized water and dry-sieved to get rock chips of 425-300 µm in diameter. To obtain the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ data, the groundmass and mineral separates were irradiated for 60 hours at the Oregon State University TRIGA-type reactor in the Cadmium-Lined In-Core Irradiation Tube (Tables TS2 a to d, Supplementary Materials). At the University of Wisconsin-Madison Rare Gas Geochronology Laboratory, incremental heating experiments were conducted using a 25 Watt CO$_2$ laser. Each step of the experiment included heating at a given laser power, followed by an additional 10 min for gas cleanup. The gas was cleaned with two SAES C50 getters, one of which was operated at ~450 °C and the other at room temperature. Blanks were analyzed after every second laser heating step, and were less than $5 \times 10^{-20}$ mol/V for $^{36}\text{Ar}$ and $2 \times 10^{-17}$ mol/V for $^{40}\text{Ar}$, respectively. Argon isotope analyses were performed using a MAP 215–50,
and the isotope data was reduced using ArArCalc software version 2.5 (http://earthref.org/ArArCALC/). Ages were calculated from the blank-discrimination and decay-corrected Ar isotope data after correction for interfering isotopes produced from potassium and calcium in the nuclear reactor (Table TS2, Supplementary Materials). Ages are reported with 2σ uncertainties (includes the J uncertainty) and are calculated relative to a Fish Canyon standard age of 28.201 ± 0.046 Ma (Kuiper et al., 2008) and a value for \( \lambda^{40}\text{K} \) of \( 5.463 \pm 0.107 \times 10^{-10} \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (Min et al., 2000) (Tables TS2 a to d, Supplementary Materials).

For major and trace element analyses, alteration-free rock chips were selected under a stereoscope microscope and were powdered in an alumina mill. Major element (wt%) concentrations were measured by X-ray fluorescence (XRF; Siemens SR3000 spectrometer) at the University of Auckland following the methods described by Norrish and Hutton (1969). In general, precision for each major element is better than ±1% (1σ) of the reported value as described by Norrish and Hutton (1969). Trace elements were measured by laser-ablation inductively-coupled-plasma mass-spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) at the Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, using Excimer LPX120 laser (193 nm) and Agilent 7500 series mass spectrometer following the method of Eggins et al. (1998). Samples were run in batches of 15 using the NIST612 glass standard at the beginning and end of each run to calibrate. USGS glass standards BCR-2 and AGV-2 were also run to monitor analytical performance. Three replicate analyses of standard BCR-2 and two replicates for standard AGV-2 indicate precision of <4% (RSD) and accuracy better than 8% confidence level, with the exception of the elements Ni, Cu, Cr, La and Ta (Table TS3, Supplementary Materials).

Basaltic glass samples collected from the Murcietlago Island pillow basalts rims were selected under a stereoscope microscope, and arranged in a 1-inch round epoxy mount which was later polished for electron microprobe (EMP) analyses. These analyses were performed at the Electron Beam Laboratory at Virginia Tech with a Cameca SX50 Electron Microprobe using a 60 µm diameter electron
beam at a 10 nA current a 15 kV acceleration voltage. Trace element contents were obtained at Virginia Tech LA-ICPMS lab facilities using an Agilent 7500ce ICPMS coupled with a Geolas laser ablation system. Three analyses were performed in each glass using a 90 µm diameter spot and at 10 Hz repetition rate. Standards were run at the start and end of the run to correct for drift. The data was reduced using the USGS standards BCR-2G, BHVO-2G and BIR-1. Replicates of these standards indicate a precision of <5% (RSD) and accuracy better than 10% for the elements analyzed, with the exception of the elements Ni, Cu, Cr, Zn, Sr, Ta, Pb and U that was better than 30% (Table TS3, Supplementary Materials).

Radiogenic isotope analyses were conducted in the Geochronology and Isotope Geochemistry Laboratory at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill (Table TS3, Supplementary Materials). 500 mg of the selected powdered samples were digested with a mixture of HF+HNO$_3$ in Teflon beakers. These solutions were placed on a hotplate for three days at a temperature of 165 °C. Each sample was dried and re-dissolved in HCl. After their dissolution three aliquots were separated for Sr, Nd and Pb, each one containing 5 mg of sample; these aliquots were dried and re-dissolved in the appropriate acid solution to undergo ion exchange chromatography columns (Gray et al., 2008). The separates were analyzed using a Micromass VG Sector 54 thermal ionization mass spectrometer (TIMS). Strontium measurements were normalized to $^{86}\text{Sr}/^{88}\text{Sr} = 0.1194$, and Nd isotopes to $^{146}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = 0.7219$. Standard replicate measurements yielded a mean $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.710257 \pm 0.000022$ (2σ) for NBS 987, a mean $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = 0.512112 \pm 0.000011$ (2σ) for JNd-1, and a mean $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{207}\text{Pb} = 1.0940 \pm 0.0003$ (2σ) for NBS-981 with a mean fractionation correction of 0.098 ± 0.008% per amu (Coleman et al., 2004; Gray et al., 2008).

4. Results

4.1 Structural analysis of the diabase unit
After correcting for the 80° northward tilt of the ophiolite determined in the field the general strike orientation for the diabase dikes throughout the Santa Elena Ophiolite is NNE in a sub-parallel arrangement. The resulting dip angles reflect a predominance of angles higher than 60°, with a primary population of dikes dipping between 70° and 90°. Evidence of this disposition is largely visible at the NW coast of the peninsula (Fig. 2a).

