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ABSTRACT<br>MARGARET G. MACDONALD:<br>Hydrocarbon C-H Activation by Tp' Platinum Complexes (Under the direction of Prof. Joseph L. Templeton)

This dissertation focuses on investigations into the reactivity and regioselectivity of hydrocarbon $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond activation by $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV}) \quad\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \quad=\right.$ hydridotris(3,5dimethylpyrazolyl)borate) reagents via (1) thermolysis, (2) Lewis acid addition, and (3) low temperature protonation reactions. Insertion of $\alpha$-olefins into a Pt-H or Pt-Ph bond has been found to occur. The synthetic and mechanistic investigations of these insertion reactions provide a starting point toward the aim of hydrocarbon functionalization.

Gentle heating of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ in alkane solvents in the presence of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ results in C-H activation of the alkane solvent, R-H, to give $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{R})$ complexes. Further heating leads to formation of $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-olefin $)(\mathrm{H})$ complexes via methane elimination followed by $\beta$-hydride elimination, a stoichiometric alkane to olefin conversion.

The ortho-metallated phenethyl hydrido platinum(IV) complex, $\operatorname{Tpp}^{\prime} \overline{\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\right.}$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{C}_{6}} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ has been isolated as the sole product of reaction of $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}(\mathrm{H})$ with ethylbenzene in the presence $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Additional analogous $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ metallacycles, $\operatorname{Tp} P^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ and $\quad \operatorname{Tp} \quad \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Et}\right)(\mathrm{H})$, have also been synthesized by this route. Note that heating of the dihydride reagent $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ with $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ in either ethylbenzene or isopropylbenzene gave only $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ar})(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ products.

Mechanistic studies are underway to assess if initial activation occurs at an aryl or alkyl C-H bond as well as to discern any intermediate species in this intramolecular transformation.

Heating $\mathrm{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ in benzene forms an ortho-metallated phenethyl hydrido platinum(IV) complex, $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})$. Presumably this net reaction reflects phenyl migration to ethylene to give the insertion product, [ $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ], as an unsaturated intermediate. Intramolecular C-H activation of an ortho phenyl proton from this intermediate would produce the metallacyle product. Low temperature protonation of the parent phenyl ethylene complex results in the formation of a cationic $\eta^{2}$-ethylene phenyl complex, $\quad\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}^{\prime}\right]$. Low temperature protonation of $\mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H}) \text {, followed by addition of acetonitrile leads to reductive coupling }}$ of the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ and the alkyl methylene group to give a cationic $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ 2-ethylphenyl complex, $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]$. Attempts to isolate an $\eta^{2}$-propylene phenyl complex were unsuccessful; formation of the 1,2 -insertion product, $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})$, and two isomers of the 2,1-insertion products, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H}) \text {, }}$ resulted. An in situ attempt to isolate the cationic $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ resulted in the isolation of $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ phenyl aqua complex, $\left[\kappa^{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$, instead.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION TO METAL-MEDIATED C-H BOND ACTIVATION AT PLATINUM

"When you got here, even when I got here, the industrialized world was already hopelessly hooked on fossil fuels, and very soon now there won't be any more of those. Cold turkey.

Can I tell you the truth? I mean this isn't like TV news, is it?
Here's what I think the truth is: We are all addicts of fossil fuels in a state of denial, about to face cold turkey.

And like so many addicts about to face cold turkey, our leaders are now committing violent crimes to get what little is left of what we're hooked on."

- Kurt Vonnegut, Jr. In These Times, May 10, 2004.

Regardless of whether the prediction of an aging, antagonistic American novelist will come true, there is no disputing that our post-industrial world relies on the continual consumption of fossil fuels. We are about to face a "cold turkey" ending to our fossil fuel addiction. How much petroleum, natural gas and coal, the main fossil fuels, remain and how long we have before we may face a "cold turkey" reality is under contention. One recent estimate gives us 40 years before our oil reserves will be depleted based on our current rate of consumption. ${ }^{1,2}$ However, with a growing world population and the recent emergence of new fossil fuel consumers, such as China and India, this could be an overestimate. The fact remains that these convenient resources, formed by nature over eons, are being depleted exponentially and irreversibly. With time, this addiction will also become more costly, with
the price we pay at the pump rising and the potential for hostility among world nations intensifying as they compete to secure what little remains. ${ }^{1-6}$

Fossil fuels have become a staple and we rely on them both as a principal source of energy and chemical feedstocks for chemicals and manmade materials that we are accustomed to. In the United States, we utilize fossil fuel derivatives such as gasoline and diesel oil, consumed for transportation, as well as plastics, synthetic materials and pharmaceuticals on a daily basis. ${ }^{1}$ Comprised of mixtures of hydrocarbons, we take advantage of the high energy stored in the hydrocarbon C-H bond (bond dissociation enthalpy of $104 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for methane at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and of the driving force provided by the irreversible production of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ upon combustion. ${ }^{7}$ While fossil fuels are diminishing, however, alkanes from petroleum and natural gas still remain among the world's most abundant and low cost feedstocks. ${ }^{1,6-9}$

However bleak Kurt Vonnegut paints our "cold turkey" future, as scientists, we are problem solvers and prefer a proactive rather than a gloom and doom approach. Clearly the future will require the use of energy alternatives such as an oft proposed hydrogen-based economy; however such technology is not yet practical or feasible. ${ }^{1,6}$ It is therefore important to find alternatives that are more economical and atom efficient as well as to develop greener technology for the current fossil fuel based systems we currently rely on. ${ }^{2,6}$

George Olah, winner of the 1994 Nobel Prize in chemistry, promotes the idea of a "methanol economy." ${ }^{, 1,3,10}$ As a feedstock, methanol can be used directly as a fuel or for the formation of olefins, gasoline, dimethyl ether, methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), acetic acid, hydrogen and formaldehyde. Consequently, it is not accidental that methanol is presently among the top 10 chemicals produced globally. The current industrial synthesis of methanol
results from the reaction of syn gas $\left(\mathrm{CO}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (eq. 1), deriving from fossil fuels, with a $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{ZnO}-$ based catalyst at $220-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $50-100 \mathrm{bar}$ (eq. 2). ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{CH}_{4}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{g})} \xrightarrow{[\mathrm{Ni}]} \Delta \\
\mathrm{CO}_{(\mathrm{g})}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=49.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}  \tag{2}\\
\mathrm{CO}_{(\mathrm{g})}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2(\mathrm{~g})} \xrightarrow{[\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{ZnO}]} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-21.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

In advancement toward a "methanol economy," Olah and coworkers have reported the development of the direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC) which oxidizes methanol with air to produce electricity while generating carbon dioxide and water directly. In a "methanol economy," methanol could be utilized as an energy storage material, a fuel, and a feedstock for the synthesis of hydrocarbons and their products. Additionally, methanol could be prepared by either the direct oxidation of natural gas (methane) or by the reduction of carbon dioxide with hydrogen. Ideally, a "methanol economy" would incorporate the chemical recycling of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ as a source of methanol, thereby also lowering the amount of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ released into the atmosphere and curbing its effect on global warming (eq. 3). ${ }^{1,3,11}$

While our petroleum reserves are rapidly being depleted, methane gas remains an under-utilized and relatively abundant resource. ${ }^{6}$ The direct synthesis of methanol from methane could extend the life of fossil based resources and, thereby, ease the transition
toward greener energy alternatives ${ }^{1,6}$. Since the early 1970s, advancements have been made in the use of organotransition metal complexes toward this aim. The development of low temperature, selective and direct oxidation of alkanes therefore remains a major research goal. ${ }^{4,6,8,9,12}$

## Challenges and Approaches of C-H Activation.

The selective functionalization of saturated hydrocarbons (alkanes), as well as aromatic, olefinic and acetylenic hydrocarbons remains a major research challenge. ${ }^{4,6,8,9,12}$ The C-H bonds of alkanes are notoriously inert. ${ }^{7,13}$ These C-H bonds are extremely strong, with pKa's between 45-60 (ca. 48 for methane) and high bond dissociation enthalpies (99$104 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), and alkanes are saturated compounds. ${ }^{13}$ In addition, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of the functionalized products are typically more reactive ( $93 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ) than the parent hydrocarbon C-H bonds, and, consequently, the initial oxidation products are prone to over-oxidation to the thermodynamically stable products, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{7,14,15}$ Homolytic pathways to cleave these C-H bonds by radicals, oxidation or cracking, therefore result in a lack of selectivity in the final products or in low conversion. ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{CH}_{4(\mathrm{~g})}+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{~g})} \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}_{(\mathrm{l})}  \tag{3}\\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-30.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{align*}
$$

Organotransition metal complexes, however, have shown promise toward achieving this goal of the selective functionalization of hydrocarbons. ${ }^{6,7,12-14,16-25}$ The formation of new, strong metal-hydride and metal-alkyl bonds in the intermediate R-M-H species
compensates for the high energy cost of C-H bond cleavage. ${ }^{14}$ In addition, by cleaving the C-H bond through this inner-sphere mechanism, the metal center can mediate the transformation of the carbon moiety by controlling the rate and selectivity of the potential product. ${ }^{6}$ (eq. 4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}-X \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notably, the selectivity preference for activating C-H bonds by transition metal reagents shows the opposite trend from that of homolytic cleavage pathways. Selectivity patterns for the homolysis of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds anticipates $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds to be more reactive than $2^{\circ}$ or $1^{\circ}$, based on the stability of the resultant carbocations formed. However, the reverse trend has been established for transition metal complexes, $1^{\circ}>2^{\circ}>3^{\circ}$. In addition, aromatic C-H bonds are more reactive than their aliphatic counterparts and, remarkably, the C-H bonds of alcohols are less reactive than the alkane precursor. This overriding tendency toward activation of aryl and primary C-H bonds over secondary and tertiary C-H bonds in most systems studied gives the prospect of future control over stereoselectivity in product formation. ${ }^{7,14,15,26}$

## C-H Activation Pathways

C-H activation reactions can be divided into five classes: (1) oxidative addition, (2) $\sigma$-bond metathesis, (3) electrophilic substitution, (4) 1,2-addition, and (5) metalloradical activation (Table 1.1). ${ }^{14,15}$ Of these, three classes, oxidative addition, $\sigma$-bond metathesis, and electrophilic substitution, are the most common modes observed and each results in unique C-H activation patterns. ${ }^{6}$ Some noteworthy examples will be discussed herein.
(1) oxidative addition

(2) $\sigma$-bond metathesis

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{m} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{R}^{\prime}+\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H}
$$

(3) electrophilic substitution

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{halide},-\mathrm{OH},-\mathrm{OTf}
\end{gathered}
$$

(4) 1,2-addition

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{X}}+\underset{\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NR}, \mathrm{CR}_{2}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}+2}{ }_{X}^{\mathrm{R}}
$$

(5) metalloradical activation

$$
2 \mathrm{M} \cdot \quad+\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}
$$

Table 1.1: C-H Activation Pathways

For late transition metal systems, the oxidative addition pathway is often operable for electron-rich, low oxidation state complexes, as it requires the availability of a $M^{\mathrm{n}+2}$ oxidation state. ${ }^{14}$ In 1982, Bergman, and later, Graham, reported the first example of intermolecular oxidative addition of alkane $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds by reagents of the type $\mathrm{CpIr}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (where $\mathrm{Cp}=\mathrm{Cp}^{*}=\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3} ; \mathrm{Cp}=\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{CO}$, respectively). ${ }^{27,28}$ Upon photolysis, the resultant 16 -electron $\mathrm{CpIr}(\mathrm{L})$ fragment readily reacts with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ to
form the 18 -electron alkyl derivative, $\operatorname{CpIr}(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)(\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{27-29}$ Notably, the oxidative addition of C-H bonds was found to be reversible. Heating to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ resulted in formation of the $\mathrm{CpIr}(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ analogue ${ }^{30,31}$ (eq. 5). While highly reactive toward C-H bonds at relatively low temperatures, these systems however are extremely sensitive to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and fall apart under the oxidizing conditions. ${ }^{14}$


Early $\mathrm{d}^{0}$ metal alkyl complexes have been found to undergo $\sigma$-bond metathesis pathway with unactivated alkanes. Formation of a four-centered transition state in which the bond-breaking and bond-making occur in a concerted fashion circumvents the need for an available $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}+2}$ oxidation state in these systems (eq. 6). ${ }^{14}$ An early example of this type of mechanism was reported by Watson in 1983. ${ }^{32}$ Watson found $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{LuMe}$ underwent exchange with isotopically labeled methane in cyclohexane solvent, as shown in eq. 6. ${ }^{29,32,33}$ Typically only formation of a new R-H bond occurs from the $\sigma$-bond metathesis of $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ and M-R fragments and formation of $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ is not observed. ${ }^{29}$




A prominent example of aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond activation via electrophilic substitution was first reported by Fujiwara and coworkers in the late 1960's. ${ }^{34} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ (olefin) complexes were found to induce stoichiometric coupling of the olefin with arenes. Formation of $\sigma$-arylPd complexes were later determined to be intermediates upon addition of arene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds to the cationic $[\mathrm{PdOAc}]^{+}$catalyst (Scheme 1.1). ${ }^{18,35}$ Typically, electrophilic substitution of C-H bonds occurs on late- or post-transition metal regents in strongly polar mediums such as water or anhydrous strong acids in which the metal does not undergo a change in oxidation state. ${ }^{12}$


Scheme 1.1; Fujiwara Reaction

## C-H Activation on Platinum

There has been a concentration on platinum-based systems for catalysts due to encouraging results such as that of Shilov Chemistry ${ }^{7,25,36}$ (eq. 7) and, more recently, the Catalytica system (eq. 8). ${ }^{6,23,37-39}$ Over 30 years ago, Shilov reported the catalytic formation
of methanol from the reaction of $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ chloride salts with methane in an aqueous acidic media. While this system remains the best example of the selective transformation of methane to methanol under mild conditions, it requires the stoichiometric consumption of $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ salts as an oxidant for the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst and is therefore not a practical or economically viable solution to the problem of hydrocarbon functionalization. However, the success of the Shilov oxidation has led to the study and elucidation of its mechanism. Insight into the nature of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond activation and functionalization processes on platinum therefore remains pertinent as we strive toward a more efficient catalytic system. ${ }^{14,15,36}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{4} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}]{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{4} \text { (cat.), } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{6} \text { (stoich.) }} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \xrightarrow{(\text { bpym }) \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Mechanism of Shilov Oxidation

A generally accepted mechanism for the Shilov system is shown in Scheme 1.2, below: ${ }^{15,40}$


Scheme 1.2: Mechanism for the Shilov oxidation of methane to methanol by $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$

The overall process can be broken down into three major transformations: (1) initial activation of the alkane by $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ and generation of an alkyl platinum intermediate, (2) oxidation of this intermediate by $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ and formation of a $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl species, and finally (3) reductive elimination to afford the functionalized product and regenerate the $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst. Step 1, the C-H activation step, has received a great deal of attention because it controls the overall rate and the selectivity of the final product. ${ }^{15}$

