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ABSTRACT

We are determining rotation curves for the galaxies in the RESOLVE (REsolved Spectroscopy Of a Local Volume) survey to identify kinematic anomalies in the ionized and neutral hydrogen gas. We have developed software for extracting galaxy rotation curves and other useful kinematic information such as asymmetries and extent from optical spectra of galaxies. We analyze the results from 60 galaxies, comparing 53 of them to galaxies with corresponding HI profiles. Specifically, we compare the optically extracted rotation velocities to the HI profile linewidths and find that they agree, with some scatter. We investigate reasons for the observed discrepancies between the two types of velocity measurements and conclude that galaxy interactions are a likely mechanism for some of the disagreement. Furthermore, we conclude that for galaxies with close neighbors the optically determined rotation velocity yields a more robust result than the velocity determined from the HI profile linewidth. We also analyze kinematic and mass asymmetries, but find no statistically significant results.

Subject headings: galaxies: evolution

1. INTRODUCTION

The primary goal of this research has been to develop software for extracting galaxy rotation curves (RCs) and other useful kinematic information from optical spectra of galaxies in the RESOLVE survey (Kannappan & Wei 2008). RESOLVE is a volume-limited galaxy survey that aims to perform a census of stellar, gas and dynamical mass as well as star formation and merger activity within a large volume of the nearby universe. The survey encompasses approximately 50,000 Mpc in an equatorial region that overlaps with Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) (York et al. 2000). It includes Stripe 82 and more than 1500 galaxies in two footprints on the sky. The sample is comprised of predominantly dwarf galaxies, but it also includes higher mass objects making it a unique study of galaxy dynamics over a large mass range. The data are comprised of 3D optical spectroscopy acquired with the SOAR and SALT telescopes as well as radio data from ALFALFA (Giovanelli et al. 2005) and supplementary GBT and Arecibo observations.

Accurate extraction of rotation curves is vital to accomplishing the goals of RESOLVE. Optical rotation curves are useful for identifying kinematic anomalies such as non-circular motions and disturbances in the ionized gas, as well as determining galaxy redshifts based on the center of rotation (Keel 1996; Jog 2002; Kannappan & Barton 2004). The redshifts and maximum rotation velocities measured from the 1D rotation curves can be used for de-confusing radio sources. We are also determining 2D velocity fields that will contribute to the dynamical mass census of RESOLVE through the determination of kinematic inclinations and de-projected rotation velocities. The rotation velocity in the frame of the galaxy allows us to calculate the total dynamical mass in the galaxy, as long as we have extracted the velocity information out to the turnover radius, i.e.) the point where the rotation curve goes flat (Courteau 1997). Also, this information in combination with knowledge of the baryonic mass enables a dark matter calculation.

We demonstrate the power of the software in further analysis of example results. We present the analysis of 60 RESOLVE galaxy RCs extracted from SOAR data, and we focus on the validity of the extracted velocity information through a comparison with HI profile linewidths at 50%. Comparing the optical rotation curves with the HI line profiles can reveal interesting dynamics of the gas. For example, the maximum rotation velocity determined by the optical RC may disagree with the velocity determined from the linewidth of the HI spectrum. We strive to illuminate any possible reasons for these discrepancies to follow up in a continuation of this project in the future. Specifically, we look for large asymmetries, truncation, and other irregularities in the optical rotation curves, as well as HI source confusion and asymmetries in the HI line profiles that may cause inconsistencies between the optical and radio data. Furthermore, we use the kinematic information to probe star formation and merger activity in the volume through an analysis of the relationship between kinematic anomalies and these phenomena for a subset of the RESOLVE sample.

2. METHODS

2.1. Observations

Optical spectra are acquired with the SOAR telescope using the Goodman Spectrograph (Clemens et al. 2004). To analyze the gas kinematics, we use a 1200 lines/mm grating. The grating angle is set to approximately 4.25 degrees and the camera angle is set to approximately 11.63 degrees. These are often slightly altered depending on the calibrations for each night in order to achieve a wavelength of 6815 ± 2 A as the value at the last pixel on the CCD so that we can include the Hα and [NII] emission lines.

The Goodman Spectrograph on SOAR hosts a custom made image slicer that we have constructed specifically
for the RESOLVE survey. As opposed to a conventional long slit, this image slicer is a plate with three long slits in parallel with each other and separated by approximately 5.5" from center to center. Each slit is 1" wide. For this setup, the CCD on Goodman has a FWHM resolution of 1.9 Å, so the 1" wide slit yields a FWHM resolution of about 87 km s$^{-1}$ for the Hα emission line. The center slit is 100" long and the outriggers are each 77" long. These lengths were designed to specifically fit the typical size of the RESOLVE galaxies. The outriggers are offset slightly in the vertical direction. On the back of the image slicer, there are two light guides that cause the light entering the outrigger slits to be redirected above and below the light from the center slit so that the light collects on the CCD as three horizontal spectral regions. Thus, for each observation of one galaxy, we obtain three 2D spectra. It is our goal to obtain significant spatial information in order to create velocity fields, and this image slicer allows us to obtain that information in a more efficient fashion than if we were using one long slit. The design is also such that if we need more spatial information, we can apply a small horizontal offset to obtain three additional spectral images of the same galaxy.