The northwestern dike swarm (Fig. 2a) represents the higher density of diabase intruding the peridotite in the entire ophiolite with a dip between 70° and 80° (Fig. 2c). Our density analysis suggests that in this section there is a significant increase of diabase dikes from ~78% to ~92% (relative to the peridotite) towards the southwest in the direction of Punta Santa Elena (Fig. 2a), where the peridotites became boudins embedded in the net of diabase dikes.

The outcrops along the southern coast of the peninsula are predominantly composed of peridotite with scarcer occurrences of diabase dikes. In this area the presence of diabase versus peridotite is less than 20% (Fig. 2a). The preferential strike direction for the southeastern dike swarm is towards the NW, with a secondary population striking ENE-WSW. In this area, the arrangement of the intrusions is clearly not parallel; however, most of the dip angles remain in a range between 60° and 90° (Fig. 2c). Additionally, other diabase intrusions measured in the interior of the peninsula yielded a preferential strike of NNE-NNW with sub-vertical dip angles (Fig. 2a).

### 4.2 Geochronology and geochemistry data

The four new $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages collected in this work yielded an average age of 121 Ma (considering the uncertainty within the measurements) for the diabase dike intrusion event (Tables S2 a to d). Diabase samples collected from the NW end of the Santa Elena Peninsula yielded 126.6 ± 2.1 Ma to 116 ± 5.1 Ma (Fig. 2a). A sample from the southern coast of the peninsula yielded an age of 118.7 ± 3.5 Ma.
Also, a diabase sample from the inner part of the ophiolite was analyzed to achieve a good geographical distribution throughout the peninsula; this sample provided an age of 124.7 ± 3.0 Ma. One of the pegmatitic gabbroic veins sampled that intruded the peridotite when it was still hot and plastic (Gazel et al., 2006) yielded an age of 131 ± 3.8 Ma (Table TS2e). Detailed step-heating experiments and $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ spectra for all the samples are available in Tables TS2 a to e (Supplementary Materials).

For this study we report 18 new major and trace element analyses for diabase dikes and 5 for Murcielago Islands basaltic glasses (Table TS3, Supplementary Materials). The compositions of the diabase dikes are basaltic and belong to the tholeiitic magmatic series (Fig. 4a, b). Petrographically, they are aphyric and consist of a fine grained equigranular ensemble of semi-euhedral clinopyroxene and plagioclase and minor olivine, with a predominately ophitic texture characteristic of mafic hypabyssal intrusions. The rim glasses from Murcielago Islands are basaltic-andesite in composition and also belong to the tholeiitic series (Fig. 4a, b).

Along with the new analyses provided in this work from the diabase dikes, we also compiled geochemical data from previous studies (Kussmaul et al., 1982; Tournon, 1984; Wildberg, 1984; Meschede and Frisch, 1994; Tournon, 1994; Ragazzi, 1996; Beccaluva et al., 1999; Hauff et al., 2000; Arias, 2002; Tournon and Bellon, 2009) (Table TS3, Supplementary Materials), which are plotted as a shaded area in Fig. 4. Major element data were plotted against MgO (Fig. 5 and 6) to evaluate differentiation trends in the sample suite collected. Trace element data, normalized to a primitive mantle composition (McDonough and Sun, 1995) show a depleted composition in light rare earth elements (LREE) and a flat pattern in the heavy rare earth elements (HREE), suggesting a garnet-free, shallow mantle source (e.g. Salters and Stracke, 2004) (Fig 7). Elevated concentrations in fluid-mobile large ion lithophile elements (LILE) such as Ba, K and Sr are indicative of seafloor alteration (Staudigel et al., 1981; Staudigel et al., 1996; Staudigel, 2003). Thus, to avoid the signature of ocean floor alteration, only fluid immobile ratios were used to generate the discrimination diagrams shown in Fig. 8. The
Murcielago Islands pillow basalt glass rims show a more enriched incompatible-element signature compared to that of the Santa Elena diabase dikes (Fig 7e) which is almost identical to the basaltic glasses that belong to the Caribbean Large Igneous Province (CLIP) and other basaltic suites found in Nicoya Peninsula (Hauff et al., 1997; Sinton et al., 1997; Hauff et al., 2000; Hoernle et al., 2004).

The new Sr, Nd, and Pb radiogenic isotope analyses were carried out using the freshest samples of the diabase dikes, however Sr isotopes could still be affected by any low-grade ocean floor alteration, and thus explaining the spread in the data (Table TS3). The measured diabase dikes isotope values range from 0.70283 to 0.70396 in \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \); 0.51299 to 0.51341 in \( ^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \); 18.149 to 18.536 in \( ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} \); 15.500 to 15.595 in \( ^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} \); and 37.839 to 38.166 in \( ^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} \) (Fig. 9). These measured Sr-Nd-Pb ratios were then calculated to the initial (in) eruptive ratios using the parent/daughter ratios from elements reported in Table TS3 and an average age of 121 Ma (Table TS3, Supplementary Materials). Age corrected ratios representative of the mantle source were then projected to 121 Ma using parent/daughter ratios obtained inverting the source composition from the most primitive diabase dike sample (A-28-7-05) to recreate the evolution of the source in 121 Ma and compared with recently erupted material. The model was done using aggregated fractional melting equations (Shaw, 1970) with a modal composition of 50% olivine, 25% orthopyroxene, 20% clinopyroxene and 5% spinel and the partition coefficients compiled by Kelemen et al. (2003). This data were plotted in Fig. 9 and discussed in section 5.4.