## Oxidative Addition or Electrophilic Substitution?

Each of the individual steps in the Shilov mechanism could proceed through multiple pathways. ${ }^{14,15}$ Initial activation of the C-H bond in step 1, has been considered as either (a)
an oxidative addition of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond to the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ center, resulting in a six-coordinate $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydrido species or (b) an electrophilic substitution mechanism via initial formation of a $\sigma$-alkane intermediate as shown in Scheme 1.3. Subsequent deprotonation of either intermediate would generate the $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ alkyl complex. These two pathways have both been implicated and extensively studied. ${ }^{7,12,14,15}$

Early work by Garnett and Hodges on the Pt(II) catalyzed H/D exchange reactions in acidic media found that multiple exchanges occurred at platinum on aromatic substrates. ${ }^{41}$ Encouraged by these results, Shilov and coworkers reported a year later that this C-H/D exchange occurs for methane and ethane in a solution containing $\mathrm{K}_{2}\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}\right]$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /acetic acid- $d_{1} .{ }^{25}$ Shilov's work is hailed as the first homogeneous system to activate the C-H bonds of a simple alkane. ${ }^{14}$


Scheme 1.3: Possible modes for C-H activation in Shilov oxidation

The observation of multiple $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ reactions in acidic deuterated media has been attributed to multiple $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond-cleavage and bond-forming sequences on the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ center. Mechanistically these multiple $H / D$ exchanges require the intermediacy of a $\sigma$-alkane species prior to bond cleavage/formation. ${ }^{14}$ In 1967, Garnett ${ }^{41}$ and Hodges ${ }^{42}$ described such a species as one involving the delocalized molecular orbitals of the alkane. This is astonishingly similar to that of a modern $\sigma$-alkane metal complex structure. $\sigma$-Alkane complexes are now assumed as intermediates in most transition-metal mediated C-H activation reactions. ${ }^{14}$

Due to the thermodynamic instability associated with most $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydride complexes, much of the mechanistic work on the C-H activation step has been undertaken on the microscopic reverse reaction, i.e. the reductive elimination of R-H upon protonation of the stable Pt-alkyl precursor. ${ }^{14,15}$ While $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydride complexes had been postulated as intermediates, it was not until 1995 that such species were observed by low temperature NMR spectroscopic studies. ${ }^{40,43-45}$ By use of stabilizing N-donor ligands, several model $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydride complexes were subsequently isolated and structurally characterized, including $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}$ from our laboratory in 1996 (Figure 1.1). ${ }^{46,47}$


DeFelice 1995


Templeton 1996
Canty 1996


Puddephatt 1996

Figure 1.1: Early examples of stable six-coordinate $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydride complexes

Early mechanistic work on the protonation of $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ dimethyl reagents was reported by the Bercaw group (Scheme 1.4). ${ }^{14,40}$ Notably, these studies found deuterium scrambling between the platinum-methyl and the hydride position upon protonation with DOTf. A $\sigma$ alkane intermediate is therefore assumed to form reversibly prior to reductive elimination of methane. Zamashchikov and coworkers account for this H/D exchange by the rapid interconversion between a $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydride species and the $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) \sigma$-alkane complex. They contend that deprotonation to give the $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ alkyl complex could occur from the $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydride species via the oxidative addition pathway. ${ }^{14}$


Scheme 1.4: H/D exchange and evidence for $\sigma$-methane intermediate

Bercaw and coworkers, however, favor deprotonation occuring from the $\sigma$-alkane intermediate in the Shilov system. Formation of an agostic complex, they argue, could make the agostic proton sufficiently acidic to be susceptible to direct deprotonation and, thus, generate the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ alkyl complex. The authors disfavor an oxidative addition pathway by
analogy to related $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ chemistry whereby the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ oxidation state is prohibitably high in energy. ${ }^{14,15,29}$

While neither the $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydride nor the $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) \sigma$-alkane species have been observed directly for the Shilov system, in 1997, Goldberg and Wick demonstrated the feasibility of an oxidative addition pathway by the successful addition of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds to the anionic $\left[\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$reagent to afford $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)($ alkyl $)(\mathrm{H})$ products (Scheme 1.5). ${ }^{48}$ Recent computational studies on the C-H activation step favor an electrophilic substitution pathway. ${ }^{49}$ Ultimately, the evidence that has been compiled supports the intermediacy of both $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydride and $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) \sigma$-alkane species. ${ }^{7,14,15,29}$






Scheme 1.5: Reaction of $\mathrm{KTp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ in hydrocarbon solvent

## Is Pt(IV) Required for Oxidation?

The second and third steps of Shilov's system have also been addressed by mechanistic studies. The second step is argued to occur as (c) an alkyl transfer from $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ (alkyl) to $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ or (d) an inner-sphere two-electron transfer from $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ (alkyl) to $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ (Figure 1.2). Labeling studies by Bercaw and Labinger found $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ to act as external oxidant, therefore anticipating the use of more economical oxidants than platinum for oxidation in future catalysis. ${ }^{50,51}$ An important follow-up to this finding was the use of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ as an oxidant in the Catalytica system (eq. 8). In the presence of a $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ bipyrimidine and concentrated sulfuric acid, methane is selectively converted to methyl bisulfate ( $72 \%$ yield, $89 \%$ conversion, $81 \%$ selectivity). ${ }^{29}$
(c) alkyl transfer

(d) inner-sphere $2 e^{-}$transfer


Figure 1.2: Oxidation via (c) alkyl transfer or (d) an inner-sphere two-electron transfer.

## Reductive Elimination via a Proposed Five-Coordinate Intermediate

The third step, reductive elimination of the new functionalized alkane and regeneration of the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst has been proposed to occur by either (e) nucleophilic $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ pathway from a five-coordinate $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ intermediate or (f) in a concerted fashion directly from the six-coordinate $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ complex via a three-centered transition state (Figure 1.3).


Figure 1.3. Reductive elimination by (e) nucleophilic $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ from a five-coordinate $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV}$ ) intermediate or (f) a concerted fashion directly from the six-coordinate $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$.

Early findings by Zamashchikov and coworkers support formation of the proposed five-coordinate intermediate. Alkylplatinum(IV) species $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right]^{2-}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ) were found to exist in equilibrium with their monoaquo derivatives in aqueous medium and proposed to interconvert via a $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right]^{-}$intermediate. ${ }^{52-56}$ These results were corroborated by the subsequent report by Luinstra, et al. ${ }^{57,58}$ Luinstra's report demonstrated that inversion of stereochemistry at carbon occurs upon reductive elimination, thereby favoring an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ pathway. ${ }^{59}$ While evidence supports the intermediacy of a fivecoordinate species, such an intermediate has not been directly observed in the Shilov system. ${ }^{7,15,60}$

## Tp' Platinum Reagents

Recently, studies on N -ligated platinum systems have supported the formation of $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ intermediates with the isolation of $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydrido complexes upon the reaction of $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ reagents with alkanes. Our contributions to the study of Shilov's system through the isolation of key $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ analogues to these intermediates will be further discussed.

Our group has utilized the hydridotris(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)borate ligand ( $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ ) shown in figure 1.4, to study model complexes and isolate intermediate species in the Shilov oxidation. The monoanionic, 6-electron donating $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ ligand is a particularly useful ligand as it acts as a NMR symmetry handle and can coordinate to the platinum center in either a bi- or tridentate fashion (Figure 1.5). ${ }^{46,61}$ The variable denticity therefore allows access to either the $\mathrm{d}^{8}, \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ square-planer or $\mathrm{d}^{6}, \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ octahedral complexes, respectively. In addition, and perhaps more notably, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ has been shown to stabilize alkyl and hydride ligands in the same coordination sphere of platinum. ${ }^{43,46}$ Thus utilization of this scorpionate ligand has allowed our group to investigate $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ interconversions relevant to the Shilov system. ${ }^{46,62-71}$


Figure 1.4 $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ ligand [hydridotris(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)borate]
$\kappa^{3}$ coordination

$v_{\mathrm{BH}}>2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
$\kappa^{2}$ coordination

$v_{\mathrm{BH}}<2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

Figure 1.5 Coordination modes for $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$

## Modeling Shilov Chemistry with Tp' Platinum Reagents

One proposed intermediate in the C-H activation step of the Shilov Oxidation is that of a six-coordinate $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydrido species, resulting from the oxidative addition of an alkane $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond to $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$. Our group was among the first to report the isolation of such a species with the successful synthesis and structural characterization of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ in $1996{ }^{46}$ Synthesis of the $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ complex proceeds through the protonation of the $\kappa^{2}-$ $\left[\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$reagent as shown in eq. 9 below. In this sequence, protonation occurs at the metal and promotes chelation of the third pyrazole ring to the stabilize the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ center.


In contrast to earlier $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ cis-methyl hydride systems, $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}$ does not induce reductive elimination of methane at room temperature in solution or the solid state. ${ }^{46}$ Reductive elimination of methane from $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}$ can be induced, however, via an acid assisted route at low temperatures. Significantly, protonation of $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{R})_{2} \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]$ with $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]$ was found to occur at a nitrogen atom of a coordinated pyrazole ring (eq. 10). The protonated pyrazole can easily be confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy by the appearance of a singlet resonating downfield between $11-12 \mathrm{ppm}$. Thus, upon protonation, one $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ arm is released, presumably to form a five-coordinate $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ intermediate, which readily eliminates methane in the presence of a trapping ligand, $\mathrm{L}[\mathrm{L}=$ $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{NCCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CN}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$, or py], to afford stable $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})(\mathrm{R})(\mathrm{L})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]$ adducts. ${ }^{72}$


The intermediacy of a five-coordinate $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ species prior to reductive elimination had also been proposed for the Shilov system. While such a intermediate has not been directly observed for the Shilov system, our laboratory reported the isolation of the model complex, $\quad\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}-\mathrm{Tp} p^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiEt}_{3}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right] \quad$ in $2001 .^{73}$ Goldberg and coworkers simultaneously reported the isolation of a related neutral five-coordinate (nacnac) $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{IV}} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ $\left[\right.$ nacnac $\left.=\left\{\left(2,6-i \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \mathrm{NCMe}\right\}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]$ complex. ${ }^{74}$ Our five-coordinate complex can be generated either via protonation of the neutral, six-coordinate $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ precursor,
$\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiR}_{3}\right)[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}$, Ph or PhH$]$ or by addition of $\mathrm{HSiR}_{3}$ directly to a solution of $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]$ (Scheme 1.6). ${ }^{73}$


Scheme 1.6 Synthesis of $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiEt}_{3}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}{ }_{4}\right]$

Evidence of the formation of a $\sigma$-alkane intermediate in the protonation reaction of $\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{D}$ was obtained by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic study at low temperature. Upon addition of $\left[H\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]$ to $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{D}$, resonances attributable to free $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ D were observed in a $1: 1$ ratio along with resonances for two platinum bound methyl groups. This H/D scrambling was concluded to result from the rapid and reversible formation of a $\sigma$-methane intermediate prior to reductive elimination of methane (eq. 11). ${ }^{63}$


Arene C-H activation has been proposed to occur via initial formation of an $\eta^{2}$-arene species analogous to the $\sigma$-alkane intermediate. ${ }^{15,48,75-83}$ Our lab has extensively studied the
microscopic reverse reaction, by inducing reductive C-H coupling to form a stable $\eta^{2}$-arene species upon the reaction of $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}{ }_{4}\right]$ (eq. 12). ${ }^{73}$ The $\left[\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{H}-\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{H}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}{ }_{4}\right]$ was characterized by x-ray crystallography ${ }^{66}$. In solution, this species were found to exist in dynamic equilibrium with five-coordinate platinum aryl dihydride intermediate via arene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ oxidative addition as evidenced by scrambling of the two hydride positions (eq. 13). Barriers for arene oxidative addition were obtained ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}=$ $12.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for the $\eta^{2}$-benzene adduct). ${ }^{64,66}$



A general scheme for the identification of proposed intermediates in the Shilov oxidation by our model $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{PtR}(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ reagents is summarized below (eq. 14) ${ }^{84}$ :


## Investigations of C-H Activation of Hydrocarbons

In a collaboration with Goldberg's group, the $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}$ reagent was found to be capable of thermally activating the C-H bonds of benzene to form $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}$ through the formation of a $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{H}$ intermediate species (eq. 15). ${ }^{63}$ Aryl C-H activation has also been found to occur at ambient temperatures in the presence of the Lewis acid, $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$. Reaction of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ with substoichiometric $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ in aromatic solvents generates $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPhH}_{2}$, respectively. The mechanism of this transformation may proceed via borane or trace protic acid-assisted dissociation of one of the pyrazole rings. Notably, in the case of the alkyl-substituted benzenes, toluene and xylene, only formation of the meta and para activated products was observed under these conditions. 85


Taking the knowledge we have gleaned about $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ interconversions and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ reductive elimination from $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ stabilized $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ alkyl hydrido complexes, the following
chapters will discuss progress toward the goal of selective transformation of hydrocarbons by $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ regents.
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## CHAPTER 2

## STOICHIOMETRIC ALKANE DEHYDROGENATION WITH Tp'PtMe ${ }_{2} \mathrm{H}$ TO FORM $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-OLEFIN $)(\mathrm{H})$ COMPLEXES

## Introduction

The inertness of saturated hydrocarbons limits utilization of alkanes in chemical synthesis. ${ }^{1-7}$ Although many metal reagents are now known to activate C-H bonds, few are capable of delivering productive alkane functionalization. ${ }^{8-19}$ Alkane dehydrogenation to form the corresponding olefin is one of the simplest ways to functionalize hydrocarbons. Many transition metal catalyzed alkane dehydrogenations require stoichiometric amounts of a sacrificial olefin to accept hydrogen and effectively undergo hydrogen transfer instead of net dehydrogenation. ${ }^{15,20-32}$ Recently progress toward developing "acceptorless" dehydrogenation catalysts has been achieved utilizing pincer-ligated iridium complexes. ${ }^{33-36}$

Several platinum complexes have been shown to be capable of alkane dehydrogenation. The platinum examples are stoichiometric with the exception of the catalytic dehydrogenation of cyclooctane promoted by a $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ phosphite complex. ${ }^{37}$ Examples of stoichiometric alkane dehydrogenation involving platinum complexes include: dehydrogenation of neohexane and cyclohexane with a five-coordinate $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ complex stabilized by a $\beta$-diiminate ligand; $;{ }^{38}$ dehydrogenation of various alkanes with (pyridinophane) $\mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ via methane elimination; ${ }^{39}$ and dehydrogenation of ethers and cyclohexane with an electrophilic (tmeda) $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complex. ${ }^{40}$

Recently, a detailed mechanistic study on the thermolysis of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1})\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}=\right.$ hydridotris(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)borate] ${ }^{41}$ in various solvents was reported. ${ }^{42}$ The observation that thermolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ in cyclohexane resulted in the formation of free cyclohexene prompted us to study this reaction in more detail. The [Tp'PtMe] intermediate generated by methide abstraction from $[\mathrm{K}]\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2}\right]$ is known to activate $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds to give stable $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{H})($ alkyl $)$ complexes. ${ }^{43}$ We now report that $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{H})($ alkyl $)$ complexes can be generated via Lewis acid induced methane loss from $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (1) in alkane solvents, and reductive elimination of methane from $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}(\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{R})$ intermediates followed by $\beta$-H elimination forms $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-olefin $)(\mathrm{H})$ products.

