

carolina planning

vol. 4 no.1, spring 1978



Introduction

A major characteristic of planning practice in this nation has been an ever widening sphere of interest and action. From an early focus on the physical development of our cities, planning has evolved a more general concern that encompasses the range of public policy programs. This has occurred both because of the interconnected nature of government programs and because of changing societal definitions of the responsibilities and acceptable actions of government. With the widening sphere of planning, however, coordination among planning efforts has become increasingly difficult.

In this issue of *carolina planning*, **F. Stuart Chapin, Jr.** examines the problems of planning urban land use within the context of the broadening range of planning practice. This publication coincides with the retirement of Professor Chapin from the Department of City and Regional Planning. Stuart Chapin has been a teacher of unparalleled dedication for thirty-two years. He accepts each new student as a colleague with unique talents devoted to solving the problems of the profession. He regards every idea as having the potential to provide valuable new insight. His own ideas have led the profession repeatedly, and have enriched planning practice in

North Carolina and the nation. We are very proud to dedicate this issue of *carolina planning* to F. Stuart Chapin, Jr.

Other articles discuss varied elements of planning method and practice in the Southeast. **Glenn Harbeck** discusses the growth management programs of Wrightsville Beach, North Carolina, and Sanibel, Florida. **Benjamin T. Orsbon** and **Richard J. Rieman** report on the use of satellite data in the construction of an existing land use map in the mountains of western North Carolina. Two articles, one by **Bruce B. Clary**, and another by **Bonnie A. Nardi** and **Joe Harding**, describe survey techniques for determining citizens' values and relating them to policy decisions. **Norman B. Axler** proposes that refuse be considered as a power plant fuel in the Piedmont.

Views of two government programs are presented in *carolina forum*. **Charles E. Roe** describes a joint effort of the Nature Conservancy and the North Carolina Department of Natural Resources and Community Development to preserve the variety of natural features in the state. **E. Blaine Liner** and **David R. Godschalk** analyze recent changes in the federal Community Development Block Grant formulas, and argue that the South will suffer measurably from them.

Bruce Stiffel

carolina planning

Editor

Bruce Stiffel

Associate Editor

Ann Silverman

Assistant Editor

Norman Axler

Articles Editor

Michael Redmond

Staff

Joe Biber, Ellen Cunningham, Steve Dopp, Rick Gleason, Steve Herzberg, Rob Klein, Bruce Knopf, Cathy Morris, Carolyn Mosher, Don Meserve, Blair Pollock, Valerie Scopaz, Laura Webb, Ruth Ann Weidner

Editorial Board

faculty members Robert Erskine, Gorman Gilbert, George Hemmens

student members Eric Hyman, John Lebens, Ruth Ann Weidner


carolina planning is published semi-annually by students in the Department of City and Regional Planning, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, under grants from the Z. Smith Reynolds Foundation of Winston-Salem, North Carolina, and the John A. Parker Trust Fund, Department of City and Regional Planning.

carolina planning welcomes comments and suggestions on articles published and will be pleased to accept material for future editions. Manuscripts should be typed with a maximum of 20 double-spaced pages, and become the property of *carolina planning*.

Subscriptions to *carolina planning* are available at the annual rate of \$5.00 (\$6.50 outside of North America). Back issues, when available, are \$3.50 per copy (\$4.25 outside of North America).

All communications should be addressed to: *carolina planning*, Department of City and Regional Planning, New East 033A, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514.

Copyright © 1978 by the Department of City and Regional Planning, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.



This Issue's Cover

Cover design by Don Meserve. The illustrations show some of the areas that must be considered in Land Use Policy today. The solar house is courtesy of Integrated Energy Systems, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

Contents

2 carolina forum

6 Letters

7 Urban Land Use Policy
in an Era of Constraints

F. Stuart Chapin, Jr.

The role of the urban planner is changing radically due to demands on localities made by disparate federal and state programs that both increase the complexities of planning and decrease local control over goals and outcomes. These programs and their effects on the planner are examined in the context of changes in societal values and in the defined roles of the different levels of government. The author identifies points of greatest tension and makes predictions for the future.