5. Discussion

5.1 Architecture of the Santa Elena Ophiolite: diabase melt focusing zone analysis
The arrangement of dike intrusions in different tectonic environments provides important insight into the type of melt emplacement that occurred at a given location. For instance, radial arrangements of dikes typically indicate environments such as arc volcanoes or ocean islands (i.e. Ancochea et al., 2008; Acocella and Neri, 2009; Maccaferri et al., 2011). Whereas, in environments characterized by extension regimes, melts are likely to migrate perpendicularly to the direction of the minimum compressive stress (Macdonald, 1982; Gudmundsson, 1990a; Paquet et al., 2007; Gudmundsson, 2011), resulting in sub-parallel to parallel dike assemblages. This commonly occurs at mid-ocean ridges and back arc basins, where the intrusions normally show similar strike orientations perpendicular to extension as well as parallel sub-vertical arrangements.

Ophiolites, as preserved fragments of extension environments (e.g., mid-ocean ridges, back arc basins), usually display sheeted dike complexes composed by dike-intruding-dike structures of tholeiitic composition, that have been interpreted as the feeder channels between magma chamber/lenses and the overlying extrusive oceanic crust (Robinson et al., 2008 and references therein). At fast spreading ridges, such as in the exposed section at Hess Deep in the Pacific, the sheeted dike complex is a well-developed feature of the oceanic crust suggesting a high spreading rate and a steady magma supply (Stewart et al., 2005; Veloso et al., 2014). In contrast, at slow (<60 mm/yr full rate), and ultraslow spreading (<20 mm/yr full rate) ridges the magma generation is slow and tectonic extension and detachment faulting are the predominant trigger for melting, resulting in the absence of a well-developed sheeted dike complex (Snow and Edmonds, 2007; Robinson et al., 2008; Lagabrielle et al., 2015). The Santa Elena Ophiolite preserves a relatively high density of diabase intrusions, however, in contrast to sheeted dike complexes, it lacks the typical gabбро–sheeted dike–basalt sequence and instead the dikes intrude the lithospheric mantle peridotite directly and there is not an overlying well-developed basaltic crust.
The absence of horizontal intrusions indicates that during melt migration no rheological or mechanical barrier was encountered that led to lateral migration. The dike swarms exhibit an almost vertical arrangement. Since dike emplacement tends to follow pre-existing paths, we suggest that this vertical to sub-vertical emplacement corresponds to the location of previous extension fractures, perpendicular to the direction of the minimum compressional stress. The results presented in this work indicate that the Santa Elena Ophiolite was formed in a tectonic environment subject to extension, with an expected dike arrangement of a mid-ocean ridge system (e.g. Gudmundsson, 1990b; Gudmundsson, 2011).

Mid-ocean ridge systems with slow and ultra-slow spreading rates can account for the emplacement of almost exclusively vertical intrusions due to limited melt productivity (Michael and Cornell, 1998; Dick et al., 2003; Gudmundsson, 2011). In these environments, dikes form at greater depths intruding directly in the lithospheric mantle. Even though it has been recognized that the rheological barrier of the crust-mantle boundary favors the formation of melt ponding (i.e., magma chambers or lenses) (Gudmundsson, 2011), there is no field evidence for such melt accumulations in the Santa Elena Ophiolite. Commonly, melt migration in slow and ultra-slow spreading mid-ocean ridges show little and generally deep melt ponding as a consequence of the low rates of melt productivity in this tectonic environment (Michael and Cornell, 1998). Melt forming in such conditions will travel along paths of minimum stress like the extensional fractures and faults inherent to slow and ultra-slow spreading ridges which are essentially vertical as observed in the Santa Elena Ophiolite.

As melts are transported from the melt generation zone to the axis of extension, the frequency of intrusions decreases while their size and width increase (Kelemen et al., 1997; Kelemen et al., 2000). In the Santa Elena Ophiolite, we encountered a high spatial density of intrusions combined with distinct coalescent dikes as shown in Fig. 3. The presence of lherzolitic peridotite and the coalescing channels of diabase correlate with what would be expected at greater depths of the melt transport system in an
extensional environment, characterized by a scarce magmatic supply at deeper levels in the lithospheric mantle (see Fig. 1). Moreover, this ophiolite lacks of an extrusive well-developed basaltic crust on top of the sequence which supports the interpretation that this ophiolite corresponds to a slow to ultra-slow spreading center (Dick et al., 2003; Cannat et al., 2009; Sauter et al., 2011). The absence of a well-developed gabbroic crust is also evident in this ophiolite. This is a noted characteristic in ultraslow spreading ridges, where the reduced melt production can lead to a small to nearly inexistent gabbroic crust (Jokat et al., 2003; Michael et al., 2003).

5.2 Geochronology data

The spatial relationships between the diabase and gabbroic intrusions of the Santa Elena Ophiolite become clearer in the light of the new \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar data collected in this study. Both units post-date the formation of the peridotitic massif, but the pegmatitic gabbroic veins are the first magmatic event to occur (evidenced by cross-cutting relationships), at circa 131 ± 3.8 Ma. This event is particularly interesting since the field evidence suggests that there are no cooling margins between the pegmatitic gabbro veins and the host peridotite. This implies that during the emplacement the host rock and the intrusion were roughly at the same temperature. Most likely the gabbroic melts infiltrated when the peridotite was still under plastic deformation conditions (Gazel et al., 2006).

On the other hand, the diabase dikes present clear cooling margins suggesting that by the time the diabase magmatic event occurred (roughly circa 121 Ma) the peridotite had already reached lithospheric temperatures. Consequently, the \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar ages obtained in this study constrain the cooling of the ophiolite massif to sometime between 131 ± 3.8 Ma and the youngest of the diabase dikes, 116 ± 5.1 Ma, which coincides with a Barremian to Aptian age. This interpretation is in good agreement with the age constraints from other authors based in the rudist-bearing reef ages, that also places the
tectonic emplacement no earlier than Campanian (Upper Cretaceous) (Meschede and Wolfgang, 1998; Gazel et al., 2006; Baumgartner et al., 2008; Escuder-Viruete and Baumgartner, 2014).