## Results and Discussion

Neutral $\eta^{2}$-Olefin Complexes. Heating $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (1) in cycloalkane solvents (cyclopentane, cyclohexane, cyclooctane; $35-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in the presence of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ results in the loss of two equivalents of methane and formation of $\operatorname{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\operatorname{cycloalkene}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ [cycloalkene $=$ $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (2), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ (3), $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ (4)] complexes (eq. 1) after 2 days. Initial loss of methane resulted in the formation of a detectable amount of intermediate species, $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{H})\left(\right.$ cycloalkyl) [cycloalkane $=\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ (2a), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ (3a), $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15}$ (4a)], with hydride chemical shifts similar to those previously reported. ${ }^{43}$ When monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy in the hydride region at room temperature, the intermediates, 2a, 3a, and 4a are evident. These unsymmetrical intermediates, present at a low and relatively constant concentration, are consumed to form the $\eta^{2}$-olefin product. The Pt-H resonances for the $\mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{P}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{cycloalkyl})$ complexes appear slightly upfield of hydridodimethyl platinum
reagent 1 (-20.90 ppm), at $-21.08 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{2 a )},-21.54 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{3 a})$, and $-21.66 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{4 a})$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

To probe the source of methane generated early in the reaction, complex $\mathbf{1}$ was combined with cyclohexane- $d_{12}$ and progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy at 7 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, just above the freezing point of the solvent. Initial methane loss was evident as a singlet appeared at $\delta=0.19 \mathrm{ppm}$ indicating that $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ formed from $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}$ first. Upon subsequent warming to $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, scrambling to form $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{D}_{4-\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}=3,2$ or 1$)$ methane isotopologues was observed. These results are consistent with previously reported findings upon thermolysis of complex 1 with cyclohexane $-d_{12}$ at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{42}$


Considering the olefin as a neutral ligand allows one to consider a $\mathrm{d}^{8} \mathrm{Pt}$ configuration with either a square planar or trigonal bipyramidal $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ geometry (Figure 2.1). The coordination mode of the $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ ligand can be determined by measuring the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching frequency, and thus the geometry of the platinum complex follows indirectly from $v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H} \cdot}{ }^{44} \mathrm{~A}$ B-H stretching frequency above $2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is indicative of $\kappa^{3}-\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ coordination, while a $\nu_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}}$ below $2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ coordination. ${ }^{44}$ The solution IR spectrum for $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{H})\left(\eta^{2}-\right.$ cyclo- $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), 2, in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ indicates $\kappa^{3}$ coordination for Tp ' as evident by a B-H absorption at $2533 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. IR data for the other $\eta^{2}$-olefin complexes, 3 and 4, are also consistent with $\kappa^{3}$ coordination. Similar neutral $\mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}($ olefin $) \mathrm{X}$ complexes also exhibit $\kappa^{3}$ coordination of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$,
as illustrated by the IR spectrum and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{NMR}{ }^{45}$ chemical shift of $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{PtMe}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\left(v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $=2536 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left.\delta=-8.72 \mathrm{ppm}\right) .{ }^{46}$ IR spectra for platinum olefin complexes $2-4$ also display a platinum hydride stretch near $2270 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ consistent with their formulation as the $\beta$-hydride elimination product.


Figure 2.1: Possible geometries for $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-cycloalkene)(H) complexes.

Both tridentate $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ resonance structures shown in Figure 2.1 are acceptable, but the platinum(IV) octahedral metallacycloalkane depiction is particularly convenient for predicting the olefin orientation. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-cycloalkene)(H) complexes display a $2: 1$ pattern for the pyrazole resonances consistent with mirror symmetry in the molecule. The two olefinic protons are enantiotopic and appear as a multiplet with platinum satellites in accord with the platinum(IV) octahedral metallacycloalkane geometry. The olefin protons in the $\eta^{2}$-cyclohexene complex, 3, resonate at 3.79 ppm , well upfield from free cyclohexene $(\delta=6.02 \mathrm{ppm}),{ }^{42}$ with ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=100 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The other $\eta^{2}$-cycloalkene complexes, $\mathbf{2}$ and 4, show similar ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra with olefinic signals at $3.64 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $94 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $3.36 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=90 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, respectively. The carbon atoms coordinated to the platinum center in the $\eta^{2}$-cyclohexene complex, 3, resonate at 32.1 ppm with ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=362 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

The $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ resonances for the $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-cycloalkene $)(\mathrm{H})$ complexes appear far upfield at $28.57 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathbf{2},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1183 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),-29.19 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathbf{3},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1200 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, and $-29.12 \mathrm{ppm}\left(4,{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $=1198 \mathrm{~Hz})$ possibly due to their location in the shielding region of the adjacent pyrazole
rings. Similar Pt-H chemical shifts were observed in ([2.1.1]pyridinophane) $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-olefin $)(\mathrm{H})$ complexes. ${ }^{39}$

To assess the ability of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (1) to activate more sterically hindered $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $t$-butylethane in the presence of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ was studied. 'The observed product formed, $\operatorname{Tp} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)}\right)\right.$, upon Lewis acid induced methane elimination, arises when the less sterically hindered primary C-H bond of the ethyl group of $t$-butylethane is activated. The Pt-H resonates at $-20.99 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1443 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Continued heating results in elimination of a second equivalent of methane and formation of the $\beta$-H elimination product $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{p}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-neohexene)(H) (5). The solution IR spectrum for $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{H})\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-neohexene) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ indicates $\kappa^{3}$ coordination for $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}\left(v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}}=2535 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ as was seen in the $\eta^{2}$-cycloalkene complexes. The three olefin protons are all distinct. The internal olefin proton resonates at 3.70 ppm with ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=97 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and is easily distinguished since cis and trans couplings to the other olefin protons of ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=10.8$ Hz , respectively, are observed. The Pt-H resonates far upfield at $-28.78 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1154\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz})$ similar to the $\operatorname{Tp} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-cycloalkene $)(\mathrm{H})$ complexes.

The ability of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (1) to activate $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds regioselectively was examined by the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $n$-pentane. Upon Lewis acid induced methane elimination, activation of the primary $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of the solvent is indicated by the formation of $\mathrm{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(1-$ pentyl)(Me)H as in accord with a previously reported synthesis. ${ }^{43}$ No formation of the secondary C-H bond activation products, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(2-\right.$ pentyl)(Me)H or $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(3-$ pentyl)(Me)H, was observed under these conditions. As the reaction proceeds, elimination of a second equivalent of methane from $\operatorname{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(1-$ pentyl $)(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{H}$ occurs followed by $\beta$-H elimination to give the $\eta^{2}$-1-pentene product, $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ (6a) (Eq. 2). Increased
reaction times show the appearance of a new product formulated as the $\eta^{2}$-2-pentene complex, $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)(\mathrm{H})(\mathbf{6 b})$. Since initial results show no formation of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(2-\mathrm{pentyl})(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(3-\mathrm{pentyl})(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{H}$ which could lead directly to the $\eta^{2}-2-$ pentene complex $\mathbf{6 b}$, formation of $\mathbf{6 b}$ is most likely a result of isomerization of $\mathbf{6 a}$ to the internal olefin complex via 2,1-insertion of the olefin into the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ bond followed by $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination (Figure 2.2). The ratio of $\mathbf{6 a}: \mathbf{6 b}$ after 15 h was $4: 1$.



Figure 2.2: $\operatorname{Proposed}$ mechanism for isomerization of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-1\right.$-pentene $)(\mathrm{H})(\mathbf{6 a})$ to $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-2\right.$-pentene)(H) (6b).

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction of 1 with $n$-pentane after 15 h indicated the formation of only one isomer for the $\eta^{2}$-1-pentene complex 6 a and one for the $\eta^{2}$-2-pentene complex 6b out of the two and four possible NMR distinguishable facial isomers, respectively. Differentiation between isomers $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ was possible by analysis of the olefin proton resonances. Formation of the $\eta^{2}-1$-pentene complex $\mathbf{6 a}$ was confirmed by the observation of three COSY correlated olefinic protons. The two terminal olefin protons for

6a resonate at $2.82 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=86 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and $2.41 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $=50 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) as doublets of doublets. The internal olefinic proton resonates at 3.39 ppm as a multiplet. The $\eta^{2}-2$-pentene isomer $\mathbf{6 b}$ has only two olefinic protons, and a distinctive doublet appears for the terminal methyl group at $1.59 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $42 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
$\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-1\right.$-pentene $)(\mathrm{H})$ (6a) has also been prepared independently via low temperature protonation of $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ (7), followed by addition of 1-pentene and subsequent deprotonation (Scheme 2.1). In a previous investigation of $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ar})(\mathrm{R})(\mathrm{H})$ complexes $\left[\mathrm{Ar}=\operatorname{aryl}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ ], protonation of these aryl complexes at low temperature was found to result in the formation of cationic $\operatorname{Pt}$ (II) $\eta^{2}$-arene adducts. ${ }^{47}$ Complex 7 was protonated with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to form $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-benzene $\left.)(\mathrm{H})\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ (8). Addition of excess 1-pentene to the cold solution of $\mathbf{8}$ led to formation of $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-1-\right.\right.$ pentene $)(\mathrm{H})]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](9)$ after 5 hr . Complex 9 can be observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR below 233 K as two isomers with platinum hydrides resonating at $-22.19 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1080 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and -23.59 $\operatorname{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1176 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. The neutral, six-coordinate $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-1\right.$-pentene $)(\mathrm{H})(6 \mathbf{a})$ was subsequently trapped by the deprotonation with $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$. Once 9 had been deprotonated the solution could be warmed and $\mathbf{6 a}$ could be isolated without further isomerization to $\mathbf{6 b}$. However, if $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-1\right.\right.$-pentene $\left.)(\mathrm{H})\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](9)$ was warmed prior to deprotonation, a mixture of $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ resulted. Synthesis of the $\eta^{2}-2$-pentene isomer, $\mathbf{6 b}$, by an analogous route was unsuccessful.
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Scheme 2.1: Low Temperature Route to $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pat}^{2}\left(\eta^{2}-1\right.$-pentene)(H) (6a).

Cationic $\eta^{2}$-Olefin Complexes. Low temperature protonation of the $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Ptt}^{\prime} \eta^{2}$ cycloalkene $)(\mathrm{H})$ complexes, $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, with $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}=\right.$ tetrakis $(3,5-$ trifluoromethylphenyl)borate] ${ }^{48}$ results in removal of one of the pyrazole arms from the metal center as cationic $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) \eta^{2}$-olefin complexes $\left[\kappa^{2}\right.$-(HTp') $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-cycloalkene $\left.)(\mathrm{H})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}{ }_{4}\right]$ [cycloalkene $=\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{1 0})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathbf{1 1})$ ] (Eq. 3) form. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the $\left[\kappa^{2}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-cycloalkene $\left.)(\mathrm{H})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]$ complexes display $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ resonances at $9.82(\mathbf{1 0})$ and 9.90 ppm (11) for the protonated pyrazole arm. The mirror symmetry of the starting neutral
platinum complexes, $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, has been lost upon protonation as is evident in the unique signals observed for each of the three nonequivalent pyrazole arms in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.


The absence of symmetry in the protonated platinum complex is also reflected in the two inequivalent olefin proton resonances in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. The two distinct olefin protons for the $\eta^{2}$-cyclopentene complex 10 resonate at $5.37\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=77 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and 4.54 ppm $\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=77 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, well downfield from their chemical shift in neutral analog 2. Complex 11 shows similar resonances for the coordinated cyclohexene at $5.47 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=70 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and $4.63 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=74 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. The carbon atoms coordinated to the cationic platinum center in the $\eta^{2}$-cyclohexene complex $\mathbf{1 1}$ are also distinct and resonate at 82.6 ppm and 81.5 ppm . The Pt-H resonances for $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ are shifted downfield from their neutral analogs to -23.25 ( ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}}$ $\mathrm{H}=1182 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $-23.75\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1226 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, respectively.

The structural features of the cationic $\eta^{2}$-cyclohexene platinum complex $\mathbf{1 1}$ were investigated by single crystal X-ray diffraction. An ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{1 1}$ is shown in Figure 2.3. The $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}(21)$ distance of $2.135(6) \AA$ is $0.1 \AA$ longer than the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}(11)$ distance of $2.035(6) \AA$ trans to the cyclohexene ligand, indicating the stronger trans influence of the hydride ligand (Table 2.1). The bond distance between C1-C2 (1.366(15) $\AA$ ) is significantly shorter than the single bond C-C distances in the cyclohexene ring indicating the retention of partial double bond character when coordinated to platinum(II). The tilt angle of the olefin in cyclohexene relative to the platinum square plane is $131.5^{\circ}$. Based on the geometries of
other square-planar platinum(II) olefin complexes, one would anticipate the orientation of the olefin in cyclohexene to be perpendicular to the platinum square plane. ${ }^{46}$ Unfavorable steric interactions between the cyclohexene ring and the uncoordinated pyrazole ring appear to dictate the alignment of the olefin away from perpendicular relative to the platinum square plane.


Figure 2.3: ORTEP diagram of $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\right.\right.$ cyclo $\left.\left.-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)(\mathrm{H})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]$ (11). Ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level, and the $\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}{ }_{4}\right]$ counterion is omitted for clarity.

Table 2.1: Selected Bond Distances $(\AA)$ and Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for Complex 11

| Pt-C1 | $2.189(8)$ | C1-C2 | $1.366(15)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt-C2 | $2.204(9)$ | C1-C6 | $1.510(14)$ |
| Pt-N11 | $2.035(6)$ | C2-C3 | $1.503(16)$ |
| Pt-N21 | $2.135(6)$ | C4-C5 | $1.47(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| C1-Pt-C2 | $36.2(4)$ | C2-Pt-N21 | $110.0(3)$ |
| C1-Pt-N11 | $164.1(3)$ | N11-Pt-N21 | $85.71(22)$ |
| C1-Pt-N21 | $86.2(3)$ | Pt-C1-C2 | $72.5(5)$ |
| C2-Pt-N11 | $159.1(3)$ | Pt-C2-C1 | $71.3(5)$ |

## Summary

Stoichiometric alkane dehydrogenation was observed when $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ was treated with Lewis acid in alkane solvents. The reaction is proposed to proceed via borane-induced methane elimination and subsequent $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation of the solvent to give a $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})($ alkyl $) \mathrm{H}$ intermediate. Upon further heating, elimination of a second equivalent of methane occurs followed by $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination to give $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}^{\prime}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-olefin $)(\mathrm{H})$ complexes. Although the selective conversion of $n$-pentane to 1 -pentene was not achieved, initial results indicate regioselective activation of the stronger primary C - H bond over the weaker secondary C-H bond of $n$-pentane. Protonation of neutral $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-cycloalkene)(H) complexes results in release of one pyrazole arm from the platinum center and formation of cationic $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) \eta^{2}$-cycloalkene complexes.