When observing we strive to reach a signal-to-noise of 25 at a radius of 1.3 times the half light radius for the emission line galaxies. This radius is significant because it is the likely turnover radius of the galaxy rotation curve (Freeman 1970), and extracting the rotation curve out to this radius helps ensure a better determination of the galaxy rotation velocity and hence other useful information such as dynamical mass. Given that our 1" slits yield a resolution of approximately 87 km s$^{-1}$ at FWHM, reaching the goal of peak signal-to-noise of 25 results in a velocity centroiding accuracy of approximately 3.5 km s$^{-1}$. We also consider the wavelength calibration accuracy rms that is about 2.5 km s$^{-1}$, and if these are uncorrelated, then we can add them in quadrature for a centroiding accuracy of $\lesssim 5$ km s$^{-1}$. This is a sufficient centroiding accuracy because the amplitude of turbulence in the velocity fields is found to be 10-15 km s$^{-1}$, so an accuracy of 5 km s$^{-1}$ allows us to see the effects of the turbulence (Beauvais & Bothun 2000).

As a method of planning for as well as testing our observation strategy, we have simulated full velocity fields with turbulence and masked them with a mock RESOLVE image slicer. These simulated velocity fields are designed using real RESOLVE galaxy parameters, such as the inclination and likely turnover radius, as estimated from photometric analysis. We also make use of the predicted maximum rotation velocity as estimated from the Tully-Fisher relation, i.e., the known relationship between galaxy luminosity and rotation velocity (Tully & Fisher 1977). We can then mask the velocity fields with one, two, or three image slicer footprints and test how well we recover the input parameters by fitting the rotation curves. Three different functions are used in this analysis: the multi-parameter function from Courteau (1997), the arctangent function, and the Universal Rotation Curve (Persic et al. 1996).

2.2. Data Reduction

The Goodman data described above for gas kinematics are analyzed using relatively standard data reduction procedures. The IRAF task colbias is used for the over-

scan correction on all data, and then the bias subtraction is performed. During some of the observing runs, there has been stray light that leaks into the box holding the spectrograph, contaminating the images. To correct for this, we take a pseudo-dark image of the closed dome and use this to correct the distortions of the images. The flat fields are combined and a normalized flat image is created using the IRAF task apanormalize. This normalized flat image is then used for the flat field correction on all arc lamp and spectral images. Cosmic rays are rejected with the L.A. Cosmic routine (van Dokkum 2001). We use IRAF to identify neon arc lamp emission lines and combine these with sky lines for the wavelength transformation. We apply a barycentric velocity correction using the IRAF task bvcorr. At this point we use the IRAF task aptrace or an IDL program that measures the slope of the spectra because the images do not collect in a straight line on the CCD. This slope is fed to an IDL routine that rectifies all of the spectral images by shifting columns of pixels so that each row corresponds to the same spatial point on the galaxy. After aligning the spectra, we can sum the repeat exposures. The sky subtraction is performed with an IDL code rather than standard IRAF sky subtraction. We have written an adaptive IDL routine that first fits a linear function to a small region above and below the emission lines of interest to obtain a starting guess for the slope of the sky lines. The code then expands to a larger region in the spatial direction to achieve the best possible fit to all the sky lines. Finally, we determine the world coordinate system using a custom IDL routine that uses multiple methods of fitting and cross correlation to match our images of the sky to corresponding SDSS images using up to three stars that are the same in each image.

2.3. Velocity Extraction

We extract rotation curves using an IDL script that fits a triple Gaussian function to the Hα and the two [NII] emission lines at 6563 Å, 6548 Å, and 6583 Å for each row of pixels on each spectral image. As a starting point for locating the emission, the code is given an approximate redshift using an average of values as reported by the SDSS, HyperLEDA Paturel et al. (2003), and ALFALFA databases, or alternatively, a redshift determined by the RESOLVE observers with SOAR. If the SDSS, HyperLEDA, and/or ALFALFA values disagree by $\geq 75$ km s$^{-1}$, and there is no SOAR measurement of the redshift, then the code has a ranking scheme for picking the best redshift. The ratio of the amplitude of the nitrogen line at 6583 Å to the amplitude of the nitrogen line at 6548 Å is fixed at 1.292 (Acker 1989) based on the relative strengths of these lines.

Typical seeing with SOAR is approximately 0.8"-0.9" and each pixel scales to 0.29", so we must bin by at least 3 in order to avoid oversampling the data. To achieve the optimal binning, each row of pixels is first summed with the two rows around it, without collapsing the size of the image. Then, the binned rows are fit with the triple Gaussian function and the wavelengths of the Gaussian centers are used to calculate the velocity in each row of pixels. Velocity data points are rejected if they do not reach a signal to noise limit of 5, if they deviate by more than 400 km s$^{-1}$ from the catalog redshift, and/or
if there are no other good data points within two rows above or below them.