5.3 Fractional crystallization models and implications for crystallization pressures

The architecture of the Santa Elena Ophiolite along with the variable observed cooling textures suggests that the diabase dikes were emplaced at depths within the lithospheric mantle (Fig. 3). In order to better determine these depths, we used Petrolog3 (Danyushevsky and Plechov, 2011) to produce models that simulate the fractional crystallization processes at different pressures (results in Fig. 5 and 6). For these calculations, we used the olivine (ol), plagioclase (plag) and clinopyroxene (cpx) models of Danyushevsky (2001). The cotectic crystallization was modeled at a 100% fractionation of these minerals in equilibrium with a liquid (L+ol+plag+cpx). When more than one mineral phase crystallizes together, the software calculates a “pseudoliquidus” temperature (PST), which is the highest recorded temperature of crystallization of the two or three mineral phases. These PST’s can be plotted as liquid lines of descent (LLD), where every discontinuity in the line indicates a new crystallizing mineral phase (Fig. 5 and 6). The calculations were made using the QFM buffer of oxygen fugacity according to the model of Kress and Carmichael (1988). We created models from a pressure range of 0.001 GPa (1 atm) to 1.0 GPa, in 0.2 GPa increments, keeping the pressure constant during each run. The amount of melt extracted in each step was 0.01%; this small calculation step improves the accuracy of the model (Danyushevsky, 2001). The calculations stopped when the melt MgO content reached 3 wt%.

To evaluate our initial hypothesis of a mid-ocean ridge origin for the melts that formed the diabase dikes, we input a primary magma composition for MORB (East Pacific Rise, EPR) from Herzberg and O’Hara (2002), as well as a primary magma calculated from our most primitive diabase composition (Fig. 6). Albeit, the resulting LLDs of these models plotted in bivariate major element diagrams were able
to reproduce experimental MORB glasses at the same range of pressures (see references in the figure caption), they failed to reproduce the crystallization trends and compositional changes that can be observed in the diabase dike suite. Because an EPR-MORB starting composition and our most primitive diabase sample did not describe the differentiation path of our samples, the input composition was empirically modified by an optimization method to include 0.5 wt% H$_2$O, 50.06 wt% SiO$_2$ and 2.83 wt% Na$_2$O to the initial EPR-MORB. This final composition (Table TS4, Supplementary Materials) successfully recreates and explains the compositional evolution of the diabase dikes. One important result from this modeling is that the diabase dike compositions cannot be reproduced by anhydrous MORB (Fig 6). The effect of small amounts of H$_2$O on MORB melt compositions results in a displacement of the cotectic points (the discontinuities in the LLD) due to the suppression of plagioclase crystallization relative to olivine and clinopyroxene (see Fig. 6a through e) (Danyushevsky, 2001). The estimated amount of H$_2$O (0.5 wt%) necessary to explain our data is atypical for MORB, however, it still falls into the high end-member of hydrated MORB magmas (Hirth and Kohlstedt, 1996; Danyushevsky, 2001; Asimow and Langmuir, 2003).

The SiO$_2$ variation of the diabase dike suite is controlled by olivine partitioning as a function of temperature and pressure (Langmuir et al., 1992). The crystallization of plagioclase and pyroxene is most likely responsible for the increase in SiO$_2$ contents at low pressures (<0.4 GPa). In the diabase dike samples, the cotectic crystallization of olivine and plagioclase is suggested by a positive correlation between MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$ (Fig. 5b). Using a MORB composition, this correlation tends to be positive because increasing levels of fractionation will lead to a decrease of MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$ in the melt due to the crystallization of olivine and plagioclase, respectively (Danyushevsky, 2001). As the pressure increases, the liquids in equilibrium with Ol+Plag+Cpx will increase their Al$_2$O$_3$ content and this can lead to a higher modal plagioclase content (Herzberg, 2004). FeO$_{t}$ shows the expected enrichment during fractionation of tholeiitic magmas (Zimmer et al., 2010).
CaO vs. MgO systematics (Fig. 5c and 6) can be used to evaluate whether or not a melt has crystallized clinopyroxene because CaO contents increase during the L+Ol and L+Ol+Plag steps of crystallization and promptly decrease as soon as the liquid starts to crystallize Ol+Plag+Cpx. The sensitivity of CaO to pressure effects was evaluated by Langmuir et al. (1992) and Herzberg (2004). The Santa Elena diabase dikes plot within the LLDs modeled from 1 atm to 1 GPa (Fig. 5); however, a larger set of samples plot at pressures >0.4 GPa. We also plotted our data onto a projection of liquids for the equilibrium L+Ol+Plag+Cpx into the plane Anorthite-Diopside-Enstatite following the methods of Herzberg and O’Hara (1998) and Herzberg (2004) (Fig. 5e). In this projection the pressures of crystallization of most of the diabase dikes also yielded >0.4 GPa, further supporting a deep origin for the dikes. Although these values are model-dependent and absolute pressures are not easy to obtain, our results are consistent with deep crystallization in the lithospheric mantle rather than at crustal levels, as it is obvious in the field exposures (Fig. 3).

