Three-dimensional attenuation tomography at Loma Prieta: Inversion of \( t^* \) for \( Q \)
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Abstract. Three-dimensional \( Q^{-1} \) variations in the aftershock region of Loma Prieta are derived by tomographic inversion. The data set consists of over 4000 aftershock recordings at 22 PASSCAL (Program for Array Seismic Studies of the Continental Lithosphere) stations deployed after the Loma Prieta mainshock of 1989. Estimates of attenuation are determined from nonlinear least squares best fits to the Fourier amplitude spectrum of P and S wave arrivals. The linear attenuation inversion is accomplished by using three-dimensional velocity variations derived previously in nonlinear velocity inversions. Low \( Q \) is observed near the surface and \( Q \) generally increases with depth. The southwest side of the San Andreas fault exhibits lower \( Q \) than does the northeast side and this feature apparently extends to approximately 7 km depth. The fault zone, as determined by the dipping plane of aftershock activity, is characterized by slightly higher \( Q_p \) and lower \( Q_s \), compared to regions immediately adjacent to the fault. These correlate with high-velocity anomalies associated with seismicity at depth. The results are in agreement with earlier observations regarding the association of high-velocity anomalies, seismicity, and fault zone asperities.

Introduction

Attenuation tomography has been applied previously to active source data sets [Clawson et al., 1989; Evans and Zucca, 1988] and to data derived from earthquakes [Ho-Liu et al., 1988; Scherbaum, 1990; Scherbaum and Wyss, 1990; Young and Ward, 1980]. These studies are concentrated mostly on volcanic regions where our a priori models are relatively simple, that is, zones of large magma accumulation are expected to have high attenuation and low velocity. In the case of fault zones a similar situation exists; along the fault gouge we expect to observe low velocity and high attenuation due to extensive fracturing, crack accumulation, and partial saturation [Wittlinger et al., 1983]. In the case of fault zones, however, variations in lithology may have a considerable influence on propagation properties making such simple, a priori, models speculative at best. In the Parkfield, California, region, attenuation of high-frequency seismic waves has been observed to vary by at least a factor of 2 laterally along the fault and by a factor of 4-10 off the fault [Blakeslee, 1989; Blakeslee et al., 1989]. If similar lateral variations are present at Loma Prieta, the large volume of data recorded after the 1989 mainshock event provides a unique opportunity for determining three-dimensional variations of attenuation along the San Andreas fault.

The basic methods in this study are similar to those of Scherbaum [1990]. Our methods differ from Scherbaum's in the approach we have taken to solve both the nonlinear estimation of the source and attenuation parameters and in the tomographic inversion for three-dimensional \( Q \) structure. In our case we use an optimal global search method to find the best fit to the spectrum. This approach is more satisfying than the simple Levenburg-Marquardt approach used earlier because of the guarantee of global minimization. Furthermore, the tomographic inversion here utilizes the three-dimensional velocity structure previously derived using a nonlinear inversion and three-dimensional ray tracing.

We use previously derived three-dimensional P wave velocity tomography results in conjunction with spectral fits of P and S wave arrivals to model the \( Q \) structure in the Loma Prieta region. Earlier studies have observed a high correlation of fault seismicity with high-velocity regions in this area, and we would like to know if the high-velocity zones also represent material whose attenuation is anomalously high or low. If we can determine this, we may be able to differentiate between various interpretations of the tomographic anomalies: is the high velocity associated with stress related anomalies along the fault [Lees, 1990; Lees and Malin, 1990; Nicholson and Lees, 1992b] or is it lithologic, as suggested by Shalev and Lees [1994]?

Data and Methodology

The data in this study were selected from the 22 portable three-component PASSCAL (Program for Array Seismic Studies of the Continental Lithosphere) stations installed after the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake. Figure 1 shows the named portable stations and other unnamed stations from the USGS permanent array. PASSCAL data were used because of their high quality and high data sample rate. The epicenters of the selected earthquakes are plotted on the map. Each PASSCAL record was
temporally associated with an event in the permanent U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) catalogue for purposes of determination of an earthquake location. The initial three-dimensional velocity model was determined using approximately 12,000 travel time records from the USGS array in a nonlinear tomographic inversion. The three-dimensional tomographic velocity inversion has been extensively studied by several researchers, and the major variations appear to be stable [Eberhart-Phillips et al., 1990; Lees, 1990; Lees and Shalev, 1992; Shalev and Lees, 1992]. The primary structural features are a low velocity sedimentary block situated between the Sargent and Zayante faults and an apparent high-velocity wedge dipping along the trace of the seismicity below the San Andreas fault.