The rotation curve of the major axis slit is next used to measure the asymmetry in the rotation curve, the redshift which minimizes the asymmetry, and the projected velocity amplitude of the curve ($V_{pmm}$). Following the methods of Raychaudhury et al. (1997), each velocity data point is considered to have a Gaussian distribution with a $\sigma$ equal to the error on that data point, and the probable maximum velocity is the value which has a 10% likelihood of exceeding all other velocities in the RC. The probable minimum is defined in an analogous way, and $V_{pmm}$ is defined as half of the difference between the probable maximum and probable minimum rotation velocities.

The code is given an initial guess for the redshift based on the velocity extracted from the spatial center of the continuum, and we use this redshift to determine the rest-frame RC. The asymmetry measurement comes from minimizing the average absolute deviations in velocity between the two halves of the rotation curve as defined by Kannappan et al. (2002) who were extrapolating from a method by Abraham et al. (1996). The asymmetry is recorded as a percentage of the total velocity width $2V_{pmm}$, and is strongly dependent on the spatial and velocity origin of the RC. To recover the best possible value of asymmetry and redshift, we iterate and allow the origin to vary. We constrain the movement of the spatial center by setting a maximum amount, $\delta_{max}$, by which the spatial origin is allowed to move. This maximum deviation, $\delta_{max}$, is first determined by a 3$\sigma$ standard deviation from the guess for the spatial center of the continuum. If the 3$\sigma$ standard deviation is less than 3 pixels, then $\delta_{max}$ is set to 3 pixels because this corresponds to 0.9$''$, the typical seeing of SOAR. If the 3$\sigma$ standard deviation is greater than $\delta_{V_{pmm}/2}$ (defined as the number of pixels it takes for the curve to rise to $V_{pmm}/2$), then $\delta_{max}$ is set to $\delta_{V_{pmm}/2}$. The velocity origin is allowed to vary freely. The minimization and determination of the origin are found using only the inner part of the rotation curve within a radius of Re because of the varying extent of the RCs of the RESOLVE galaxies. Previous work (Courteau 1997; Kannappan et al. 2002) has defined the inner rotation curve to be within 1.3Re because this represents the peak velocity position for a pure exponential disk (Freeman 1970). We have found, though, that for our current sample of RESOLVE galaxies, the majority of their RCs turn over by Re. The likely reason for this difference is that the Re values found for the RESOLVE galaxies are typically larger than past results due to the fact that we are recovering more light from the galaxy. An example rotation curve before and after minimization is shown in Figure 1.

The spatial point associated with the redshift value determined by minimizing the asymmetry is defined to be at the center of the un-collapsed, binned by three image of the center slit, and the code now chooses to keep every third point going radially outward from this center. The extra rows at the ends are discarded, and this becomes the new spectral image. At this point, another round of point rejection occurs to eliminate extracted velocities that have no neighboring data points within $\pm$ 2 pixels or that deviate by more than $\pm$ 400 km s$^{-1}$ from the redshift value. We then re-run the asymmetry calculation on the final binned, major axis rotation curve for a more robust measurement of the rotation, asymmetry, and $V_{pmm}$. The outrigger slits are binned in the same manner, but the starting point for the binning is the spatial center of the continuum in the spectral image. We plan to implement an adaptive binning routine that continues to bin the low signal-to-noise rows until the emission reaches a signal to noise limit of five.

2.4. Kinematically Determined Inclination

We make use of the rotation curves from all three slits to create velocity fields of the RESOLVE galaxies once the data have been mapped to sky coordinates. We aim to use the velocity fields to fit for kinematic inclinations using the software DiskFit from Spekkens & Sellwood (2007). We have done some preliminary work with this code and have recovered inclinations comparable to our photometric inclinations. This code is dependent on the coordinate information, however, and we are still working on our world coordinate system solution for mapping velocity points to the correct sky coordinates. An example velocity field plotted over the SDSS image of the galaxy is shown in Figure 2.

2.5. $EW(H\alpha)$
The Hα flux calculation is performed in two different ways. The first method is to determine the area under the Gaussian curve that has been fit to the Hα emission line using the formula for the area under a Gaussian. Another approach is to calculate the integrated flux directly from the spectrum by summing all of the data within 3σ of the center of the line after subtracting the continuum. The integrated flux is determined in these two ways for each row of the spectral image from which a velocity is measured, along with the continuum flux, and the continuum flux error.