11 Growth Management for Barrier Island
provides a needed
planning perspective

The attempts of two southeastern coastal communities to control growth in a period of rapid development are examined. Harbeck contrasts and evaluates the growth management programs of Wrightsville Beach, North Carolina, and Sanibel, Florida, according to their ability to translate planning objectives into reality. He concludes that growth management efforts can be only as good as the state enabling legislation and coastal management programs that guide their formulation.



S
U
B
S
C
R
I
B
E

g by Satellite:
D COG,

Satellite imagery can be used to help construct an existing land use map in rural areas. This case study of the use of LANDSAT data in preparing such a map in a mountain region of North Carolina illustrates the economies, advantages, and disadvantages of utilizing satellite imagery in planning. Other potential uses of satellite imagery in the rural context are described.

rd J. Reiman

s
ment

Determining citizen opinion of plan alternatives is often complicated because citizens do not fully understand the alternatives. Clary presents a technique that reduces this complication by asking citizens what they think of various environmental values rather than plan alternatives. The planner then determines how much these environmental values would be affected by each of the various alternative plans and infers citizen opinion of the plans. The technique is applied to the Raleigh-Durham airport expansion controversy.

38 Determining Community Attitudes
and Preferences for Programs
and Services

Bonnie A. Nardi and Joe R. Harding

A survey method for obtaining citizen input into planning efforts is presented. In this two stage process, called Heuristic Elicitation, community needs, interests, and problems are determined through interviews with a small number of hand-picked individuals, and then quantified through a broadly distributed questionnaire. Applications of Heuristic Elicitation to health planning in North Carolina, and to community facility design in New Mexico, are described.

46 Solid Waste as a Supplemental Fuel
for Power Plants in North Carolina

Norman B. Axler

Solid waste is potentially a supplemental fuel for coal-burning power plants in North Carolina. The author discusses technology involved in the conversion of conventional power plants to refuse-derived fuel systems, supply levels necessary to make this process economically feasible, and the previous experiences of other communities using the system. He concludes by identifying power plants in North Carolina that would be most suited to conversion to a refuse-derived fuel system.

Introduction

A major characteristic of planning practice in this nation has been an ever widening sphere of interest and action. From an early focus on the physical development of our cities, planning has evolved a more general concern that encompasses the range of public policy programs. This has occurred both because of the interconnected nature of government programs and because of changing societal definitions of the responsibilities and acceptable actions of government. With the widening sphere of planning, however, coordination among planning efforts has become increasingly difficult.

In this issue of *carolina planning*, **F. Stuart Chapin, Jr.** examines the problems of planning urban land use within the context of the broadening range of planning practice. This publication coincides with the retirement of Professor Chapin from the Department of City and Regional Planning. Stuart Chapin has been a teacher of unparalleled dedication for thirty-two years. He accepts each new student as a colleague with unique talents devoted to solving the problems of the profession. He regards every idea as having the potential to provide valuable new insight. His own ideas have led the profession repeatedly, and have enriched planning practice in

North Carolina and the nation. We are very proud to dedicate this issue of *carolina planning* to F. Stuart Chapin, Jr.

Other articles discuss varied elements of planning method and practice in the Southeast. **Glenn Harbeck** discusses the growth management programs of Wrightsville Beach, North Carolina, and Sanibel, Florida. **Benjamin T. Orsbon** and **Richard J. Rieman** report on the use of satellite data in the construction of an existing land use map in the mountains of western North Carolina. Two articles, one by **Bruce B. Clary**, and another by **Bonnie A. Nardi** and **Joe Harding**, describe survey techniques for determining citizens' values and relating them to policy decisions. **Norman B. Axler** proposes that refuse be considered as a power plant fuel in the Piedmont.