The data from the Santa Elena Ophiolite were also compared to geochemical data from mid-ocean ridges globally, compiled by Gale et al. (2013). Fast spreading ridges group around the LLDs that belong to pressures from 1 atm to 0.4 GPa, which can be correlated with shallow depths of melt crystallization. Correlations between spreading rate and depth of crystallization have been noted by other authors (Grove et al., 1993; Michael and Cornell, 1998; Herzberg, 2004; Escartin et al., 2008); and in general, slower spreading rates are associated with deeper crystallization. In this respect, the Santa Elena Ophiolite diabase dikes show a range of pressures of crystallization that are consistent with deep crystallization environments. These pressures (>0.4 GPa) correspond to depths >15 km (assuming an average density of ~3.0 g/cm³ for the oceanic lithosphere). The results are in good agreement with the estimated pressures of partial crystallization at the top of the melting regime in slow and ultra-slow spreading ridges (Herzberg, 2004), thus, providing supportive information for a slow to ultra-slow spreading rate for the extensional environment preserved in the Santa Elena Ophiolite.
5.4 Trace element signatures and tectonic implications

In order to further understand the tectonic environment in which the Santa Elena Ophiolite formed, the diabase dike trace element compositions were normalized to a Primitive Mantle composition (McDonough and Sun, 1995). Primitive-normalized data are depleted in the most incompatible elements, such as the LREE, consistent with the trace element composition of a depleted MORB-like source (Salters and Stracke, 2004) (Fig. 7a). When the trace element patterns of the Santa Elena Ophiolite are compared with other primitive-normalized trace element compositions of other extensional tectonic environments, our results are similar to signatures that are found in slow to ultra-slow spreading ridges and back-arc spreading centers, but always at the depleted end of these environments consistent with a normal MORB signature (Fig. 6).

Because magmas record information about their original tectonic setting of formation in their trace-element signatures, a series of geochemical proxies have been identified that can be used to discriminate paleo-tectonic environments (e.g., Pearce, 2008 and references therein). In order to better determine the tectonic environment that formed the Santa Elena Ophiolite, we used fluid-immobile elements to distinguish between a mid-ocean ridge environment and a subduction influenced environment. For comparison, we compiled geochemical data from various ophiolites (Mayari-Baracoa Ophiolitic Belt, Oman, Newfoundland, Josephine, Mirdita, Macquarie Island, Ingalls, Tangihu, Shuanggou, Kizildag, Anatolia, Troodos, Duarte, Loma La Monja, La Desiderade; see Table TS3 for data and references) as well as trace element data from other extensional environments (Atlantis Massif, Atlantis Bank, San Souci volcanic formation, Atlantic oceanic crust of ca. 121 Ma, ultra-slow spreading centers, back-arc basins; Table TS3) and plotted along with the results from the Santa Elena Ophiolite and the Murcielago Islands pillow basalts in Fig. 8.
In these fluid-immobile element systems the mantle array is defined by where MORB-OIB data plots. Data that plots away from this array suggests the influence of subduction processes or crustal interaction, as for example, samples that belong to SSZ ophiolites such as Oman, Newfoundland, Ingalls, Anatolia, and Kizildag, plot away from the mantle array as indicated by the “subduction interaction” vector as shown in the plot of Zr/Nb vs. Ti/Th (Fig. 8a). Similarly, as shown in the Ce/Nb vs. Th/Nb diagram (Fig. 8b), the subduction influenced samples plot towards higher Ce and higher Th. Ce can be considered as a proxy for H\textsubscript{2}O content, since both elements have a similar incompatible behavior during melting (Saunders et al., 1988). This diagram provides an easy visualization of the effect of increasing subduction interaction, which is especially evident in SSZ ophiolite samples. Fig. 8c shows the Th/Yb vs. Nb/Yb diagram first developed by Pearce (2008). Th and Nb are well-known proxies for subduction input within a system, as Th is carried by subduction fluids (especially sediment recycling) and Nb is retained by a residual phase in the subducting slab (Wood et al., 1979; Pearce, 2008; Pearce, 2014). Thus, samples influenced by subduction fluids trend towards higher Th contents and lower Nb contents relative to the mantle array. This is why samples coming from back-arc basins plot parallel to and higher than the mantle array and SSZ ophiolites also show an upward trend.

Our results indicate that the Murcielago Islands pillow basalts plot well into the mantle array limits, trending towards the enriched endmember of MORB. Meanwhile, the Santa Elena Ophiolite diabase dikes plot on the limits between the data from back-arc basins and slow to ultra-slow spreading ridge MORB consistent with our previously discussed major element results. In comparison with the global compilation, our data also show similarities with the Atlantis Massif, Atlantic oceanic crust, and the Atlantis Bank (Fig. 8a, b and c). The location that shows the most consistency with the diabase dikes are the tholeiites from the Mirdita Ophiolite in Albania. This is a Jurassic ophiolite interpreted as a transition from a MORB to a SSZ environment (Dilek and Furnes, 2009). Santa Elena intrusions are also geochemically similar to the Continental Margin Ophiolite classification of Dilek and Furnes (2014) which
plot on the NMORB field of the mantle arrange and towards the upper limit. Therefore, our diabase dike samples resemble a MORB-type magmas that show only a “hint” of subduction interaction.

5.5 Mantle signatures from radiogenic isotopes

Radiogenic isotopes are a reliable way to evaluate the source of a given sample, since they do not fractionate during magmatic processes such as melting or crystal fractionation. In terms of radiogenic isotopes, MORB was thought to be derived through melting of a homogeneous mantle reservoir (the upper mantle). However, more recent studies reveal the significant variations in the radiogenic isotope ratios indicating that it is more likely that they are generated from mantle sources that are heterogeneous (Salters and Stracke, 2004; Workman and Hart, 2005). Isotopic variability in MORB from fast spreading and slow spreading ridges may differ depending on the mixing mechanisms intervening in the systems. In this regard, small-scale convection contributes to mixing of different sources at slow spreading ridges, producing geochemically homogeneous reservoirs (Samuel and King, 2014).