The equivalent width is the integrated flux of the Hα line divided by the flux of the continuum, and this calculation is performed for all the measurements where the continuum flux is greater than five times the error on the continuum measurement. This precaution is taken because we often measure the Hα flux at large radii in the galaxy where the continuum is very weak. We chose to calculate three different EW(Hα) measurements for each galaxy: the total EW(Hα), the EW(Hα) within 1.3Re, and the EW(Hα) within Re. In the analysis discussed in this thesis, we chose to use the EW(Hα) measurements that were calculated using the flux of Hα as determined by the Gaussian fit because they are more uniformly calculated. That is, summing the spectral data within 3σ of the center of the Hα line sometimes includes data beyond or inside of the wavelength range of the emission. This may be due to an error in the fit, or perhaps it is due to non-Gaussian emission lines.

3. RESULTS

We have extracted rotation curves for 69 galaxies in the RESOLVE survey, but seven galaxies we examined have little to no emission, making it difficult to obtain a reliable measure of their rotation. Of the 62 galaxies with sufficient emission, one of them has outliers in the RC from cosmic rays or high noise peaks that are skewing the V_pmm measurement and making it difficult to determine a precise redshift, while the initial guess for the redshift of a second galaxy is bad and therefore, we are not obtaining a good V_pmm or redshift. We therefore evaluate rotation curves and physical properties extracted from the rotation curves for the other 60 galaxies; six of these are displayed in Figure 3.

We assess the validity of the kinematic information extracted from our rotation curves through comparisons with HI data and results from previous studies. Specifically, we compare our redshifts to catalog values, and the distribution of the asymmetries in our sample of 60 RESOLVE galaxies to the distribution of asymmetries in previous work. We compare the V_pmm measurements to the HI profile linewidths, W_50, and verify that our sample follows the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation (McGaugh et al. 2000). We analyze possible reasons for observed discrepancies between the two measures of rotation velocities by testing dependence of the measurements on other physical parameters extracted from both the optical and HI data. We also present results on the relationship between RC asymmetry and other physical parameters.

3.1. Kinematically Determined Redshift vs. Catalog Redshift

We compare the redshift as determined by the minimization of the asymmetries in the inner portion of the rotation curve to the initial guesses given to the code as described in Section 2.3. Figure 4 shows the difference between the catalog redshift and our redshift vs. our redshift. The three galaxies whose kinematically determined redshifts are different than the catalog values by ≥ 100 km s^{-1} are rf0478, rf0128 and rf0428. For the case of rf0478, we find that our redshift agrees within the error bars of the SDSS determined redshift, but that both of these are much different from the HyperLEDa redshift. The HyperLEDa redshift is the one that the code described in Section 2.3 is choosing as the trustworthy redshift even though it has much larger error bars. Thus, we conclude that this is a mistake and our redshift is not unreasonable for this galaxy. For the cases of rf0128 and rf0428, we find that there is a large difference and we will further investigate our kinematically determined redshift. We further note that it appears that the catalog redshift is systematically higher at low redshifts, and we are investigating possible causes for this trend. We expect this trend in our data will become more or less apparent once we increase our sample size. Excluding the three galaxies that are largely different from the catalog, we find an rms scatter of 35 km s^{-1}. This value is not too different from the previous findings of 20 km s^{-1} scatter when comparing various methods for determining central velocity (Keel 1996; Persic & Salucci 1995).

3.2. Distribution of Kinematic Asymmetries

The distribution of asymmetries in the sample of 60 rotation curves is shown in Figure 5. The majority of galaxies have asymmetries ≤ 8%, which is consistent with the distribution found by Kannappan & Barton (2004) for the Close Pairs Survey and the Nearby Field Galaxy Survey (NFGS). The distribution is also consistent with the findings of Kannappan et al. (2002) for the NFGS.
Figure 3. Galaxy rotation curves, velocity (km/s) vs. radius (arcsec), with a pink X displaying redshift. The blue dashed line shows the $V_{pmm}$ and the pink dot-dashed lines show the minimum and maximum rotation velocities.
in which a few dwarf galaxies have kinematic asymmetries $\geq 10\%$. Moreover, Kannappan & Fabricant (2001) look specifically at a sample of 113 NFGS galaxies and find that 26$\%$ have asymmetries $\geq 5\%$, while our sample shows that 56$\%$ have asymmetries $\geq 5\%$, and van Eymeren et al. (2011) find 36$\%$ of a sample of 70 galaxies are globally asymmetric. This difference in the overall distribution could be due to the fact that our sample of 60 galaxies is primarily low-mass galaxies that are often more turbulent. It could also be due to differences in spatial resolutions of the data.

### 3.3. Optical vs. Radio velocity measurements

Kannappan et al. (2002) find that $W_{50}$ and $V_{pmm}$ agree very well for the emission-line galaxies in the Nearby Field Galaxy Survey with the following relation found by an iterative least-squares fit with 3.5$\sigma$ outlier rejection:

$$W_{50} = 50(\pm 17)[\text{km s}^{-1}] + 0.73(0.09)(2V_{pmm})[\text{km s}^{-1}]$$

The rms scatter in the data relative to this best fit line is 56 km s$^{-1}$, which is much higher than the 20 km s$^{-1}$ scatter found by Kannappan et al. (2002). The difference in scatter may be due to the fact that Kannappan et al. (2002) exclude certain data points from their fit, such as 3.5$\sigma$ outliers, galaxies with potentially large P.A. misalignment, and confused HI sources. It is also important to note that they fit the trend to a sample of 96 galaxies compared to our 53.