## Experimental Section

Materials and Methods. Reactions were performed under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen or argon using standard drybox techniques. Argon and nitrogen were purified by passage through columns of BASF R3-11 catalyst and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. All glassware was oven-dried prior to use. Methylene chloride and pentane were purified under an argon atmosphere by passage through a column packed with activated alumina. ${ }^{49}$ Cyclopentane (Aldrich, sure seal), cyclohexane (Aldrich, sure seal), cyclooctane, and tert-butylethane were used as purchased. Deuterated methylene chloride was vacuum transferred from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and degassed by several freeze-pump-thaw cycles.
$\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H},{ }^{50} \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPhH}_{2}{ }^{47}$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]^{48}$ were synthesized according to published procedures. $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ was used as obtained from Strem. $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ was obtained from Aldrich and used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 or 300 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts were referenced to residual ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals of the deuterated solvents. Chemical analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlabs of Norcross, GA.

Representative Synthesis of $\mathbf{T p}^{\prime} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P t}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}}\right.$-olefin $)(\mathbf{H})$. In a typical experiment, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (1) $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.191 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(0.098 \mathrm{~g})$ were weighed into a 100 mL Schlenk flask. Then 15 mL of the alkane solvent were added via syringe through the septum. The reaction mixture was heated at $35-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for two days. After solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was chromatographed on alumina $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ as eluent $)$ and a white solid was obtained which was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ methanol at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
 as described above. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 days at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield: 85 mg (79 \%). IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}}=2533 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=2265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 253 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right)$ : 5.83, $5.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C} H\right), 3.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=94 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right), 2.32$, 2.30, 2.16, $2.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.00,1.71,1.16(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\stackrel{\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}}{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right),-28.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1183 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 253 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, $\delta): 148.9,144.5,143.9\left(3 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 107.8,104.9(1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{CH}), 38.4,32.2$, $22.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.6,13.2,12.4,12.2(2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{PtBN}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 39.03$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.15$; N, 13.00; Found: C , 40.03; H, 5.15; N, 12.79.
$\mathbf{T p} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P t}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{c y c l o}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 0}}\right)(\mathbf{H})$ (3). Anhydrous cyclohexane ( 15 mL ) was added to the solids as described above. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield: 70 mg (64 \%). IR (KBr): $v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}}=2516 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=2269 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 263 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 5.83,5.64$
(s, 2H, 1H, Tp'CH), $3.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=100 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right.$ ), 2.32, 2.31, 2.21, $2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, \quad 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.09,1.62,1.28(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m} 8 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} H_{2}\right)\right),-29.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1200 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, $263 \mathrm{~K}, \delta): 150.8,149.0,144.5,143.9\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 108.3,105.1(1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}$, $\left.\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 32.1\left(2 \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=362 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right.$, 29.6, 22.1 (2C, 2C, $\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, ) 14.7, 12.6, 12.5, $11.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{PtBN}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{33} 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{C}, 43.66$; H, 5.96; N, 14.21; Found: C, 43.13; H, 5.66; N, 14.34.
$\mathbf{T p} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{P t}}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{8}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 4}}\right)(\mathbf{H})$ (4). Cyclooctane ( 15 mL ) was added to the solids as described above. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield: $91 \mathrm{mg}(79 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}}=2533 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=2275 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 263 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 5.82,5.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=90 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}\left(\stackrel{\left.\mathrm{C} H=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.32 \text {, }}{ }\right.\right.$ 2.31, $2.20, \quad 2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, ~ 3 \mathrm{H}, \quad 6 \mathrm{H}, \quad 6 \mathrm{H}, \quad 3 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \quad 1.66-1.41 \quad(\mathrm{~m}, \quad 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\stackrel{\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}}{2}\right), \quad-29.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1198 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 263 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 151.0,148.9,144.0,143.9\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 108.4,105.0(1 \mathrm{C}$, 2C, Tp'CH), $37.5\left(2 \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=362 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), ~ 33.5,31.7\right.$, $26.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right.$,) 14.9, 12.6, 12.5, $12.0(2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}$, 1C, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{PtBN}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{37}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : C, 41.87; H, 5.71; N, 12.21; Found: C, 42.17; H, 5.80; N, 12.39.
$\mathbf{T p} \mathbf{P}^{\prime} \mathbf{P t}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right.$-neohexene)(H) (5). Anhydrous tert-butylethane ( 15 mL ) was added to the solids as described above. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 days at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield: 72 mg ( 65 \%). IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}}=2535 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 240 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 5.82,5.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,

$2.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.35,2.27,2.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H},}\right.\right.$ Pt-CH ${ }_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right),-28.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1154 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right)$. The other inequivalent olefin proton resonance is obscured by the $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ resonances in the range from $2.35-2.18 \mathrm{ppm}$. Satisfactory analytical data was not obtained.
$\mathbf{T p} \mathbf{P}^{\prime} \mathbf{P t}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right.$-pentene)(H). Anhydrous $n$-pentane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the solids as described above. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 hours at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ratio of $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-1\right.$-pentene)(H)(6a) to $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-2-\right.$ pentene $)(\mathrm{H})(\mathbf{6 b})$ was $4: 1$ with a yield of 34 mg $(63 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}}=2535 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for $\mathbf{6 a}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 5.83,5.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.82\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=86 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}($ trans -H$\left.)\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=50 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{H}}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}(c i s-\mathrm{H})\right), 2.38-2.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.71, $1.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{t},{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-29.14}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1144 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for $\mathbf{6 b}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 5.83,5.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Tp'CH), $3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{C} H\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.38-2.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.59(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=42 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 1.07$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right),-29.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1208 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right)$.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{T p}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- 1} \mathbf{- p e n t e n e}\right)(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{( 6 a )} . \operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{H})_{2}(7)(85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.148 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a 50 mL Schlenk flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and dissolved in $15 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1.1 equivelents of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}(22 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.162 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added via syringe. After 15 minutes, $190 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ of cold 1-pentene were added to the solution. After 5 $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}(22 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.148 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the cold solution to depronate. After 1.5 h , the cold bath was removed and solvent pulled off in vacuo, resulting in an oily yellow product. The product was taken up in minimal $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 5 x hexanes were added to crash out the
salts. The liquid was filtered away from the salts via canula transfer and the filtrate condensed to a white powder by rotoevaporation. Yield: 69 mg ( $83 \%$ ).
[ $\left.\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- ( \mathbf { H T p }} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{P t}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}}\right.$-1-pentene)(H)][BF 4$]$ (9). An NMR tube was charged with 15 mg of $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-1\right.$-pentene $)(\mathrm{H})(6 a)(0.0266 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the solids dissolved in 0.7 ml anhydrous $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in the drybox. Outside the drybox, the tube was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](0.0266 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The sample was kept below $233 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 233 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right):-22.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1080 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right),-23.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1176 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{Pt}-H)$.

Representative $\left[\mathbf{B A r}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]^{-}$NMR Data. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for the $\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]^{-}$counterion are reported separately for simplicity. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 193 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 7.77$ (br, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.60 (br, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}^{\prime}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 193 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 162.2\left(1: 1: 1: 1\right.$ pattern, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}}=50 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.C_{i p s o}\right), 135.3\left(C_{\text {ortho }}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{qq},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=30 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\mathrm{meta}}\right), 125.1\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=270 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.C F_{3}\right), 117.9\left(C_{\text {para }}\right)$.
$\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{( \mathbf { H T p }}{ }^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{P t}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\left.\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{8}}\right)(\mathbf{H})\right]\left[\mathbf{B A r} \mathbf{'}_{4}\right]$ (10). $\quad \operatorname{Tp} \mathbf{P t}^{\prime}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)(\mathrm{H})(2)(0.125 \mathrm{~g}, 0.239$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right](0.290 \mathrm{~g}, 0.287 \mathrm{mmol})$ were weighed into a 100 mL Schlenk flask in the drybox. The flask was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ outside the drybox. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added through the septum, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes. The cold bath was removed, and the reaction was stirred for 30 minutes while warming to room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was triturated with pentane. Colorless crystals were obtained from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ pentane at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield: $66 \mathrm{mg}(20 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 293 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 9.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz} \mathrm{N} H), 6.24,6.09,6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ each, HTp'CH), 5.37 $\left(\mathrm{m}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=77 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C} H=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right), 4.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=77 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)}\right)$, 2.37, 2.36, 2.27, 2.22, $1.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HTp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$,
2.09, 1.65, $1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ each, $\left.\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} H_{2}\right)\right),-23.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1182 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\right.$ H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 293 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 153.8,153.2,150.2,149.2,148.7,144.3\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$, 110.2, 109.4, $108.1(\mathrm{HTp} \mathrm{CH}), 86.9,85.7 \quad\left(\mathrm{Pt}\left(\stackrel{\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}}{2}\right), 35.6,34.3,21.0\right.$ $\left(\operatorname{Pt}\left(\stackrel{\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)}{ }\right)\right.$ 16.4, 14.2, 13.3, 11.6, $11.4 \quad\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{PtB}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{44}: \mathrm{C}, 43.81 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.11$; N, 5.89; Found: C, $44.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.12 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.61$.
$\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- ( \mathbf { H T p }} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{P t}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}}\right.$-cyclo- $\left.\left.\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 0}}\right)(\mathbf{H})\right]\left[\mathbf{B A r}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]$ (11). $\quad \operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)(\mathrm{H})(3)(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.072$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right](0.089 \mathrm{~g}, 0.088 \mathrm{mmol})$ were weighed into a 50 mL Schlenk flask in the drybox. The flask was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ outside the drybox. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added through the septum, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes. The cold bath was removed, and the reaction was stirred for 30 minutes while warming to room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was triturated with pentane. Colorless crystals were obtained from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ pentane at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield: $67 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 293 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 9.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz} \mathrm{N}^{\prime} H\right), 6.25,6.10,6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ each, HTp'CH), 5.47 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=70 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C} H=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right), 4.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=74 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right), \quad 2.39,2.38,2.36,2.26,2.24,1.80$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ each, HTp'CH 3 ), 1.70-1.27 (m, 8H, $\left.\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} H_{2}\right)\right),-23.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1226 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 293 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): \quad 153.7,153.3,150.2,149.1,148.8,144.3\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 110.3$, 109.5, $108.0(\mathrm{HTp} \mathrm{CH}), 82.6,81.5\left(\mathrm{Pt}\left(\stackrel{\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right), 30.3,29.6,21.3,20.9}{ }\right.\right.$
 $\mathrm{PtB}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{46}: \mathrm{C}, 44.22$; H, 3.22; N, 5.84; Found: C, 44.26; H, 3.09; N, 5.78.

Structural Data for 11. Crystals from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ pentane; $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{45.34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{24} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{M}=1438.98$; triclinic, space group $P-1 ; Z=2 ; a=12.6222(4), b=12.6738(4), c=18.3604(5) \AA ; \alpha=$ 87.648(1), $\beta=82.375(1), \gamma=83.164(1)^{\circ} ; \mathrm{U}=2889.52(15) \AA^{3} ; \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.654 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3} ; \mathrm{T}=-$
$100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \max 20: 50^{\circ} ; \mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA) ; 10163$ unique reflections were obtained and 8156 of these with $\mathrm{I}>2.5 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ were used in the refinement; data were collected on a Bruker SMART diffractometer, using the omega scan method. For significant reflections merging R-value: 0.032 ; Residuals: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}: 0.050 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}$ : 0.060 (significant reflections); GoF: 1.7330.
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## CHAPTER 3

## ETHYLENE INSERTION IN $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ AND C-H ACTIVATION OF ETHYLBENZENE TO FORM A Pt(IV) ORTHO-METALLATED PHENETHYL COMPLEX

## Introduction

Generation of useful chemicals from readily available and inexpensive chemical feedstocks, such as saturated hydrocarbons, remains a major research challenge. Transition metal-mediated activation of C-H bonds is a promising approach to achieve this goal, and significant effort has been directed at understanding these processes. ${ }^{1-8}$ While many metal reagents are capable of activating C-H bonds, few lead to catalytic formation of new C-C or C-X bonds, a prerequisite for the production of organic molecules. ${ }^{9,10}$ Substantive advances in C-H activation of arenes with subsequent $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation have been made, although they are primarily limited to arenes with heteroatom functionality. ${ }^{11}$ The heteroatom typically allows coordination of the arene to the metal center thus positioning the C-H bond to be cleaved. One of the most useful transformations of this type is the ruthenium- ${ }^{12-14}$ or rhodium-catalyzed ${ }^{15}$ selective ortho alkylation of aromatic ketones with olefins.

Transformations with unactivated C-H bonds by transition metal complexes, such as hydroarylation of olefins, are rare. ${ }^{16-23} \mathrm{~A}$ few homogeneous catalysts have been reported recently to catalyze the intermolecular hydroarylation of unactivated arenes with unactivated olefins via a C-H activation reaction. Periana and co-workers, for example, have described the regioselective hydroarylation of olefins by O-donor ligated $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ catalysts, specifically,
$(\text { acac- } \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O})_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{R})($ py $)$ and, more recently, $(\text { trop-O,O })_{2} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{Ph})($ py $)\left(\right.$ acac-O, $\mathrm{O}=\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}-$ acetylacetonate, trop- $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}=\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}$-tropolonato, $\left.\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) .{ }^{24-30}$ Gunnoe and co-workers ${ }^{31-33}$ have reported a related $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst, $\mathrm{TpRu}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{NCMe}) \mathrm{Me}$ ( $\mathrm{Tp}=$ hydridotris(pyrazolyl)borate), that is $\sim 200$ times faster than previous systems ${ }^{34}$ for the catalytic conversion of benzene and ethylene to ethylbenzene at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ systems preferentially undergo 2,1-insertion to form straight-chain alkylarenes. Theoretical studies suggest that these systems react through a common mechanism which includes insertion of the $\pi$ bond of the metal coordinated ethylene into the metal-aryl bond and C-H activation/hydrogen transfer of an unactivated benzene. ${ }^{26,27,34}$ Of the various systems that have been reported as active catalysts for the hydroarylation of unactivated olefins, none have proven to be sufficiently active and/or selective to be commercially viable. ${ }^{27}$

The synthesis of $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (5) was undertaken in order to explore its potential as a catalyst in ethylbenzene formation, perhaps via a catalytic cycle analogous to that of the previously reported systems. ${ }^{27,30,33,34}$ Thermolysis of complex (5) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, however, leads to formation of a stable platinum(IV) ortho-metallated complex, ${ }^{T p}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ (7). While our system undergoes $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation via insertion of ethylene into the platinum phenyl linkage, subsequent intramolecular C-H activation of an ortho-aryl proton, yielding the metallacycle, occurs rather than intermolecular C-H activation of solvent. This ortho-metallated platinum hydride complex, 7, has also been independently prepared as the sole product in the reaction of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (2) with ethylbenzene.

## Results and Discussion

Tp'PtLR Complexes. The synthesis of a variety of neutral Tp'PtLMe complexes via protonation of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (2), addition of a neutral trapping ligand and subsequent deprotonation has been reported. ${ }^{35}$ This reaction sequence has now been used to prepare analogous $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{L})$ complexes, $\mathrm{Tp} p^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{CO})(4)$ and $\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{5})$, via a protonation/ligand addition/deprotonation sequence with $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (1) as the metal precursor. Low temperature addition of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to a solution of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{2})$ results in the formation of the phenyl benzene adduct $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]^{36}$ as indicated by the yellow solution color. Addition of ethylene or CO and warming to room temperature results in loss of the $\eta^{2}$-benzene ligand and formation of a $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ cation, $\quad\left[\kappa^{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{L})\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{CO}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Deprotonation of the free pyrazole ring with NaH in THF results in the formation of the desired neutral complexes, $\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{CO})$ (4) and $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (5) (eq. 1).