The new age corrected (accounting for the source evolution in ~121 Ma) data from the Santa Elena Ophiolite mafic dikes are presented in Fig. 9. The diabase dikes share isotopic signatures that resemble those from back-arc basins and slow to ultra-slow spreading ridges and are separate from those of fast spreading ridges (Fig. 9). This is consistent with the results discussed above for major and trace element compositions. The diabase samples yield ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values between 0.70285 and 0.70357 (Fig. 8a), which are on the higher end for NMORB but not as high as the range of EMORB. Also, they overlap with the lower ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values for back-arc basins. The εNd values obtained for the diabase dikes range between +6 and +12, and when plotted against ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr they overlap with data from slow and ultraslow spreading ridges, and with data from back arc basins to a lesser extent (Fig. 9a).
The data also show that the diabase dikes are more enriched in $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ than depleted DMM (Fig. 9b, c and d), following a linear array that suggests a mixture of a depleted component and an enriched component (EMII), most likely due to small-scale convection, a consistent characteristic in slow-spreading systems (Samuel and King, 2014). The EMII mantle reservoir is interpreted as deep mantle storage of metasomatized oceanic lithosphere or sub-continental lithosphere (Workman et al., 2004). Detachment of sub-continental lithosphere may occur during continental break-up (Saunders et al., 1988). Therefore, this isotopic signature can be correlated with the remnants of lithospheric mantle components disseminated during the opening of the Atlantic and the proto-Caribbean ocean. Additionally, the presence and mixing of these likely subduction-modified remnants of the sub-continental lithosphere could account for the subtle subduction signature evident in our samples (see discussion in Gazel et al., 2012).

5.6 Paleotectonic setting for the Santa Elena Ophiolite formation

Data presented in this work shows that the Santa Elena Ophiolite preserves structural and geochemical evidences for an extension environment of formation. Whether it is a mid-ocean ridge or a back-arc basin environment is still a matter of further constraints, such as paleomagnetic surveys and detailed tectonic reconstructions. However, the similarities with data coming from back-arc basin tectonic settings like Lau Basin and Marianas (Fig. 8) suggest that Santa Elena Ophiolite might have originated from an analogous setting.

Moreover, the Santa Elena Ophiolite characteristics are comparable with the structure and geochemical affinities present in some oceanic core complexes (OCC). For instance, the Godzilla Megamullion located in the extinct Parece Vela Rift in the back-arc basin of the Marianas (Fig. 10) consists of an exposed lower crust to mantle sequence of plutonic rocks including peridotites (lherzolites
and harzburgites), gabbroic and diabase intrusions and a varying presence of a basaltic crust (Ohara et al., 2001; Ohara et al., 2003; Loocke et al., 2013). Sanfilippo et al. (2013) also mention that the basalts retain their MORB affinity and their REE and isotope compositions appear enriched by a minor slab component. OCCs like the Kane Megamullion (Dick et al., 2008) and the Atlantis Massif (Blackman et al., 2002) in the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, or the Atlantis Bank in the Indian Ridge (Baines et al., 2003) also show mantle sequences consisting in peridotites, diabase dikes and to a lesser extent gabbros.

The idea of OCCs being preserved as ophiolites has been suggested by several authors (i.e. Nicolas et al., 1999; Tremblay et al., 2009; Manatschal et al., 2011; Lagabrielle et al., 2015). If Santa Elena is an OCC preserved as an ophiolite, it would explain the lack of a basaltic crust since in many OCCs low magmatic supply is common and the basaltic crust gets variably displaced by the hanging-wall during detachment (Escartín et al., 2003; Dick et al., 2008).

An alternative model for the origin of Santa Elena would be that it represents a fragment of the Mesquito Composite Oceanic Terrane (Baumgartner et al., 2008), a series of accreted Pacific oceanic terranes conformed by mafic and ultramafic lithologies. This explanation is supported by findings of Pacific Radiolarian fauna in different Caribbean locations that pre-dates the opening of the Proto-Caribbean (Baumgartner and Denyer, 2006; Baumgartner et al., 2008; Bandini et al., 2011). This hypothesis however is not mutually exclusive to the OCC origin, since the preservation and emplacement of this fragment of the lithospheric mantle could have happened in the context of accretion of distinct Pacific terranes.

Finally, a Proto-Caribbean origin should also be explored in future studies. Proto-Caribbean remnants have been found along the Great and Lesser Antilles (Lapierre et al., 1999; Marchesi et al., 2006; Escuder-Viruete et al., 2009). For instance, samples from the San Souci Volcanic Group, in Trinidad y Tobago, which have been interpreted as preserved pieces of Proto-Caribbean oceanic crust (Neill et
al., 2014) show similar fluid immobile element signatures as the diabase dikes explored in this study (Fig. 8).

6. Conclusions

Structural and geochemical evidence suggest an extensional environment for the formation of the Santa Elena Ophiolite. The ophiolite architecture shows clear characteristics of mid-ocean ridge origin that include sub-parallel and sub-vertical arrangement of the dikes, coalescing channels of melt, absence of horizontal intrusions, zones of higher density of dikes relative to peridotite. Additionally, the lack of overlaying sequences of developed oceanic crust, the predominant presence of lherzolite as opposed to harzburgite, and the absence of significant magma chamber or lenses suggest that the Santa Elena Ophiolite is a preserved deep section (in the lithospheric mantle) of a melt-focusing zone in a slow to ultra-slow spreading ridge.