We also performed an ordinary least-squares fit to inclination-corrected $V_{pmm}$ and $W_{50}$ that yields:

$$W_{50} = 69(\pm 22)[\text{km s}^{-1}] + 0.69(0.1)(2V_{pmm})[\text{km s}^{-1}]$$

The inclination correction is applied to both the optical and HI velocity measurements by dividing by $\sin(i)$. The inclination is defined:

$$i = \cos^{-1}\sqrt{((b/a)^2 - q_0^2)/(1 - q_0^2)}$$

where $b/a$ is the axis ratio and we use $q_0 = 0.18$ as determined by Courteau (1997).

It is sensible that most of the sample of 60 galaxies falls below a rotation velocity of 300 km/s because RESOLVE is composed of predominantly dwarf galaxies. Figure 7 demonstrates this with a plot of baryonic mass vs. rotation velocity. The black Xs are two times the optically determined $V_{pmm}$ and the red diamonds are the HI $W_{50}$ measurements. A Spearman rank test on $V_{pmm}$ and baryonic mass yields 100$\%$ probability of correlation, while the test on $W_{50}$ and baryonic mass yields a 99.89$\%$ probability. This is an important result as it is consistent with the existence of the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation (McGaugh et al. 2000).

### 3.4. Kinematic Asymmetry vs. Mass Asymmetry

Our data set also contains asymmetry measurements in the HI profiles. HI profiles can show lopsidedness from internal distortions of the HI gas or confusion with another HI source within the telescope beam, and roughly half of spiral galaxies show HI profile asymmetries (Richter & Sancisi 1994; Haynes et al. 1998). Our HI asymmetry measurement is different from our optical rotation curve asymmetry, however, because the former measures the ratio of the flux on either side of the HI profile using the optically determined redshift as the center, and is thus a measure of mass asymmetry in the neutral gas, not kinematic asymmetry.
Figure 6. $V_{pmm}$ as determined by the optical rotation curves vs. HI linewidth $W_{50}$ for both the observed (left) and inclination corrected (right) cases.

Figure 7. Baryonic mass ($M_{Sun}$) vs. inclination corrected rotation velocity (km/s). The X's are two times $V_{pmm}$ and the red diamonds are HI $W_{50}$ measurements.

Figure 8. HI profile asymmetry vs. optical rotation curve asymmetry.

We compare mass asymmetries to optical RC kinematic asymmetries for 49 of our 60 RESOLVE galaxies. There are 49 to compare because only 53 of the 60 galaxies have HI detections, and four of these galaxies have unreliable HI asymmetry measurements due to extremely low signal-to-noise, a bad HI baseline, or the HI redshift being drastically different from the optical redshift and all other catalog redshifts. As shown in Figure 8, there is no clear correlation between the kinematic and mass asymmetries. For example, there are several galaxies which show a very high asymmetry in the HI profile, while the asymmetry in the rotation curve is relatively small. This implies an asymmetric distribution of HI gas where the motions of the ionized gas are relatively more symmetric.

There have been differing results from previous comparisons of this type. Swaters (1999) reports on a specific dwarf galaxy, NGC 4395, that shows kinematic asymmetries but no asymmetry in HI. On the contrary, Jog (2002) argues that the two measurements should be correlated, specifically for spiral galaxies, because they should be coupled via the continuity equation for particles on perturbed orbits in a lopsided disk potential defined in Jog (1997).

3.5. Asymmetry as a reason for velocity discrepancies

We examine the relationship between asymmetries and rotation velocities for all combinations of the HI profile (mass) asymmetry, Hα RC (kinematic) asymmetry, $V_{pmm}$, and $W_{50}$. The strongest correlation exists between the kinematic asymmetry and $V_{pmm}$; a Spearman rank test on this relation produces a correlation probability of 99.5%. There is a 2σ correlation between mass asymmetry and $W_{50}$ with a correlation probability of 98.6%. There is essentially no correlation between kine-
nomic asymmetry and $W_{50}$, or between mass asymmetry and $V_{pmm}$.

To test whether asymmetries are causing a discrepancy between $V_{pmm}$ and $W_{50}$, we examine the placement of galaxies with the highest asymmetries in Figure 9. We identify galaxies with kinematic asymmetries greater than or equal to 10% (left) and the placement of galaxies with HI mass asymmetries greater than or equal to 60% (right) because we consider these percentages and above to be anomalous. It appears that the kinematic asymmetries are not responsible for the major discrepancies, as those with high asymmetry fall relatively close to the fit line. In the case of mass asymmetry, however, it appears that for some galaxies this may be a significant source of discrepancy in the velocity measurements.