The geometries of analogous $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{L})$ complexes depend on the identity of the neutral trapping ligand. ${ }^{35}$ Complexes containing $\sigma$-donor ligands, such as NCMe or $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$, display simple square-planar $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ geometries with one free $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ pyrazolyl arm, while complexes with $\pi$-acid ligands, such as CO or ethylene, bind all three Tp rings to the platinum center (Figure 3.1). Both resonance structures shown in Figure 1 for $\kappa^{3}-\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ platinum complexes
with olefin ligands are applicable. The octahedral $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ metallacyclopropane depiction is particularly useful for representing olefin orientation and for predicting coupling constants. The coordination mode of the Tp ' ligand can be determined by measuring the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching frequency, and this indirectly indicates the geometry of the platinum complex. A B-H stretching frequency above $2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is indicative of $\kappa^{3}-\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ coordination, while a B-H stretch below $2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates $\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ coordination. ${ }^{37}$ The methyl ethylene complex, $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, displays a five-coordinate trigonal-bipyramidal geometry, whereas, the methyl carbonyl complex, $\mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{CO})$, undergoes rapid interconversion between trigonal-bipyramidal and square-planar geometries on the NMR time scale. The analogous $\mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{P}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{CO})$ complex 4 displays a similar solution IR spectrum to the methyl carbonyl complex with two absorptions for both the B-H stretch $\left(2528,2485 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and CO stretch (2092, $2082 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). This data is consistent with rapid interconversion of the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) \kappa^{3}-\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}\left(v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $\left.2528 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\mathrm{CO}} 2082 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) \kappa^{2}-\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}\left(v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}} 2485 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\mathrm{CO}} 2092 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ geometries in solution. ${ }^{35,38,39}$


Pt(II) square planar


Pt(IV) octahedral

Figure 3.1; Possible geometries for neutral Tp 'Pt complexes.

The mirror symmetry observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the phenyl carbonyl complex 4 is consistent with a rapid equilibration between square-planar and trigonal bipyramidal geometries in solution. The NMR timescale is too slow to resolve this dynamic process, but both geometries are evident in the infrared spectrum. The carbonyl carbon for complex 4
resonates at 163.7 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, close to the CO chemical shift in the $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{CO})$ complex. ${ }^{35}$

Proton NMR spectra for neutral $\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{L})$ complexes display a 2:1 pattern for the pyrazole resonances consistent with mirror symmetry in the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ trigonal bipyramidal geometry. The four olefinic protons for the phenyl ethylene complex 5 are equivalent at room temperature due to a combination of mirror symmetry and rapid olefin rotation (Figure 3.2). At 253 K , olefin rotation is slow on the NMR time scale, and doublets are observed at $3.31 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=80 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and $2.44 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=56 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ and ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.2$ Hz ) reflecting the $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{XX}^{\prime}$ pattern of the static $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ structure. The carbon atoms in the coordinated ethylene are isochronous and resonate at 24.2 ppm .


Figure 3.2: Olefin orientation in $\operatorname{Tp} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (5).
The structural features of the neutral phenyl ethylene platinum complex 5 were investigated by X-ray structural analysis. An ORTEP diagram of 5 shows $\kappa^{3}$-coordination of the Tp ' ligand (Figure 3.3). The platinum atom exhibits a trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry (Table 3.1). One coordinated pyrazole ring and the phenyl ligand occupy the axial positions $\left(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 21=171.7(6)^{\circ}\right)$ while the other pyrazole rings and ethylene ligand are in the equatorial plane $\left(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 11=117.1(8)^{\circ}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 31=115.6(6)^{\circ}\right)$. The ethylene ligand is oriented perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Ph}$ bond $\left(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C} 3=90.4(7)^{\circ}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C} 3=$ $\left.92.0(8)^{\circ}\right)$ with a C1-C2 bond distance of $1.43(3) \AA$. This coordinated olefin C-C bond
distance is similar to other $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths observed in neutral $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ ethylene complexes. ${ }^{40,41}$


Figure 3.3: ORTEP diagram of $\operatorname{Tp} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (5). Ellipsoids are drawn at the 50\% probability level.

Table 3.1; Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complex 5.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| C1-Pt-C2 | $40.2(9)$ | Pt-C2-C1 | $71.4(10)$ |
| C1-Pt-C3 | $90.4(7)$ | Pt-C1-C2 | $68.4(10)$ |
| C2-Pt-C3 | $92.0(8)$ | C3-Pt-N11 | $89.4(6)$ |
| C1-Pt-N11 | $157.3(6)$ | C3-Pt-N21 | $171.7(6)$ |
| C1-Pt-N21 | $97.7(6)$ | C3-Pt-N31 | $90.8(6)$ |
| C1-Pt-N31 | $115.6(6)$ | N11-Pt-N21 | $83.8(5)$ |
| C2-Pt-N11 | $117.1(8)$ | N11-Pt-N31 | $87.1(5)$ |
| C2-Pt-N21 | $95.3(7)$ | N21-Pt-N31 | $84.1(5)$ |
| C2-Pt-N31 | $155.6(9)$ |  |  |


| Bond distances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt-C1 | $2.104(18)$ | Pt-N11 | $2.168(15)$ |
| Pt-C2 | $2.064(16)$ | Pt-N21 | $2.220(12)$ |
| Pt-C3 | $2.052(14)$ | Pt-N31 | $2.140(12)$ |
| C1-C2 | $1.43(3)$ |  |  |

The intermediate cationic $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) \quad \eta^{2}$-ethylene phenyl complex, $\quad\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{Ph})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]$ (6) could be detected by low temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. Protonation of complex 5 with $\left[H\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]$ resulted in the release of one pyrazole ring from the metal center and formation of complex 6. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for complex 6 displays an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ resonance at 10.36 ppm for the protonated pyrazole ring. The mirror symmetry of the starting neutral platinum complex 5 has been lost, as is evident by the unique signal for each of the three nonequivalent pyrazole rings observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. Rapid rotation of the ethylene ligand around the midpoint of the platinum-ethylene bond at room temperature is indicated by the two multiplets observed at 4.21 and 3.77 ppm with broad platinum satellites for the ethylene protons. Similar NMR data has been reported for the analogous methyl complex, $\left[\kappa^{2}-(\mathrm{HTp}) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{Me})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right] .{ }^{35}$

Olefin Insertion. The addition of Lewis acids to $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (2) and $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMeH}_{2}$ (3) has been proposed to induce dissociation of one of the pyrazole rings from the platinum center to generate a reactive five-coordinate intermediate at ambient temperatures. ${ }^{42}$ In an effort to uncover arene and alkane functionalization routes, the Lewis acid, $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$, has been used to induce ethylene insertion into the platinum phenyl bond of the phenyl ethylene complex $\mathbf{5}$ at ambient temperatures (eq. 2). This transformation may proceed by borane-assisted dissociation of one of the pyrazole rings or perhaps trace protic acid is responsible for the
observed reaction. In the presence of borane, insertion occurs readily at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and is followed by rapid intramolecular C-H activation of an ortho phenyl proton to form a $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ ortho-metallated phenethyl hydride complex, $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ (7). Formation of complex 7 was also observed from the thermolysis of $\mathbf{5}$ at temperatures above $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the absence of borane. The appearance of four distinct aromatic proton signals and an upfield Pt$H$ resonance in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum suggested the metallacyclic structure of complex 7. Heating the phenyl carbonyl complex 4 to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ did not result in a similar insertion to form an acyl product, but only led to decomposition.


The preferred formation of complex 7 leads to the question of how the Tp'Pt system differs from the previously reported catalytic systems with $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$. A key difference in the Tp 'Pt system and the $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ systems is the ability of Tp 'Pt species to access and stabilize both $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ oxidation states. Formation of the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ metallacylo hydride complex 7 from $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)(5)$ results from insertion of ethylene into the Pt -Ph bond, followed by oxidative addition of an aryl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. In contrast, for the $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ systems, DFT studies suggest that following insertion and $\eta^{2}$-coordination of benzene, a $\sigma$-bond metathesis or a oxidative hydrogen migration pathway (OHM) - a concerted transfer of a hydrogen from the aryl carbon to the aliphatic carbon - is operative due to the absence of an easily accessible $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}+2}$ oxidation state required for an oxidative addition pathway. ${ }^{27,33,34}$ In addition, the $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ systems are coordinatively
saturated and formation of a seven-coordinate metallacycle hydride species may be unfavorable.

The chirality of complex 7 is evident in the three nonequivalent pyrazole rings observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The four aromatic protons are all distinct with one doublet at 6.76 ppm with ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=38 \mathrm{~Hz}$, indicative of the one aromatic proton coupled to platinum. The four bridging $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ methylene protons are diastereotopic and appear as multiplets between 3.18 and 2.63 ppm with broad platinum satellites. The platinum hydride resonates at -19.63 ppm with ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1391 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The internal carbon of the methylene bridge resonates at 43.98 ppm and the platinum bound methylene carbon resonates far upfield at $8.54 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=601\right.$ Hz ).

Clear, colorless block crystals of complex 7 were obtained, and the structure was investigated by single crystal X-ray analysis. The hydride ligand on platinum was placed in a calculated position. Figure 3.4 shows the ORTEP diagram of 7 , which displays an octahedral geometry with a $\kappa^{3}$ coordination mode of the $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ ligand. The metallacycle lies in the equatorial plane opposite two pyrazole rings (C1-Pt-N11 $=177.85(5)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 21=$ $178.54(4)^{\circ}$, Table 3.2) and is twisted into an envelope conformation with C 2 folded toward the platinum hydride position. The Pt-N $(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 31=2.205(1) \AA)$ bond trans to the hydride is longer than the two Pt-N bonds in the equatorial plane, which have similar lengths (Pt-N11 = $2.166(1) \AA$ and Pt-N21 = $2.155(1) \AA$ ), indicating the stronger trans influence of the hydride ligand. The bond distance from platinum to the methylene carbon is longer than to the arene carbon by $0.059 \AA(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C} 1=2.076(1) \AA$ and $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C} 8=2.018(1) \AA)$. This difference is consistent with the hybridization of the two carbons; M-C(sp $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ bonds are shorter than M$\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ bonds.


Figure 3.4; ORTEP diagram of $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})(7)$. Ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Et}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules omitted for clarity.

Table 3.2; Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complex 7.

| Bond distances |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pt-C8 | $2.0176(12)$ | C3-C4 | $1.4031(19)$ |
| Pt-C1 | $2.0762(11)$ | C3-C8 | $1.4160(15)$ |
| Pt-N21 | $2.1549(11)$ | C4-C5 | $1.402(2)$ |
| Pt-N11 | $2.1662(10)$ | C5-C6 | $1.399(2)$ |
| Pt-N31 | $2.2053(9)$ | C6-C7 | $1.4060(19)$ |
| C1-C2 | $1.5468(19)$ | C7-C8 | $1.4055(16)$ |
| C2-C3 | $1.5086(18)$ |  |  |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| C8-Pt-C1 | $81.33(5)$ | N21-Pt-N31 | $87.32(4)$ |
| C8-Pt-N21 | $178.54(4)$ | N11-Pt-N31 | $86.71(4)$ |
| C1-Pt-N21 | $97.29(5)$ | C2-C1-Pt | $108.98(8)$ |
| C8-Pt-N11 | $97.68(4)$ | C3-C2-C1 | $108.07(9)$ |
| C1-Pt-N11 | $177.85(5)$ | C4-C3-C2 | $124.73(11)$ |
| N21-Pt-N11 | $83.69(4)$ | C8-C3-C2 | $115.12(11)$ |
| C8-Pt-N31 | $93.25(4)$ | C7-C8-Pt | $125.10(9)$ |
| C1-Pt-N31 | $95.25(4)$ | C3-C8-Pt | $115.61(8)$ |

Low temperature protonation of the platinum(IV) ortho-metallated phenethyl hydride complex, $\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ (7), resulted in release of one pyrazole ring from the metal center to presumably generate a reactive five-coordinate intermediate, 7a (eq. 3). Intermediate 7a has two possible reductive elimination pathways: the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ can couple with either an aromatic or an aliphatic carbon leaving the other end of the original metallacycle bound to platinum. Reductive elimination of the alkyl group gives exclusively a $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ 2ethylphenyl ether cation, $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]$ (8). Addition of NCMe converts this complex to the more stable acetonitrile adduct, $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]$ (9) (eq. 3). Reductive elimination of the alkyl group was detected by the appearance of an ethyl group in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The diastereotopic methylene protons appear as a complex AB portion of an $\mathrm{ABX}_{3}$ pattern at 3.25 ppm , and the methyl group resonates as a triplet at 1.37 ppm .
2) NCMe


The preference for alkyl elimination can be attributed to the relative stabilities of the products formed since M -aryl bonds are stronger than M-alkyl bonds. ${ }^{43-46}$ Factors other than M-C bond strengths have been shown to be involved in controlling the relative rates of alkyl/aryl reductive elimination, ${ }^{47}$ but in this system the products are consistent with relative M-C bond strengths. It is possible that aryl elimination also occurs, but that the product formed rapidly rearranges to the observed 2-ethylphenyl derivative. For example, in the related (diimine) $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{H})($ solv $)$ system $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}$ formation and elimination have been shown to be competitive. ${ }^{48-51}$

A similar rearrangement has also been studied for a related Pd system, $\stackrel{\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right.}{ }$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$, synthesized from the base-induced metallation of the neophyl derivatives trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) \mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{OAc}$ or OTf$)$. When the metallacyle was protonated with HX, the reaction was reversed and the alkyl-bound complex, the neophyl derivative, was reformed via an observed $\pi, \eta^{1}$-arene intermediate, the $\pi, \eta^{1}$ designation reflecting coordination of the ipso carbon to palladium. Protonation of the metallacycle with $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]$ at low temperature resulted in initial formation the $\pi, \eta^{1}$-arene species which, upon warming in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or THF , gave the aryl-bound $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-2-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]$ derivative. Notably, the $\pi, \eta^{1}$-arene intermediate is proposed to isomerize to the aryl-bound species through a solvent-assisted rearrangement that could produce a $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ metallacycle hydrido intermediate. ${ }^{46,52}$ The $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ metallacycle hydride complexes synthesized in this work support the feasibility of such an intermediate.

Propylene Insertion. In attempts to prepare the propylene analogue of 5, $\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ), by the same low temperature route used to synthesize $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$, metallacycle
hydride products comparable to 7 were observed after deprotonation. Following addition of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (1), propylene was sparged into the reaction flask as the solution slowly warmed to room temperature. After 30 min ., deprotonation led to one major platinum species, as determined by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction product, with a hydride resonating at $-19.48 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1368 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and a multiplet with platinum satellites at $5.03 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=15 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, as well as multiple new aryl peaks. When the propylene sparge was continued for 2 hours prior to deprotonation, however, additional platinum hydride resonances appeared at $-19.40 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1385 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and -19.78 ppm $\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1393 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, along with olefinic signals between $2.4-3.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ and new aryl peaks. The first product is formulated as the metallacycle $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})(\mathbf{1 0})$, resulting from the 2,1 -insertion of olefin into the phenyl-platinum bond. The other two species observed can be identified as diastereomers of ${ }^{\top}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ (11a/11b), the 1,2-insertion product (eq. 4).