Major and trace element data are also in good agreement with the assessment of the origin of the Santa Elena Ophiolite as a slow/ultra-slow spreading center, possibly with a limited subduction interaction. The calculated pressures of crystallization are more consistent of slow to ultra-slow spreading ridges, where partial crystallization can occur deeper in the mantle since there is a lower magma supply and thus less heat flow. However, as evidenced from our geochemical data, the tectonic environment of formation for Santa Elena Ophiolite, even though it corresponds with an oceanic extension environment, it was not purely a Mid-Ocean Ridge nor a Back-Arc Basin setting *sensu stricto*, but possibly a combination between both environments. A possible analogous tectonic scenario could be similar to what is found at an oceanic core complex that developed in a back-arc basin, where the
proximity to transform faults reduces the velocity of the spreading rates and induces detachment which emplaces the lithospheric mantle and the melt-focusing zone of the system at the seafloor.
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Figure 1: Two models of the architecture of the oceanic crust modified from Kelemen et al. (2000) and Cannat (1996). A) At a fast spreading ridge, magmatic supply is abundant and melting occurs at shallower levels in the lithosphere; these melts ascend and form coalescing channels (Kelemen, 2000). Melt fractions are higher than at slow spreading ridges, which allow the development of an oceanic crust on top (Cannat et al., 2006). B) At ultra-slow spreading centers, melts are triggered by detachment faulting which drives a much deeper melting regime. Slower magma generation and lower melt fraction are characteristic of this environment. In this model, melt travels along a pre-existing oceanic mantle lithosphere composed predominantly of lherzolite (Dick et al., 2003; Cannat et al., 2009).

Figure 2: Overview map of the Santa Elena peninsula. A) Geologic map modified by our field observations from Tournon et al. (1994), Gazel et al. (2006) and Escuder-Viruete and Baumgartner (2014); two cross sections are provided to illustrate the spatial relationship between lithologies from N to S (A-A’) and SW to NE (B-B’). B) Geotectonic setting of the Santa Elena Ophiolite after Denyer and Gazel (2009). C) Structural data for the diabase dikes from the NW dike swarm and SE dike swarm measured and corrected in this study.

Figure 3. Photograph from the diabase dike swarms in the Santa Elena Ophiolite; β denotes diabase dikes and π peridotite. A) Southeastern diabase swarm; diabase dikes intrude the peridotite in a sub-parallel arrangement. B) Boudins of peridotite created by the intruding diabase. C) Boudins of peridotite northwestern diabase swarm. D) and E) Diabase dikes branching out in the shape of an “inverted bush” at a metric scale.
Figure 4. Geochemical classification of the diabase dikes of the Santa Elena Ophiolite. A) Total Alkalis-Silica (TAS) diagram (Le Maitre et al., 1989) where the samples from the Santa Elena Ophiolite display a dominant basaltic composition. B) AFM classification diagram (Irvine and Baragar, 1971) suggesting a predominant tholeiitic affinity for the diabase samples. Dark gray circles denote the new data presented in this paper and gray fields includes data compiled from literature (Table TS3). The dashed lines show the compositional range of MORB data (Gale et al., 2013).

Figure 5. Major element variation diagrams for the diabase dikes of the Santa Elena ophiolite. Liquid Lines of Descent (LLD) were calculated using Petrolog3 (Danyushevsky and Plechov, 2011) at different pressures (1.0 atm to 1.0 GPa in increments of 0.2 GPa). The crystallization processes modeled start at a primary magma (PM) that has been modified from the EPR composition of Herzberg and O’hara (2002) in order to explain our data. For the fast spreading ridges we used values from the East Pacific Rise (EPR); for slow spreading ridges we used values from slow segments of the Mid-Atlantic ridge (MARR) (<60 mm/yr); for ultra-slow spreading ridges we used values from the Southwestern Indian Ridge (SWIR) and Gakkel Ridge (GAK); for back arc basins we used values from Marianas (BMRN), Lau Basin (LAU) and Scotia Back Arc (SCO) (data compiled by Gale et al., 2013).

Figure 6. Variation diagrams for CaO and MgO at different initial compositions. LLDs were modeled for pressures from 0.001 GPa (1 atm) to 1.0 GPa using Petrolog3 (Danyushevsky and Plechov, 2011). Panels A and B show experimental glass compositions for pressures at 1 atm, 0.2 GPa, 0.8 GPa and 1 GPa. The experimental glass data collected by different authors was compiled by Herzberg (2004) and includes data from: Bender et al. (1978); Walker et al. (1979), Grove et al. (1982); Grove and Bryan
(1983); Kinzler and Grove (1985); Mahood and Baker (1986); Baker and Eggler (1987); Falloon and Green (1987); Tormey et al. (1987); Juster et al. (1989); Ussler III and Glazner (1989); Bartels et al. (1991); Thy and Lofgren (1992); Grove et al. (1993); Thy and Lofgren (1994); Yang et al. (1996). We also compiled data from Falloon et al. (2001); Villiger et al. (2004); Villiger et al. (2007); and Falloon et al. (2008). The experimental data was plotted against the LLDs generated for a primary magma from the East Pacific Rise (EPR) calculated by Herzberg and O’Hara (2002) with 0 wt% H$_2$O added (A) and for the same primary EPR magma containing 0.5 wt% H$_2$O (B). Note how the experimental data consistently plots in the appropriate LLD for each value. Also, it should be note how adding H$_2$O in these two model causes an upward displacement in the cotectic points. Panels C and D show the data collected in this work using the same LLDs as in A and B, respectively. It should be noted that neither of the two models seem to appropriately describe the trends in the diabase dikes, however, the model with 0.5 wt% H$_2$O added has the best correlation of the two models. Panel E shows the diabase dike compositions with the LLDs generated based on the optimized crystallization model for our data. The model parameters used to generate the LLDs are shown in the inset. The resulting model shows a displacement towards lower CaO and lower MgO providing a better fit for the diabase samples, where the majority of our samples fall in the LLDs for pressures >0.4 GPa.