3.6. HI source confusion as a reason for velocity discrepancies

We examine the velocity placement of galaxies that have been flagged as confused HI sources, and galaxies with neighbors within 0.1 Mpc because these galaxies could be potentially undergoing an interaction that is causing a velocity discrepancy. These plots are shown in Figure 11 with the confused galaxies overlaid on the left and those with a close neighbor overlaid on the right.

The HI confused flag comes from the fact that the radio telescope beam is very large and there is often more than one HI source in the beam when attempting to observe a particular galaxy. The confusion flag is automated and does not mean that the HI profile is definitely blended with that of another HI source. For example, Figure 10 shows the HI spectrum from ALFALFA for RESOLVE galaxy r0011; this galaxy is not obviously confused with another source, but it was flagged as such. Nonetheless, it is interesting that the three galaxies that lie farthest above the best fit line are all flagged as confused. That is, the fact that these are flagged as confused sources is consistent with the fact that these galaxies have HI linewidths that are much wider than the velocity profile as measured from the optical rotation curves. This could imply that the $V_{pmm}$ is a better measure of these galaxies’ rotation velocities.

3.7. Close neighbors as a reason for velocity discrepancies

Similarly, the discrepancy between $W_{50}$ and $V_{pmm}$ for galaxies with neighbors within 0.1 Mpc provides some interesting insight. Figure 11 shows that the galaxies that fall farthest from the best fit line often also have close companions. We examine the relationship between velocity discrepancy and distance to nearest neighbor in Figure 12. Although there is no linear correlation in the plot of the difference between $W_{50}$ and $V_{pmm}$ vs. distance to the nearest neighbor, it is clear that galaxies with large discrepancies in velocity have a close neighbor.

Distance to nearest neighbor could be related to several reasons for discrepancies between the two velocity measurements. One possibility is that the close neighbor is causing HI source confusion as discussed in 3.6. Furthermore, an interaction with this neighbor could lead to inconsistencies between the motions of the ionized and neutral gas because it could be disrupting the bulk flow of one or both types of gas. The interaction could tidally disrupt ionized gas and/or neutral gas to one side of the galaxy causing kinematic and/or mass asymmetries. Asymmetries may also result from violent encounters that decouple the gas from the stellar continuum peak (Barton et al. 2001; Mihos 2001). We further discuss the correlation between kinematic asymmetry and nearest neighbor distance in Section 3.10.5. Another possibility is that the interaction is causing gas inflow, thus truncating the rotation curve or narrowing the HI profile linewidth (Hernquist & Mihos 1995; Barton Gillespie et al. 2003). However, a plot of rotation curve extent vs. nearest neighbor distance reveals that there is no correlation whatsoever between these parameters.

We note that there are galaxies that have a very close neighbor, but a small difference between $W_{50}$ and $V_{pmm}$. This result does not necessarily contradict the idea that interactions are a cause for discrepancy, however, because the disturbance of the gas is heavily dependent upon the location of the two galaxies relative to one another and the state of their interaction (Woods et al. 2006). Furthermore, the effects of interactions may manifest themselves in the galaxy morphology, or gas or stellar dynamics, depending on the strength of the interaction and the environment in which the galaxy resides (Marquez & Moles 1996; Dale et al. 2001). Thus, assessing only the gas dynamics is not a conclusive method for determining if an interaction is taking place.

3.8. RC truncation as a reason for velocity discrepancies

Figure 13 shows that there may also be significant discrepancies for galaxies with truncated rotation curves. This parameter should be considered with caution because we have not yet implemented binning that allows us to reach particularly low signal-to-noise Hα emission. Thus, it may be that the ionized gas extends farther than our velocity extraction indicates. Figure 13 is also different from the results of Kannappan et al. (2002), who found that truncated RCs show no strong deviation from the $W_{50}$ vs. $V_{pmm}$ correlation.

3.9. Low HI peak signal-to-noise as a reason for velocity discrepancies

There does not appear to be a relationship between the discrepancies between $V_{pmm}$ and $W_{50}$ and the peak signal-to-noise ratio of the HI data. This fact is demonstrated in Figure 13 where we have indicated the galaxies with a peak signal-to-noise of less 5.

3.10. Further exploration of RC Asymmetry

Here, we explore other physical parameters for causes of kinematic asymmetries. We find some hints of correlations but no statistically significant results.

3.10.1. Asymmetry and Inclination

We inspect the correlation between optical rotation curve asymmetries and photometric inclination. We expect there to be a trend in asymmetry with decreasing inclination because the turbulence does not decrease as $\sin(i)$, while the rotation velocity component that we measure does. In our sample of 60 galaxies, nine of them
Figure 9. Galaxies with kinematic asymmetries ≥ 10% (left) and mass asymmetries ≥ 60% (right) as they fall on the $W_{50}$ vs. $V_{pmm}$ relationship.