Low temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiments were conducted to confirm complex $\mathbf{1 0}$ as the kinetic product of insertion and complexes 11a and 11b as the thermodynamic products.

Upon addition of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ to the reaction of propylene and $\left[\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$, the 2,1-insertion product, $\mathbf{1 0}$, was trapped as the major species, as evidenced by the platinum hydride signal at -19.48 ppm . The product was then separated from the salts and excess propylene, redissolved in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane- $d_{2}$, and subsequently heated in the NMR probe. At $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, formation of the 1,2 -insertion products, $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 b}$, and consumption of the 2,1 -insertion product, $\mathbf{1 0}$, was clearly evident by changes in the hydride region of the spectrum. No intermediate species was observed.

It may be that isomerization of the 1,2 -insertion product, $\mathbf{1 0}$, to the 2,1 -insertion products, 11a and 11b, proceeds through the elusive $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)$ complex as an intermediate. This would indicate that propylene insertion into the Pt-Ph bond and formation of a C-C bond is reversible. A possible reaction coordinate is shown in Figure 3.5. NMR experiments at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ indicate a $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ for the conversion of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ of $26.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (eq. 5 and Figure 3.6).


Figure 3.5; Conversion of complex 10 to complexes 11a and 11b.


Figure 3.6; Conversion of 10 to 11 a and 11 b at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectroscopy ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ ) of hydride region.


In a related system with cationic $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes of the type $[\operatorname{Pt}(\operatorname{aryl})(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})(\mathrm{L})]^{+}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}=$ bidentate nitrogen ligand, $\alpha$-olefins were found to insert into the Pt-aryl bond in the presence of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ to form either an alkyl-bound [Pt]-CH2-CHR-aryl species or an arylbound [Pt]-aryl-2-( $\mathrm{CHR}^{-} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) derivative. ${ }^{43,45,53}$ The alkyl-bound product was formed when donor ligands, such as excess olefin, pyridine, or triphenylphosphine, were available in solution, while the aryl-bound derivative was preferred in the absence of donor ligands and is presumed to form from an intramolecular rearrangement of the alkyl-bound species. ${ }^{45}$ The metallacycle hydride complexes here represent one type of proposed intermediate in the earlier study. ${ }^{43}$

Low temperature attempts to isolate the cationic $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) \eta^{2}$-propylene phenyl complex, $\left[\kappa^{2}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)(\mathrm{Ph})\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$, were unsuccessful. Instead a stable cationic $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ phenyl aqua complex $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ (12) was isolated, presumably from adventitious water present in the $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for complex 12 displays a N-H resonance at 11.62 ppm for the protonated pyrazole ring, and a broad singlet at 4.97 ppm for the coordinated water molecule. Similar cationic (diimine)Pt aqua complexes have been reported previously, and it is noteworthy that they activate benzene and methane C-H bonds under mild conditions. ${ }^{48,54}$

The structural features of the cationic phenyl aqua complex 12 were investigated by X-ray structural analysis. An ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{1 2}$ is shown in Figure 3.7. The protonated pyrazole nitrogen atom is turned away from the platinum square plane, an orientation seen in similar cationic ( $\left.\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes. ${ }^{35}$ The Pt-N(21) distance of $2.095(5) \AA$ is $0.10 \AA$ longer than the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}(31)$ distance of $1.987(5) \AA$ trans to the water ligand indicating the stronger trans influence of the phenyl ligand (Table 3.3). The Pt-O distance of 2.075(1) $\AA$ is similar to other $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ bond distances trans to nitrogen ligands. ${ }^{55-58}$


Figure 3.7; ORTEP diagram of $\left[\kappa^{2}-\left(\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ (12). Ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level, and the $\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ counterion is omitted for clarity.

Table 3.3; Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complex 12.

Bond distances

| Pt-O1 | $2.075(1)$ | Pt-N21 | $2.095(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pt-C2 | $1.997(6)$ | Pt-N31 | $1.987(5)$ |


| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1-Pt-C2 | $88.95(23)$ | C2-Pt-N21 | $178.58(24)$ |
| O1-Pt-N21 | $89.82(19)$ | C2-Pt-N31 | $93.46(24)$ |
| O1-Pt-N31 | $177.58(20)$ | N21-Pt-N31 | $87.78(21)$ |

C-H Activation of Alkylarenes. Mild heating of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (2) in neat ethylbenzene in the presence of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ resulted in the loss of two equivalents of methane and formation of metallacyclic complex 7 as the sole product (eq. 6). When monitored by NMR, the reaction proceeded to completion at room temperature within 15 minutes after the addition of ethylbenzene. The diarylhydride platinum intermediate complex, $\operatorname{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Et}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}$, was not observed. Analogous reactions of 2 with either isopropyl- or 1,4-diethylbenzene also yield clean ortho-metallated platinum hydride complexes,
$\underset{\mathrm{Tp}}{ } \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})}{ }$
(11a/11b) and $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}(\mathrm{Et})\right)(\mathrm{H})$ respectively.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=H ; R^{\prime}=H(7) \\
& R=M e ; R^{\prime}=H(11 a / 11 b) \\
& R=H ; R^{\prime}=E t(13)
\end{aligned}
$$

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the platinum metallacycle derived from isopropylbenzene, complexes 11a and 11b, shows hydride resonances at $-19.78\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1393 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and -19.40 $\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1385 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ in a ratio of 2.7:1, reflecting a major, 11a, and a minor, $\mathbf{1 1 b}$, isomer. These hydrides are the same as the 1,2 -insertion products observed in attempts to isolate $\operatorname{Tp} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)$, vide supra. While the products are chiral and formally contain
only nonequivalent pyrazole rings, two of the pyrazole rings are incidentally isochronous in the room temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The identity of the bridging $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ protons was revealed by 2D NMR. For the major species, 11a, the methine proton of the saturated bridge appears as a multiplet, resonating the furthest downfield among the bridge hydrogens at 3.20 ppm . One of the other two bridging metallacycle protons can be found at 2.77 ppm with Pt satellites $\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=63 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, and the third proton is hidden under the $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}$ methyl peaks around 2.40 ppm . In the minor species, the methine proton signal is located at 2.95 ppm , upfield from the corresponding proton in the major isomer. The two methylene protons of 11b are concealed by signals at 3.20 ppm and 2.40 ppm . The methyl protons for both isomers appear as doublets between 1.31 and 1.34 ppm among the Tp methyl peaks.

Honey-colored crystals of what is assumed to be the major diastereomer, complex 11a, were obtained and the framework structure indicated by NMR studies was confirmed by Xray analysis. Figure 3.8 shows the ORTEP diagram of isomer 11a, with the methyl group positioned in an equatorial position of the five-membered ring. The solid state structure displays an octahedral geometry with a $\kappa^{3}$ coordination mode of the Tp ' ligand. The platinum hydride was found from the difference map and refined, indicating a Pt-H bond length of 1.493(5) $\AA$ (Table 3.4). The metallacycle lies in the equatorial plane opposite two pyrazole rings $\left(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 31=178.76(13)^{\circ}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 11=177.83(11)^{\circ}\right)$ and, as seen in complex 7 , the $\mathrm{PtC}_{4}$ ring is puckered into an envelope conformation with C 2 , bearing the methyl substituent, folded towards the platinum hydride. As found for complex 7, all three Pt-N bonds have similar lengths, although the pyrazole ring located in the axial position trans to hydride is again slightly longer $(\operatorname{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 21=2.180(3) \AA)$ than the two Pt-N bond lengths in the equatorial plane $(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 11=2.146(2) \AA$ and $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 31=2.144(3) \AA$ ). The bond distance from
platinum to the methylene carbon is longer than to the arene carbon by $0.043 \AA$ ( $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C} 1=$ $2.054(3) \AA$ and Pt-C9 = $2.011(3) \AA)$. These bond distances are similar to those reported for $\mathrm{TpPd}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{NO}) .{ }^{59}$


Figure 3.8; ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ (11a). Ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecule omitted for clarity.

Table 3.4; Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complex 11a.

## Bond distances

| Pt-C9 | $2.011(3)$ | C2-C4 | $1.518(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pt-C1 | $2.054(3)$ | C4-C5 | $1.396(5)$ |
| Pt-H1 | $1.493(5)$ | C4-C9 | $1.401(5)$ |
| Pt-N31 | $2.144(3)$ | C5-C6 | $1.383(5)$ |
| Pt-N11 | $2.146(2)$ | C6-C7 | $1.381(5)$ |
| Pt-N21 | $2.180(3)$ | C7-C8 | $1.400(5)$ |
| C1-C2 | $1.558(5)$ | C8-C9 | $1.388(4)$ |
| C2-C3 | $1.459(6)$ |  |  |


| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C9-Pt-C1 | $81.31(13)$ | C2-C1-Pt | $109.4(2)$ |
| C9-Pt-N31 | $98.75(11)$ | C3-C2-C4 | $116.0(4)$ |
| C1-Pt-N31 | $178.76(13)$ | C3-C2-C1 | $114.9(4)$ |
| C9-Pt-N11 | $177.83(11)$ | C4-C2-C1 | $106.0(3)$ |
| C1-Pt-N11 | $96.72(12)$ | C5-C4-C9 | $119.8(3)$ |
| C9-Pt-N21 | $91.76(11)$ | C5-C4-C2 | $124.8(3)$ |
| C1-Pt-N21 | $95.04(13)$ | C9-C4-C2 | $115.4(3)$ |
| N11-Pt-N21 | $89.29(9)$ | C8-C9-Pt | $124.9(2)$ |
| N31-Pt-N11 | $83.21(9)$ | C4-C9-Pt | $115.7(2)$ |
| N31-Pt-N21 | $86.20(9)$ |  |  |

Only one pair of enantiomers is possible for the 1,4-diethylbenzene derivative, complex 13. The $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetry of complex $\mathbf{1 3}$ is evident by the three nonequivalent pyrazole rings in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The three aromatic protons are unique, with two doublets at $6.98\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $\left.6.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=18 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and $6.74 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and a singlet at $6.73 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=37\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz})$. The chemical shifts for the four diastereotopic methylene protons are nearly identical to those of the ethylbenzene derivative, complex 7. The two methylene protons of the aryl$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substituent are now diastereotopic, resonating at 2.70 and 2.45 ppm and the methyl triplet at 1.08 ppm is shifted slightly upfield from that of free 1,4-diethylbenzene. The aryl$\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ carbon resonates at 133.2 ppm with ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=859 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Similar coupling has been reported previously for $\mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})\left(\right.$ aryl) complexes. ${ }^{42,60}$ As found for the ethylbenzene derivative, complex 7, the bridging methylene carbons for 13 resonate at $43.5 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=54 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and $8.8 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=604 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.

Mechanistic Possibilities for C-H Activation Route. Two discrete mechanistic categories can be delineated for formation of platinum hydride complexes 7,11a/11b, and $\mathbf{1 3}$
from alkyl-substituted benzenes (Scheme 3.1). Following Lewis acid induced loss of methane, initial activation could take place at either an aryl (A) or an alkyl (B) C-H bond. Oxidative addition of an arene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond to a metal center can be favored over alkane $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ oxidative addition both thermodynamically, due to the strength of the resultant metal-aryl bond formed, and kinetically, by lowering the barrier for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation through initial $\pi$ coordination of the arene to the metal center. ${ }^{36,61,62}$ By analogy, path $\mathbf{A}$ is probably favored here. In addition, when the dihydride analogue, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMeH}_{2}$, was reacted with either ethyl- or isopropylbenzene in the presence of Lewis acid, only the aryl C-H activated products, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtArH}_{2}(\mathrm{Ar}=$ ethylphenyl (14) and isopropylphenyl (15)), resulted (eq. 7). These results fit the pattern of previous findings for the reactivity of $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMeH}_{2}$ with toluene and xylenes, in which no benzylic C-H activated products were observed. ${ }^{36}$

Support for path A comes from work by Labinger, Bercaw and co-workers which details the reactivity of diimine platinum(II) methyl cations towards alkyl-substituted benzenes. In the case of ethylbenzene, initial aryl C-H bond activation occurs exclusively, and reversibly, and the kinetic product converts intramolecularly to an $\eta^{3}$-benzyl product. They propose that this conversion takes place once ethylbenzene is ortho-activated since then it can pass through a platinum(IV) metallacycle hydride intermediate, similar to complex 7, on the way to the final $\eta^{3}$-benzyl product. ${ }^{63,64}$


Scheme 3.1; Possible C-H Activation Pathway for Formation of Metallacyclic Complexes 7, 11a/11b, and 13.


Although there is no evidence that Tp ' Pt intermediates preferentially activate alkyl C H bonds of alkylarenes, there are reports of C - H oxidative addition in other systems that are selective for alkyl over aryl C-H bonds, so, path $\mathbf{B}$ in Scheme 1 deserves
consideration as a viable alternative. In systems favoring alkyl C-H activation this reactivity is often explained by steric effects. For example, Tilset and coworkers found benzylic C-H bond activation of toluene and $p$-xylene by $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ diimine complexes was favored when a sterically congested diimine ligand ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CMe}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ar}$; $\left.\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ was employed. ${ }^{65}$ Direct activation of an ethyl C-H bond of triethylbenzene has been observed when the system contained a bulky, sterically crowded diimine ligand ( $\mathrm{Ar}=2,4,6-$ $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) .{ }^{64}$ If path $\mathbf{B}$ is operational in our system, then the alkyl-bound alkylarene complex could go on to the final benzometallacycle. It is noteworthy that upon thermolysis, neophyl complexes $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{N}$-donor or P -donor ligands) generate benzometallacycle derivatives, $\left.\stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6}\right.}{ } \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{L}_{2}$, and tert-butylbenzene. This rearrangement proceeds via intramolecular activation of the arene C-H bond. ${ }^{66,67}$

## Conclusion

In summary, the neutral $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ phenyl ethylene complex 5 displays a five-coordinate trigonal-bipyramidal geometry, whereas, the analogous $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ phenyl carbonyl complex 4 undergoes rapid interconversion between the trigonal-bipyramidal and square-planar geometries in solution. Upon ethylene insertion into the Pt-phenyl bond in complex 5 at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, intramolecular C-H activation of an ortho proton occurs to give a stable $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ metallacyclo hydride complex 7. Low temperature protonation of 7 resulted in reductive elimination of the alkyl ligand to form a cationic $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ 2-ethylphenyl complex. Attempts to isolate the neutral $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ phenyl propylene or the cationic $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II}) \eta^{2}$-propylene phenyl complexes were unsuccessful, leading to a mixture of $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ metallacyclo hydride adducts (7 and $\mathbf{1 1 a} / \mathbf{1 1 b}$ ) or a cationic $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ phenyl aqua complex (12), respectively.