Figure 7. Multi-element diagram showing the incompatible element compositions for the Santa Elena Ophiolite diabase dikes and Murcielago Islands pillow basalt glasses normalized to primitive mantle (McDonough and Sun, 1995). Shaded fields represent the values compiled by Gale et al. (2013) from different types of spreading centers. A) Comparison of the Santa Elena diabases and standard values of NMORB, EMORB and OIB (Sun and McDonough, 1989). B) The dark gray shaded area represents values of fast spreading ridges from the East Pacific Rise (EPR). C) The gray area represents values from ultra-slow spreading ridges form Gakkel ridge (GAK) and Southwestern Indian ridge (SWIR).
Values for slow spreading segments of the Mid-Atlantic ridge (MARR) are represented as a dotted line. 
D) The light gray shaded area are values from Marianas (BMRN), Lau (LAU) and Scotia (SCO) back-arc basins. E) Samples from the Santa Elena Ophiolite melt-focusing zone compared to the Murcielago Islands basaltic glasses. Note that the Murcielago Islands basaltic glasses share a more similar geochemical signature with the Nicoya peninsula basaltic glasses related to the CLIP. Samples that showed significant spikes in fluid mobile elements were excluded from this figure since seafloor alteration can be accounted for these enrichments.

Figure 8. Tectonic environment discrimination diagrams of Santa Elena Ophiolite and Murcielago Islands samples compared to other ophiolites or oceanic environments. A) and B) Zr/Nb vs. Ti/Th diagram. Lower Ti/Th and higher Zr/Nb indicate increasing subduction fluid interaction. C) and D) Ce/Nb vs. Th/Nb diagram. Subduction influenced samples plot towards higher Ce and higher Th. Ce can be considered as a proxy for H₂O content, since both elements have a similar incompatible behavior during melting (Saunders et al., 1988). E) and F) Th/Yb vs. Nb/Yb diagram. Th/Nb is a well-known proxy for subduction input within a system as Th is mobile in fluids and Nb is retained by a residual phase in the subducting slab (Pearce, 2008). We compiled the most recent geochemical data for similar tholeiitic magmas related to ophiolites: Oman, Newfoundland, Josephine, Mirdita, Macquarie Island, Ingalls, Tangihua, Shuanggou, Kizildag, Anatolia and Troodos, Mayari-Baracoa Ophiolitic Belt, Loma La Monja, La Desiderade. Information from other analog tectonic environments was also collected: Atlantis Massif in the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, Atlantis Bank in the South West Indian Ridge, the San Souci volcanic formation in Trinidad, contemporaneous Atlantic oceanic crust, Aves Ridge crust, slow (Mid-Atlantic Ridge, MARR) and ultra-slow spreading ridges (Gakkel, GAK and Southwest Indian Ridge, SWIR) and back-arc basins (Marianas, BMRN, Lau Basin, LAU, and Scotia Basin, SCO). Note that the diabase dike samples plot in the
transition between a MORB environment and a SSZ environment. See Table TS3 in the Supplementary Materials, for data references.

Figure 9. Results from the radiogenic isotope analyses. Values were corrected to the initial ratios and projected considering the evolution of the source at 121 Ma. A) εNd vs \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\). B) \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\) vs \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\). C) \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\) vs \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\). Santa Elena Diabase dikes data show a mixing trend between DMM and EMII. D) \(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\) vs \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\). The linearity of the data points also denotes the mixing of DMM and EMII. Note that the isotope signatures of the Santa Elena diabases show similarities with data from slow spreading ridges (Mid-Atlantic ridge, MARR), ultra-slow spreading ridges (Gakkel, GAK and Southwest Indian Ridge, SWIR) and Back Arc Basins, and separates from fast spreading ridges (East Pacific Rise, EPR). Data from Gale et al. (2013). DMM: Depleted MORB Mantle; EMI: Enriched Mantle I; EMII: Enriched Mantle II. Note that samples SE-060111-15 and SE-010510-1 were not included in Fig. 8 a and b, given that they show the effect of seafloor alteration.

Figure 10. Location of the Godzilla Megamullion in the Parece Vela Basin, Marianas back-arc (Loocke et al., 2013). A similar geotectonic scenario is proposed for the formation of the Santa Elena Ophiolite. The architectural and geochemical affinities of oceanic core complexes are in good agreement with the evidences found for the Santa Elena Ophiolite. Map obtained from GeoMapApp (http://www.geomapapp.org). B) Schematic section of an OCC, modified from Karson et al. (2006). C) Schematic cross section of Santa Elena Ophiolite.
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Other tectonic environments
- Atlantis Massif, Mid-Atlantic ridge
- Atlantis Bank, South West Indian Ridge
- San Souci volcanic formation, Trinidad
- Atlantic oceanic crust (ca. 120 Ma)
- Avia Ridge, Lesser Antilles
- Slow spreading ridges (MARR)
- Ultra-slow spreading ridges (SWIR, GAK)
- Back-arc spreading centers (BMN, LAU, SCO)

Magmas within Ophiolites
- Santa Elena
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- Duarte
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- Loma La Monja
- Oman
- Shuanggou
- La Desiderade
- Newfoundland
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- Macquarie island
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Global Mid-Ocean Ridge data (Gale et al., 2013)

- Fast spreading oceanic ridges (EPR)
- Ultra-fast spreading oceanic ridges (SWIR, CAFK)
- Slow spreading ridges (MARR)
- Back-arc basins (BMRR, LAU, SCO)
Highlights

- Santa Elena Ophiolite is a preserved melt-focusing zone of the lithospheric mantle.
- Its architecture & geochemistry are similar to a slow/ultra-slow spreading system.
- It represents a fossil-fragment of an oceanic core complex from a back-arc basin.