Figure 10. HI spectrum from the ALFALFA survey for RESOLVE galaxy rf0011. This galaxy was automatically flagged as a confused HI source, though it is not obvious from looking at its spectrum that it is confused.

have inclinations smaller than 40°. Figure 14 shows that there is not a significant trend between optical RC asymmetry and inclination, particularly above the threshold of 40°. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test finds that there is a 94% chance that the trend in asymmetry with inclination below 40° is different from that above 40°. Thus, the determined asymmetries of galaxies with inclinations above 40° are likely mainly due to kinematic anomalies within the galaxy, but below this threshold the asymmetries may be due to higher turbulence in relation to the velocity that we measure.

Consistent with the results of Kannappan & Barton (2004), we find no significant correlation between kinematic asymmetries and extent of the rotation curve. That is, there are very few galaxies with both a truncated rotation curve and large kinematic asymmetry as shown in Figure 15.

3.10.2. Asymmetry and Extent

3.10.3. Star formation and Asymmetry

We find no correlation between kinematic asymmetries and any of the three measures of EW(Hα) extracted from the optical spectra. This implies that kinematic asymmetries are not associated with with star formation on time scales of a few Myr. Interestingly, however, a
Figure 11. Galaxies flagged as confused HI sources (red triangles on the left) and with neighbors within 0.1 Mpc (blue squares on the right) as they fall on the $W_{50}$ vs. $V_{ppmm}$ relationship.

Figure 12. $W_{50} - V_{ppmm}$ (km/s) vs. distance to nearest neighbor (Mpc).

Spearman rank test shows a very weak ($2\sigma$) correlation between kinematic asymmetries and the long-term fractional stellar mass growth rate, FSMGR, measuring star formation rate over the past Gyr as defined by Kannapann et al. (2013). We investigate the possibility that this $2\sigma$ correlation is a result of both kinematic asymmetry and FSMGR correlating with a third parameter such as baryonic mass or absolute magnitude. There is a $3\sigma$ correlation between FSMGR and both baryonic mass and absolute magnitude, but kinematic asymmetry shows no correlation with either of these. We do find that there is a strong correlation between kinematic asymmetry and $V_{ppmm}$ and between FSMGR and $V_{ppmm}$, yielding percent correlations of 99.5% and 99.9%, respectively. This result is a purely empirical because $V_{ppmm}$ in this relationship has not been corrected for inclination, which explains the lack of correlation between kinematic asymmetry and baryonic mass despite the correlation between $V_{ppmm}$ and baryonic mass.

3.10.4. Asymmetry and color

There is no clear trend between kinematic asymmetries and $u-r$ color, though the majority of galaxies with kinematic asymmetries $\geq 7\%$ are very blue as one can see in Figure 16. There are two exceptions to this, galaxies rf0233 and rs0733, which are very red in color, but have kinematic asymmetries greater than 10%. Upon observing the rotation curves of these two galaxies, as well as inspecting the data points that were extracted from the spectra, it is evident that this value of total asymmetry is trustworthy for galaxy rf0233, but should be re-assessed for galaxy rs0733. In the case of rf0233, the galaxy appears to be very rapidly rotating with a lot of turbulence.

3.10.5. Asymmetry and Nearest Neighbor Distance

There is no linear correlation between kinematic asymmetries and distance to the nearest neighbor, but all of the galaxies with asymmetries greater than 10% have neighboring galaxies within 0.6 Mpc. It is also observed that there is no linear correlation between mass asymmetry and distance to the nearest neighbor, but the majority of galaxies with a mass asymmetry greater than 40% have a neighbor within 0.6 Mpc. These relationships are shown in Figure 17.

4. DISCUSSION

We have successfully developed software for extracting galaxy rotation curves from 2D RESOLVE spectra. This software has been added to the end of our data reduction pipeline to be used on all RESOLVE galaxies that have been observed in the setup described in Section 2. The kinematic information obtained from this software will be useful to the scientific goals of the RESOLVE survey in many ways. In particular, $V_{ppmm}$ measurements will be used in the calculation of dynamical mass of RESOLVE galaxies, as well as for determining a global distribution.
of velocities for galaxies in all environments (Kannappan & RESOLVE Team 2013). Furthermore, Hα equivalent widths, kinematic asymmetries, the extent of the rotation curves, and measures of turbulence in the rotation curves can all be used for probing star formation and merger activity within the RESOLVE galaxies.

This thesis work has focused specifically on checking the quality of the RESOLVE velocity measurements through comparison of the optically determined V_{pmm} and the HI profile linewidth at 50%, W_{50}, as determined from the radio data. Identifying reasons for any discrepancies between these measurements is important for deciding which is a better quality measurement to be used for further calculations, such as the dynamical mass.