Several $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ hydridometallacycle complexes (7, 11a/11b, and 13) have been synthesized through Lewis acid assisted C-H oxidative addition of alkyl-substituted arenes to $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (2). The formation of these metallacycles is believed to take place via initial activation of an arene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond rather then initial alkyl C-H activation. In the comparative reaction of the dihydrido reagent, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMeH}_{2}$ (3), with alkyl-substituted arenes, only $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtArH}_{2}$ products (14 and 15) resulted. While a detailed mechanism of formation of these platinum metallacycles has yet to be determined, these results indicate that an intramolecular rearrangement to form an ortho-activated aryl complex is favored over an intermolecular CH activation pathway.

## Experimental Section

Materials and Methods. Reactions were performed under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen or argon using standard drybox techniques. Argon and nitrogen were purified by passage through columns of BASF R3-11 catalyst and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. All glassware was oven-dried prior to use. Methylene chloride and pentane were purified under an argon atmosphere by passage through a column packed with activated alumina. ${ }^{68}$ Tetrahydrofuran was freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl prior to use. Deuterated methylene chloride was vacuum transferred from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and degassed by several freeze-pump-thaw cycles and deuterated 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane was used without further purification. Ethylbenzene, isopropylbenzene, and 1,4-diethylbenzene were purchased from SigmaAldrich and dried over molecular sieves prior to use. Tris(pentfluorophenyl)borane was obtained from Strem and used without further purification.
$\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (1), $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{60}$ (2), $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMeH}_{2}{ }^{69}$ (3), and $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right]^{70}$ were synthesized according to published procedures. Carbon monoxide and ethylene were obtained from Matheson Gas Products, Inc. and propylene was obtained from National Specialty Gases.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500, 400, or 300 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts were referenced to residual ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals of the deuterated solvents. Chemical analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlabs of Norcross, GA.
$\mathbf{T p} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{P t}}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}}\right) \mathbf{( C O )} \mathbf{( 4 )} . \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1})(0.057 \mathrm{~g}, 0.088 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a 100 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added through the septum, and the reaction mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(16 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.114 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 10 minutes. The cold bath was removed and $\mathrm{CO}_{(\mathrm{g})}$ was purged through the solution for 30 minutes while warming to room temperature. After the solvent was removed in vacuo, $\mathrm{NaH}(0.003 \mathrm{~g}, 0.114 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the flask which was then cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. THF ( 15 mL ) was slowly added, the cold bath was removed, and the solution was stirred for one hour. After solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, the residue was chromatographed on alumina $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ as eluent $)$ and a white solid was obtained. Yield: $35 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}}=2528,2485 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\mathrm{CO}}=2092,2082 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 7.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\text {ortho }},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=54 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 6.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\text {meta }}\right.$ and $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\text {para }}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 5.99,5.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 2.41,2.40,2.25,1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 163.7(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}), 150.0,149.8,144.9,144.6(1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}$, 2C, 1C, $\left.\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 138.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}, \mathrm{Pt}-C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {ortho }},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=54 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 124.7$
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {para }}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 106.7,106.6\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 15.2,14.1,13.3,12.8(1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ).
$\mathbf{T p} \mathbf{p}^{\prime} \mathbf{P t}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)\left(\eta^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- C H}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ (5). $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1})(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.232 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a 100 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added through the septum, and the reaction mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(42 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.301 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 10 minutes. The cold bath was removed and ethylene was purged through the solution for 30 minutes while warming to room temperature. After the solvent was removed in vacuo, $\mathrm{NaH}(0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 0.301 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the flask which was then cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. THF ( 20 mL ) was slowly added, the cold bath was removed, and the solution was stirred for one hour. After solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, the residue was chromatographed on alumina $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ as eluent) and a white solid was obtained which was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ methanol at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield: $30 \mathrm{mg}(22 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 253 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 6.76\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\text {para }},{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 6.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\text {meta }}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 6.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\text {ortho }},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}} .\right.}\right.$ $\left.=39 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right), 5.79,5.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{C} H\right), 3.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=80 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=56 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.42,2.30,2.22 \text {, }}^{2}\right.$ $1.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 253 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 151.1,149.2,144.7,143.7$ $\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 125.8,122.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ortho }}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 118.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {para }}, \mathrm{Pt}-C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $114.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 108.5,105.6(1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{CH}), 24.2\left(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 13.8,13.4,12.4$, 12.1 (2C, 1C, 2C, 1C, $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{PtBN}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : C, 42.25; H, 4.87; N, 12.32; Found: C, 43.12; H, 4.59; N, 12.05.

Representative [BAr'4] $]^{-}$NMR Data. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for the $\left[\mathrm{BAr}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]^{-}$counterion for ionic products 6,8 , and 9 are reported separately for simplicity. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 193 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right)$ : 7.77 (br, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}$ '), 7.60 (br, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ) $){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 193 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right)$ : 162.2 (1:1:1:1
pattern, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}}=50 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}\right), 135.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {ortho }}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{qq},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=30 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}\right)$, $125.1\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=270 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 117.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {para }}\right)$.
$\left.\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- ( \mathbf { H T p }} \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{P t}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)\left(\eta^{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathbf{B A r}^{\prime}{ }_{4}\right]$ (6). $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1})(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.154 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}^{\prime}\right](0.172 \mathrm{~g}, 0.169 \mathrm{mmol})$ were weighed into a 100 mL Schlenk flask in the drybox. The flask was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ outside the drybox. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added through the septum, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes. The cold bath was removed and ethylene was purged through the solution for 30 minutes while warming to room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was triturated with pentane. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 293 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 10.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.10-6.78(\mathrm{~m}$, 5H, Pt-C ${ }_{6} H_{5}$ ), 6.36, 6.07, 5.93 (s, 1H each, HTp'CH), 4.21, 3.77 (m, 2H each, broad Pt satellites, $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.45, 2.43, 2.38, 2.33, 2.09, 1.60 (s, 3H each, $\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
$(0.224 \mathrm{~g}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(0.192 \mathrm{~g})$ were placed in a 100 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen. Toluene ( 25 mL ) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was chromatographed on alumina $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ as eluent) and a white solid was obtained. Yield: 111 $\operatorname{mg}(50 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 253 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 7.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}}{6} H_{4}\right), 6.88(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{4}\right), 6.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\text {ortho }},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=38 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6}}{ } H_{4}\right), 6.69(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Pt$\overline{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6}} H_{4}$ ), 5.89, $5.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.84}{ }\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=114 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6}}{ } \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Pt- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.37,2.35,2.34,2.07,1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{C}_{3}\right),-19.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1391 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 253 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, ס): 157.6 (1C, $\stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6}}{ } \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), 150.7, 150.5, 149.4, 145.2, 144.8, 144.1 (1C, 1C, 1 C ,
$\left.1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 133.8,133.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}\right), 124.3,124.0,122.1(1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Pt}-$
 $11.8\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 8.5\left(1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=601 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \text {. Anal. Calcd }}{ }\right.$ for $\operatorname{PtBN}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31}{ }^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : C, 44.11; H, 5.04; N, 13.13; Found: C, 44.34; H, 5.16; N, 13.04.
 (7) $(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right](0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol})$ were weighed into an NMR tube in the drybox. The NMR tube was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ outside the drybox. $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added through the septum, and the reaction mixture was inverted several times to insure complete mixing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 203 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 11.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pz'NH), $6.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.63(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.29,5.99,5.83$ (s, 1 H each, HTp'CH), 3.96 (q, 4H, Pt-O( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.29\left(\mathrm{dq}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.37,2.34,2.30,2.25,1.79$, 1.50 (s, 3H each, $\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.33 (t, 6H, $\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 1.25 (t, $3 \mathrm{H},{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \text {. }}^{\text {. }}$
 $(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BAr}_{4}\right](0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol})$ were weighed into an NMR tube in the drybox. The NMR tube was cooled to $-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ outside the drybox. $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added through the septum, and the reaction mixture was inverted several times to insure complete mixing. $\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}(2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added via syringe. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 273 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 11.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz} \mathrm{NH}), 7.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.89(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.64\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 6.27, 6.04, 5.81 (s, 1H each, HTp'CH), 3.25 (dq, 2H, Pt-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.42, 2.37, 2.35, 2.34, 2.32, 2.19, 1.44 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ each, $\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}$ ), 1.37 (t, 3H, Pt-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
$\mathbf{T p}^{\prime} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathbf{C H}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right) \mathbf{C H}_{2}-\boldsymbol{o}-\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4}\right)(\mathbf{H})(10)}$ by Propylene Insertion. $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1})(150 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.232 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a 100 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added through the septum, and the reaction mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HBF}_{4} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (42 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.301 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 10 minutes. The cold bath was removed and propylene sparged into the flask for 30 minutes as the solution warmed slowly to rt. After the solvent was removed in vacuo, $\mathrm{NaH}(0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 0.301 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the flask which was then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. THF ( 20 mL ) was slowly added, the cold bath was removed, and the solution was stirred for one hour. After solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, the residue was chromatographed on alumina $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ as eluent $)$ and a colorless oil was obtained. Major product (10) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 303 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 6.75,6.69$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6}}{ } H_{4}\right) 6.55\left(1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=15 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=44 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\stackrel{P t-C H}{ }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=40 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{4}\right)}{ } 5.84,5.71(\mathrm{~s}\right.$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C} H\right), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=15 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=57 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-}{\square}\right.$ 7 $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), 2.48, 2.40, 2.39, 2.24, 1.69, $1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.71$ (dd, 3 H, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right),-19.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.}{ }$ $1368 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H$ )
$\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})(10)$ and $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})(11 \mathrm{a} / 11 \mathrm{~b})}$
Mixture by Propylene Insertion. $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1})(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.154 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a 100 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added through the septum, and the reaction mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 10 minutes. Propylene was condensed into the solution and allowed to stir for one hour at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cold bath was removed and solution warmed slowly to rt under propylene. After one hour, the propylene purge was stopped and the flask
again cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NEt}_{3}(28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol})$ was slowly added and the solution was left to stir for 90 minutes at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to warm as solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes $(3 / 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and solution transferred away from the salts via canula. The product was chromatographed on silica $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ as eluent) and a white powder obtained. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 293 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right):-19.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1385 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H, 11 b\right),-19.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1368 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H, 10\right)$ and $-19.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-}\right.$ ${ }_{H}=1393 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Pt-H, 11a), ratio of products: 11b (1.3):10 (1.0):11a (1.3).
$\left.\left[\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- ( \mathbf { H T p }}{ }^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{P t}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)\left(\mathbf{O H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\right]\left[\mathbf{B F}_{4}\right] \mathbf{( 1 2 )} . \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1})(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.232 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a 100 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added through the septum, and the reaction mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.254 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 10 minutes. The cold bath was removed and propylene was purged through the solution for 30 minutes while warming to room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was triturated with pentane. A white solid was obtained which was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ pentane at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield: $148 \mathrm{mg}(95 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 293 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 11.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz} \mathrm{NH}), 6.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{5}\right)$,
 2.42, 2.38, 2.35, 2.13, 1.56 (s, 3H each, $\mathrm{HTp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

General C-H Activation of Alkylarene (7, 11a/11b and 13). $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}(\mathrm{H})(\mathbf{2})(0.075 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.143 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(0.073 \mathrm{~g})$ were placed in a 100 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen. An alkyl substituted benzene ( 20 mL ) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for overnight at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After solvent was removed in vacuo, the resultant brown residue was chromatographed on alumina $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ as eluent) and a yellow oil was collected. A white solid was obtained upon recrystallization in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ methanol at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\mathbf{T p}^{\prime} \mathbf{P t}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{o}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)(\mathbf{H})(\mathbf{7}) \mathrm{Tp} \mathbf{p t}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}(\mathrm{H})(\mathbf{2})(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(0.073 \mathrm{~g})$ were combined as described with 20 mL of ethylbenzene. Recrystallized in ethylbenzene at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield: 55 mg ( $64 \%$ ).
 and 1 equivalent of $B\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(0.073 \mathrm{~g})$ were combined as described with 20 mL of isopropylbenzene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 11a $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right)$ : 7.05-6.65 $\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \text {-o- }}{ }\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 5.89,5.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H} \text {, }}^{7}\right.$
 $\left.{ }^{7} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \quad 2.44-2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{C} H_{3}\right), 2.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-}{ }\right.$ $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{C}_{6}} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-19.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1393 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, $298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta): 159.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=90 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-C_{6}}{ } \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 150.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 150.4\left(1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=26 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right) 149.4\left(1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 145.2$, 144.7, $144.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 133.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C},{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 133.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C} \text {, }}^{2}\right.$ $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=837 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}\right) \quad 124.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}, J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=43 \mathrm{~Hz},{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 124.0\left(J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=7\right.}\right.$
 $106.6\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 106.2\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 47.9\left(1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=64 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$,
 $\left.601 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 15.15\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=17 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 14.92\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=17 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 12.82,12.8$, $12.79,12.17\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$.

1H NMR 11b $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 243 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 7.05-6.65\left(4 \mathrm{H},{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-\mathrm{C}_{6}}^{2} H_{4}\right), 5.89,5.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=108 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.92\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{C}\right.}{ }\right.$ $\left.=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6}}{ } \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.4\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)}{ }\right.$ 2.44-2.35(s,12H , $\left.\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH} H_{3}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$,
-19.4 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1385 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 162.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Pt}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 150.5,150.3,149.4,145.2,144.8,144.1(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}$, $\left.\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 134.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 131.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}_{i p s o}\right) 124.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}, J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $\left.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 124.2\left(J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-C_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $122.1(1 \mathrm{C}$, $J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=46 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 107.9,106.6,106.2(1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{CH}), 46.5(1 \mathrm{C} \text {, }}{ }$ ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=34 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 23.5\left(1 \mathrm{C}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6}}{ } \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 18.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{JPt}_{\mathrm{Pt}} \mathrm{C}\right.}{ }$ $\left.=612 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 15.2\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=17 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 15.1\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=17 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 12.82,12.8$, 12.79, $11.6\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{PtBN}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}$, 43.12; H, 5.07; N, 12.35; Found: C, 43.79; H, 5.20; N, 12.35.
$\mathbf{T p}^{\prime} \mathbf{P t}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{- o}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \mathbf{)} \mathbf{( \mathbf { H } )}\right.$ (13). $\quad \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}(\mathrm{H})(\mathbf{2})(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 equivalent of $B\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(0.073 \mathrm{~g})$ were combined as described with 20 mL of 1,4diethylbenzene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 6.98\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=18 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Pt$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 6.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6}}{ } H_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)$, 6.73 (s, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\text {ortho }},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=37 \mathrm{~Hz},{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6}}^{2} H_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 6.16,5.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\text {para }}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\text {meta }}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}}{6} H_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 5.90,5.89,5.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.18$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 2.85\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.6,{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=15.2,{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=114\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ), 2.76 (m, 1H Pt- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}$,
 $\left.\overline{\mathrm{C}}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right),-19.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1395 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 154.6$ $\left(1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=108 \mathrm{~Hz},{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6}} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 150.8\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 150.4\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $25.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 149.3\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=19.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 145.1,144.7,144.1\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$,
 123.6, $121.8\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=46 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6}}{ } \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 107.9$
$\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 106.6\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 106.3\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\left(1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 43.5$ $\left(1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=54.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6}}{ } \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), \quad 29.1 \quad\left(1 \mathrm{C}, \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}}{ }\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right) 16.8,15.2\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 14.9\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 12.85,12.78(1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 11.8\left(1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 8.8\left(1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}\right.$ $\left.=604 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{PtBN}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : C, 48.00; H, 5.67; N, 13.44; Found: C, 47.06; H, 5.64; N, 12.70.