Views of two government programs are presented in *carolina forum*. **Charles E. Roe** describes a joint effort of the Nature Conservancy and the North Carolina Department of Natural Resources and Community Development to preserve the variety of natural resources in the South. **David R. Godsch** discusses the development of a Community Development program that the South will

carolina planning

Editor
Associate Editor
Assistant Editor
Articles Editor

Bruce Stifte
Ann Silvermar
Norman Axler
Michael Redmon

Staff Joe Biber, Ellen Cunningham, Steve Dopp, Rick Gleason
Steve Herzberg, Rob Klein, Bruce Knopf, Cathy Morris
Carolyn Mosher, Don Meserve, Blair Pollock
Valerie Scopaz, Laura Webb, Ruth Ann Weidner

Editorial Board
faculty members Robert Erskine, Gorman Gilbert, George Hemmens
student members Eric Hyman, John Lebens, Ruth Ann Weidner

carolina planning is published semi-annually by students in the Department of City and Regional Planning, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, under grants from the Z. Smith Reynolds Foundation of Winston-Salem, North Carolina, and the John A. Parker Trust Fund, Department of City and Regional Planning.


carolina planning welcomes comments and suggestions on articles published and will be pleased to accept material for future editions. Manuscripts should be typed with a maximum of 20 double-spaced pages, and become the property of *carolina planning*.

Subscriptions to *carolina planning* are available at the annual rate of \$5.00 (\$6.50 outside of North America). Back issues, when available, are \$3.50 per copy (\$4.25 outside of North America).

All communications should be addressed to: *carolina planning*, Department of City and Regional Planning, New East 033A, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514.

Copyright © 1978 by the Department of City and Regional Planning, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.

The success of this valuable public policy magazine depends on your **support**. \$5 entitles you to two issues - a one year subscription. Complete the attached order blank and mail today.



This Issue's Cover

Cover design by Don Meserve. The illustrations show some of the areas that must be considered in Land Use Policy today. The solar house is courtesy of Integrated Energy Systems, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

Contents

2 carolina forum

6 Letters

7 Urban Land Use Policy
in an Era of Constraints

F. Stuart Chapin, Jr.

The role of the urban planner is changing radically due to demands on localities made by disparate federal and state programs that both increase the complexities of planning and decrease local control over goals and outcomes. These programs and their effects on the planner are examined in the context of changes in societal values and in the defined roles of the different levels of government. The author identifies points of greatest tension and makes predictions for the future.

14 Growth Management for Barrier Island
Communities:
A Comparative Evaluation

Glenn Harbeck

The attempts of two southeastern coastal communities to control growth in a period of rapid development are examined. Harbeck contrasts and evaluates the growth management programs of Wrightsville Beach, North Carolina, and Sanibel, Florida; according to their ability to translate planning objectives into reality. He concludes that growth management efforts can be only as good as the state enabling legislation and coastal management programs that guide their formulation.

25 Rural Land Use Mapping by Satellite:
A Case Study of Region D COG,
North Carolina

Benjamin T. Orsbon and Richard J. Reiman

Satellite imagery can be used to help construct an existing land use map in rural areas. This case study of the use of LANDSAT data in preparing such a map in a mountain region of North Carolina illustrates the economies, advantages, and disadvantages of utilizing satellite imagery in planning. Other potential uses of satellite imagery in the rural context are described.

30 Measuring Public Values
in Environmental Assessment

Bruce B. Clary

Determining citizen opinion of plan alternatives is often complicated because citizens do not fully understand the alternatives. Clary presents a technique that reduces this complication by asking citizens what they think of various environmental values rather than plan alternatives. The planner then determines how much these environmental values would be affected by each of the various alternative plans and infers citizen opinion of the plans. The technique is applied to the Raleigh-Durham airport expansion controversy.

38 Determining Community Attitudes
and Preferences for Programs
and Services

Bonnie A. Nardi and Joe R. Harding

A survey method for obtaining citizen input into planning efforts is presented. In this two stage process, called Heuristic Elicitation, community needs, interests, and problems are determined through interviews with a small number of hand-picked individuals, and then quantified through a broadly distributed questionnaire. Applications of Heuristic Elicitation to health planning in North Carolina, and to community facility design in New Mexico, are described.

46 Solid Waste as a Supplemental Fuel
for Power Plants in North Carolina

Norman B. Axler

Solid waste is potentially a supplemental fuel for coal-burning power plants in North Carolina. The author discusses technology involved in the conversion of conventional power plants to refuse-derived fuel systems, supply levels necessary to make this process economically feasible, and the previous experiences of other communities using the system. He concludes by identifying power plants in North Carolina that would be most suited to conversion to a refuse-derived fuel system.