We have tested several reasons for these discrepancies and found that a galaxy-galaxy interaction is a likely mechanism for large differences as indicated in Figures 9 and 13. Figures 9 and 13 indicate that large mass asymmetries and truncated rotation curves are also drivers of these differences, but it is possible that the mass asymmetries and truncations are both induced by the interactions. That is, an interaction may pull the gas to one side of the galaxy causing a large mass asymmetry (Marquez & Moles 1996; Dale et al. 2001), or the interaction could cause a gas inflow resulting in RC truncation (Hernquist & Mihos 1995; Barton Gillespie et al. 2003).

Figure 17 shows that there is not a correlation between mass asymmetry and distance to nearest neighbor, but we have noted that the majority of galaxies with high mass asymmetries do have a neighbor within 0.5-0.6 Mpc. In fact, there is only one exception to this. Thus, we hypothesize that galaxy interactions are disturbing the HI gas and causing discrepancies between the ionized gas and neutral gas kinematics. Figures 9 and 13 also show that kinematic asymmetries and low HI peak signal-to-noise are most likely not sources of large discrepancies between the rotation velocities.
We can then calculate dark matter masses by subtracting the galaxies and perform dynamical mass calculations. We can determine the rest-frame velocities of the RESOLVE inclination of the galaxy. With this information, we reduce velocity fields that enable us to fit for the kinematic mapping our velocities to sky coordinates, we will produce a world coordinate system solution and are properly the ionized gas within the galaxy.

Kinematic asymmetry, and redshift calculations. We also from low signal to noise emission will improve our V to noise H emission. Extracting velocity measurements to noise of 5 is reached, allowing us to probe low signal V\textsubscript{pmm} and W\textsubscript{50}. Figure 18 shows these relationships. A Spearman rank test on both plots reveals that there is still a strong, 3σ correlation between V\textsubscript{pmm} and baryonic mass, while the correlation between W\textsubscript{50} and baryonic mass has dropped to a probability of 96.1%. This result implies that the neutral gas W\textsubscript{50} is more affected by a neighboring galaxy than the optical V\textsubscript{pmm}.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We conclude that our rotation curve extraction software is effective and produces reliable results. We are confident in both the V\textsubscript{pmm} and kinematic asymmetry measurements produced from this software. We are confident in approximately half of the redshifts determined from minimizing the asymmetries in the inner radii of the rotation curve, and we are further investigating discrepancies between our redshift and the catalog redshifts. We believe that analyzing a larger sample of RESOLVE galaxies will illuminate any necessity for modifying our code for determining redshifts.

We plan to improve our software through the implementation of an adaptive binning code that will continue to sum rows of the spectral image until a signal to noise of 5 is reached, allowing us to probe low signal to noise Hα emission. Extracting velocity measurements from low signal to noise emission will improve our V\textsubscript{pmm}, kinematic asymmetry, and redshift calculations. We also hope that we will better quantify the spatial extent of the ionized gas within the galaxy.

Furthermore, once we have resolved the problems with our world coordinate system solution and are properly mapping our velocities to sky coordinates, we will produce velocity fields that enable us to fit for the kinematic inclination of the galaxy. With this information, we can determine the rest-frame velocities of the RESOLVE galaxies and perform dynamical mass calculations. We can then calculate dark matter masses by subtracting the baryonic mass from the dynamical mass.

We have investigated reasons for discrepancies between V\textsubscript{pmm} and W\textsubscript{50} and identified galaxy interactions as a likely mechanism for the observed differences. It may be that an interaction is causing either the ionized or neutral gas to reflect a rotation velocity that is either larger or smaller than the actual bulk rotation of the matter within the galaxy. It may be that an interaction is tidally disrupting either one or both types of gas, resulting in mass and/or kinematic asymmetries (Barton et al. 2001; Mihos 2001), or it may be that the interaction is forcing an inflow of gas toward the galaxy center. The latter could cause a narrow HI profile linewidth or truncation of the optical RC (Hernquist & Mihos 1995; Barton Gillespie et al. 2003).

Finally, we have shown using the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation that V\textsubscript{pmm} is a more robust measurement than HI W\textsubscript{50} for galaxies with a galaxy neighbor within 0.3 Mpc. This result could have major implications for the global distribution of internal velocities of galaxies and galaxy clusters or the “velocity function”. Measuring this distribution is one of the major goals of the RESOLVE survey as it is an observable proxy for the halo mass function (Kannappan & RESOLVE Team 2013). Previously, this measurement has been probed using HI data (Papastergis et al. 2013). RESOLVE will improve this study of the velocity function given that these preliminary results imply that V\textsubscript{pmm} yields a more robust measurement than HI W\textsubscript{50}.
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Figure 17. Kinematic asymmetry (left) and mass asymmetry (right) vs. Nearest Neighbor Distance.

Figure 18. Baryonic Tully-Fisher relation using $V_{pmm}$ (left) and $W_{50}$ (right) for galaxies with nearest neighbors within 0.3 Mpc. This plot shows that for this sample of galaxies, baryonic mass retains a strong correlation with $V_{pmm}$ but it only has a 96.1% probability of correlating with $W_{50}$.
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