General Procedure for the synthesis of $\mathbf{T p}^{\prime} \mathbf{P t}(\mathbf{A r})(\mathbf{H})_{2}$ Complexes (14-15). $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{PtMe}(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ (3) $(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.147 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(0.075 \mathrm{~g})$ were placed in a 100 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen. Aromatic solvent ( 15 mL ) was subsequently added and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After solvent removal in vacuo, the resultant residue was chromatographed on alumina $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ as eluent $)$ and a white solid was obtained, which was recrystallized from pentanes at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\mathbf{T p} \mathbf{P t}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)(\mathbf{H})_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( 1 4 )}$. $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{PtMe}(\mathrm{H})_{2} \mathbf{( 3 )}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ $(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined as described above with 15 ml anhydrous ethylbenzene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 6.76,6.72$ (br, $\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Et}\right), 5.87,5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Tp'-CH), 2.47, 2.41, 2.40, 2.24, 2.22, $2.09\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 2.54,1.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.19\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-18.74,-18.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ca. $2: 1,{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1290 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1289, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 149.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$, $149.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=25 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 144.5,143.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 126.3,122.2$, $121.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Et}\right) 105.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tp} \mathrm{CH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right) 104.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} C \mathrm{H}\right), 31.5,29.2,15.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=33 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 13.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=18 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$ 13.7, $12.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$.
 $(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined as described above with 15 mL isopropylbenzene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \delta\right): 6.76,6.71$ (br, $\left.\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right), 5.85,5.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 2.45$, 2.39, 2.22, $2.07\left(\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 2.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $1.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$ $-18.78,-18.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ca. 2:1, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1292,{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}}=1289 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-H\right)$.

Structural Data for 5. Crystals from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ methanol; $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{BPt}, \mathrm{M}=597.43$; orthorhombic, space group $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} \mathrm{nb} ; \mathrm{Z}=4 ; \mathrm{a}=7.9063(4), \mathrm{b}=11.9371(6), \mathrm{c}=25.2697(12) \AA$; $\alpha=90, \beta=90, \gamma=90^{\circ} ; U=2384.91(20) \AA^{3} ; D_{c}=1.664 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3} ; \mathrm{T}=-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \max 2 \theta: 50^{\circ} ;$ Mo- $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA) ; 4011$ unique reflections were obtained and 2493 of these with $\mathrm{I}>2.5 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ were used in the refinement; data were collected on a Bruker SMART diffractometer, using the omega scan method. For significant reflections merging R-value: 0.044; Residuals: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}: 0.043 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}: 0.045$ (significant reflections); GoF: 1.0495 .

Structural Data for 7. Crystals were obtained from ethylbenzene; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{BPt}, \mathrm{M}=$ 650.52; monoclinic, space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{Z}=4 ; \mathrm{a}=8.0135(8), \mathrm{b}=24.027(3), \mathrm{c}=14.0296(14)$ $\AA ; \alpha=90, \beta=99.090(4), \gamma=90^{\circ} ; U=2667.3(5) \AA^{3} ; D_{c}=1.620 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3} ; T=100(2) \mathrm{K} ; \max$ 20: $50^{\circ} ; \mathrm{Mo}^{-} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA) ; 202152$ unique reflections were obtained and 27982 of these with $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ were used in the refinement; data were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX-II diffractometer, using the omega scan method. For significant reflections merging R-value: 0.0604 ; Residuals: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}: 0.0297 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}: 0.0613$ (significant reflections); GoF: 1.120 .

Structural Data for 11. Crystals were obtained from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ methanol; $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{BPt}$, $M=696.39$; monoclinic, space group $C 2 / \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{Z}=8 ; \mathrm{a}=28.0057(6), \mathrm{b}=12.4619(3), \mathrm{c}=$ $15.5140(3) \AA ; \alpha=90, \beta=94.3510(10), \gamma=90^{\circ} ; U=5398.8(2) \AA^{3} ; D_{c}=1.714 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3} ; T=$

100(2) K; max 20: $50^{\circ} ; \mathrm{Mo}^{-} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA) ; 56961$ unique reflections were obtained and 6519 of these with $\mathrm{I}>2.5 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ were used in the refinement; data were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX-II diffractometer, using the omega scan method. For significant reflections merging R-value: 0.0296 ; Residuals: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}: 0.0251$; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}$ : 0.0518 (significant reflections); GoF: 1.041.

Structural Data for 12. Crystals from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ pentane; $\mathrm{C}_{21.5} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{ClF}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{OPt}, \mathrm{M}=$ 717.67; triclinic, space group $P-1 ; Z=4 ; a=9.1331(2), b=12.6495(3), c=24.9106(5) \AA ; \alpha$ $=102.218(1), \beta=95.437(1), \gamma=96.559(1)^{\circ} ; U=2773.27(11) \AA^{3} ; D_{c}=1.719 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3} ; T=-$ $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \max 2 \theta: 50^{\circ} ; \mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA) ; 9783$ unique reflections were obtained and 7764 of these with $\mathrm{I}>2.5 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ were used in the refinement; data were collected on a Bruker SMART diffractometer, using the omega scan method. For significant reflections merging R -value: 0.039 ; Residuals: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$ : 0.037 ; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}$ : 0.038 (significant reflections); GoF: 1.8003.

## Appendix A

Bond Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Tp}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})($ Chapter 3, 7).

| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $2.0176(12)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.0762(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $2.1549(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $2.1662(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | $2.2053(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.5468(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.5086(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.4031(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.4160(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.402(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.399(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.4060(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.4055(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(32)$ | $1.5483(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | $1.5569(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | $1.557(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.3488(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | $1.3743(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.3638(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.391(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.501(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.411(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.501(2)$ |


| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.3494(18)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | $1.3779(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.3600(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.390(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.503(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.409(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.503(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.3522(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{N}(32)$ | $1.3754(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.3608(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $1.3924(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.5040(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.4090(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $1.4979(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $1.533(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $1.512(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $1.395(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $1.405(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $1.406(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $1.406(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $1.386(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $1.400(6)$ |
|  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 81.33(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 178.54(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 97.29(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 97.68(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 177.85(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 83.69(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 93.25(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 95.25(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 87.32(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 86.71(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | 108.98(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 108.07(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 120.12(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 124.73(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 115.12(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 120.35(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 119.69(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 120.37(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 120.31(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 119.12(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | 125.10(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | 115.61(8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 109.42(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | 109.27(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | 108.81(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 107.73(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | 134.61(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | 117.62(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 109.62(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | 130.04(12) |


| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 128.38(12) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | 107.49(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | 134.74(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | 117.74(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 109.44(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | 130.14(13) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | 120.39(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 107.94(15) |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 122.69(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 129.35(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 106.04(13) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 109.09(15) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 122.81(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 128.09(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{N}(32)$ | 106.78(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | 135.93(8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | 117.14(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 110.17(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | 129.87(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | 119.95(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 107.61(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 123.28(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 129.09(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 105.84(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 109.61(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 123.95(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 126.44(11) |
| C(43)-C(42)-C(41) | 113.8(3) |
| C(44)-C(43)-C(48) | 118.7(3) |
| C(44)-C(43)-C(42) | 120.0(3) |


| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $119.96(10)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $107.52(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $123.19(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $129.26(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $106.34(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $108.78(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $122.83(12)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $121.3(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $121.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $119.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $120.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $120.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $120.7(3)$ |
|  |  |

Atomic parameters $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ and Biso for complex 7

| atom | X | y | Z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | 3669(1) | 8053(1) | 1710(1) | 10(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 2245(2) | 8071(1) | 338(1) | 14(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1991(2) | 7468(1) | -44(1) | 16(1) |
| C(3) | 1822(2) | 7094(1) | 798(1) | 14(1) |
| C(4) | 919(2) | 6591(1) | 730(1) | 18(1) |
| C(5) | 828(2) | 6274(1) | 1560(1) | 20(1) |
| C(6) | 1625(2) | 6464(1) | 2460(1) | 19(1) |
| C(7) | 2503(2) | 6973(1) | 2538(1) | 16(1) |
| C(8) | 2611(1) | 7291(1) | 1710(1) | 12(1) |
| B(10) | 4139(2) | 8984(1) | 3381(1) | 15(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 5208(1) | 8009(1) | 3123(1) | 14(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 5104(1) | 8444(1) | 3748(1) | 15(1) |
| C(13) | 6079(2) | 8332(1) | 4614(1) | 18(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 6841(2) | 7818(1) | 4536(1) | 20(1) |
| C(15) | 6274(2) | 7628(1) | 3589(1) | 16(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 6191(2) | 8713(1) | 5471(1) | 27(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 6741(2) | 7102(1) | 3118(1) | 22(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 4783(1) | 8868(1) | 1670(1) | 15(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)$ | 4844(1) | 9200(1) | 2476(1) | 16(1) |
| C(23) | 5614(2) | 9689(1) | 2327(2) | 24(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 6059(2) | 9674(1) | 1409(2) | 28(1) |
| C(25) | 5525(2) | 9151(1) | 1020(1) | 22(1) |
| C(26) | 5906(4) | 10140(1) | 3078(2) | 43(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 5728(3) | 8915(1) | 53(2) | 34(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 1714(1) | 8444(1) | 2433(1) | 12(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)$ | 2233(1) | 8853(1) | 3099(1) | 13(1) |
| C(33) | 876(2) | 9079(1) | 3429(1) | 15(1) |
| C(34) | -563(2) | 8806(1) | 2970(1) | 16(1) |
| C(35) | 13(1) | 8412(1) | 2354(1) | 14(1) |
| C(36) | 1014(2) | 9551(1) | 4142(1) | 24(1) |
| C(37) | -1060(2) | 8020(1) | 1689(1) | 22(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 7978(5) | 9360(2) | 8069(3) | 27(1) |
| C(42) | 9236(5) | 9844(1) | 8247(2) | 25(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | 9791(4) | 9977(1) | 9303(2) | 19(1) |
| C(44) | 9559(4) | 10511(1) | 9648(2) | 21(1) |
| C(45) | 10125(5) | 10651(2) | 10618(3) | 28(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 10875(5) | 10238(2) | 11256(3) | 30(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)$ | 11100(5) | 9705(2) | 10923(3) | 29(1) |
| C(48) | 10564(5) | 9573(2) | 9951(3) | 24(1) |

## Appendix B

Bond Distances $(\AA)$ and Angles (deg) for $\operatorname{Tp}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}-o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{H})($ Chapter 3, 11a).

| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $2.011(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.054(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | $2.144(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $2.146(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $2.180(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.558(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.459(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.518(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.396(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.401(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.383(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.381(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.400(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.388(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | $1.537(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | $1.548(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(32)$ | $1.549(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.343(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | $1.375(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.356(4)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.375(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.492(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.396(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.490(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.341(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | $1.375(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.357(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.378(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.493(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.394(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.500(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.338(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{N}(32)$ | $1.373(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.358(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $1.379(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.493(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.406(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $1.489(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $1.767(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $1.772(4)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $81.31(13)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | $98.75(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | $178.76(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $177.83(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $96.72(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $83.21(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $91.76(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $95.04(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $86.20(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $89.29(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $109.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $116.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $114.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $106.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $119.8(3)$ |


| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $122.9(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $129.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $106.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $109.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $122.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $128.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | $107.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $136.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $116.06(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $109.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $129.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $120.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $107.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $122.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $129.7(3)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $124.8(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $115.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $120.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $120.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $120.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $120.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $119.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $124.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $115.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | $109.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(32)$ | $107.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{N}(32)$ | $110.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | $107.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $134.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $117.73(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $109.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $130.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $120.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $107.6(3)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $106.8(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $109.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $124.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $126.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{N}(32)$ | $107.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $133.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $118.05(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | $109.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $129.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $119.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $107.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $122.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $129.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $106.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $108.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $122.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $129.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $111.69(18)$ |
|  |  |

Atomic parameters $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ and Biso for complex 11a.

|  | x | y | z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pt}(1)$ | 920(1) | 7047(1) | 2114(1) | 17(1) |
| C(1) | 976(2) | 8678(3) | 2289(2) | 33(1) |
| C(2) | 629(2) | 9258(3) | 1608(3) | 46(1) |
| C(3) | 710(2) | 10409(4) | 1529(3) | 61(1) |
| C(4) | 638(1) | 8611(3) | 780(2) | 29(1) |
| C(5) | 553(1) | 9029(3) | -52(2) | 34(1) |
| C(6) | 556(1) | 8365(3) | -765(2) | 31(1) |
| C(7) | 645(1) | 7281(3) | -659(2) | 27(1) |
| C(8) | 736(1) | 6854(3) | 172(2) | 23(1) |
| C(9) | 739(1) | 7516(3) | 892(2) | 21(1) |
| B(10) | 1635(1) | 5203(3) | 2828(2) | 20(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 1104(1) | 6606(2) | 3434(2) | 18(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 1430(1) | 5791(2) | 3589(2) | 19(1) |
| C(13) | 1473(1) | 5564(3) | 4446(2) | 20(1) |
| C(14) | 1175(1) | 6250(3) | 4846(2) | 22(1) |
| C(15) | 947(1) | 6885(3) | 4199(2) | 21(1) |
| C(16) | 1798(1) | 4710(3) | 4827(2) | 26(1) |
| C(17) | 583(1) | 7742(3) | 4292(2) | 27(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 1665(1) | 6823(2) | 1840(2) | 20(1) |
| N (22) | 1907(1) | 6013(2) | 2288(2) | 19(1) |
| C(23) | 2376(1) | 6037(3) | 2126(2) | 22(1) |
| C(24) | 2433(1) | 6863(3) | 1551(2) | 24(1) |
| C(25) | 1984(1) | 7336(3) | 1387(2) | 22(1) |
| C(26) | 2742(1) | 5307(3) | 2561(2) | 28(1) |
| C(27) | 1861(1) | 8234(3) | 765(2) | 30(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 845(1) | 5347(2) | 1938(2) | 17(1) |
| N(32) | 1210(1) | 4699(2) | 2273(2) | 18(1) |
| C(33) | 1067(1) | 3659(3) | 2214(2) | 21(1) |
| C(34) | 606(1) | 3641(3) | 1831(2) | 22(1) |
| C(35) | 477(1) | 4716(3) | 1668(2) | 19(1) |
| C(36) | 1386(1) | 2752(3) | 2514(2) | 30(1) |
| C(37) | 15(1) | 5161(3) | 1285(2) | 23(1) |
| C(41) | 2010(1) | 8123(3) | 3996(2) | 29(1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 1813(1) | 9283(1) | 4519(1) | 43(1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 2642(1) | 8102(1) | 3971(1) | 35(1) |
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