The underlying assumptions of the method used to determine attenuation in this study are based on the Brune source model [Brune, 1970; Brune, 1971], extended by Boatwright [1978], Hanks and Wyss [1972], Madariaga [1977], Randall [1973], and others. Assuming a model of the earthquake spectrum $H(f)$ [Boatwright, 1978; Lindley and Archuleta, 1992],

$$H(f) = \frac{\Omega_0 \exp(-\pi f' \tau)}{(1 + (\frac{f}{f_c})^{2\gamma})^{1/2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$f' = f_0 f^{-\alpha}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $f$ is the frequency, $\Omega_0$ is the amplitude at zero frequency,
$f_c$ is the corner frequency, $\gamma$ is the source spectral falloff, and $t^*$ and $\alpha$ are parameters that determine attenuation. The approach is to window the P or S wave arrival, apply a 10% cosine taper, calculate the Fourier transform and, finally, window the frequency spectrum for model fitting. There are five free parameters in this model: $f_c$, $\Omega_0$, $\Omega_c$, $\alpha$, and $\gamma$. It is impossible to find a unique best fit while allowing all five parameters to vary since there are many possible parameter combinations that will produce similar quality fits. In order to reduce the number of fit parameters, $\gamma$ is assumed to be 2.0. The parameter $\gamma$ measures the rate at which the spectrum falls off at high frequencies due to the source. In our case we thus used the commonly assumed $\omega$-square source model. The parameter $\alpha$ determines the frequency dependence of attenuation. Spectral fits were determined with $\alpha$ fixed at four different values: -1.0, -0.5, 0.0, and 0.5. The parameters $\Omega_0$, $f_c$, and $t^*$ were allowed to vary in the best fits to the Fourier spectra. The least squares best fits were determined using the simplex algorithm [Caceci and Cacheris, 1984; Nelder and Mead, 1965]. Tomographic inversions for the four different values of $\alpha$ were found to be similar and we present only the inversion for $\alpha = 0.0$ ($Q$ constant with frequency). Figures 2a-2f show examples of P wave and S wave spectral fits from the Loma Prieta data set.

The range of frequencies that could be analyzed was limited by noise, antialias filters, and the response of the instruments. For P waves, the noise was determined for each seismogram from the Fourier spectrum of a window placed just prior to the first P wave arrival. The range of frequencies fit was then determined by comparing the Fourier spectrum of the noise window to the P wave Fourier spectrum. Frequencies below the natural frequency of the sensors, 2 Hz, were not used. The highest frequency fit for P waves was typically 40 to 60 Hz, although some spectra could be fit to 80 Hz. Similar guidelines were used to determine the frequencies to fit for S waves. S waves were first rotated to transverse (SH) based on epicenter and station locations. The noise window for S waves was placed in the P wave coda just in

Figure 2. Examples of P and S wave windows and spectra. Triangles mark the windows for which the fast Fourier transforms were computed. Figures 2a, 2c, and 2e show P wave spectral fits from vertical components. Figures 2b, 2d, and 2f show S wave spectral fits from the horizontals rotated to the transverse component. The fit parameters are (a) $\Omega = 5.8 \times 10^6$ cm s$^{-1}$, $f_c = 15.6$ Hz, $t^* = 0.016$ s, (b) $\Omega = 7.7 \times 10^5$ cm s$^{-1}$, $f_c = 9.1$ Hz, $t^* = 0.052$ s, (c) $\Omega = 4.1 \times 10^7$ cm s$^{-1}$, $f_c = 7.1$ Hz, $t^* = 0.007$ s, (d) $\Omega = 1.1 \times 10^5$ cm s$^{-1}$, $f_c = 7.8$ Hz, $t^* = 0.039$ s, (e) $\Omega = 5.7 \times 10^7$ cm s$^{-1}$, $f_c = 15.7$ Hz, $t^* = 0.014$ s, (f) $\Omega = 3.0 \times 10^6$ cm s$^{-1}$, $f_c = 6.50$ Hz, $t^* = 0.008$ s.
front of the first S wave arrival. The noise (P wave coda) spectrum was generally observed to merge with the transverse S wave spectrum between 10 and 35 Hz depending on the recording. S wave spectra were not used if it appeared that the P wave coda dominated at frequencies below 10 Hz. This constraint limited the number of S wave $t^*$ values in the study.

One possible concern in the analysis is that $t^*$ may vary with window length. Window lengths were chosen to encompass most of the initial arriving energy and were typically 1.5 to 3.0 s for P waves and 2.5 to 5.0 s for S waves. Figures 3a and 3b show the variation of P and S wave $t^*$ with window length for one event (October 24, 0337:12 UT, 37øN 10.17', 122øW3.09') recorded at 10 different sites. P wave $t^*$ values are reasonably constant at each of the sites for different window lengths. S wave $t^*$ values are also fairly constant for seven of the ten sites. For three of the sites, S wave $t^*$ values vary significantly for smaller window lengths (less than 3 s). The variation of $t^*$ with window length observed for some of the S wave recordings may be related to the smaller frequency ranges used in the spectral fits for S waves compared to P waves as discussed above.

The $t^*$ is then related to the quality factor Q by

$$ t^* = \frac{1}{Q(x,y,z)} \int_{raypath} \frac{1}{\nu(x,y,z)} dr(x,y,z) $$

where the integral is taken along the raypath of the seismic wave

Figure 3. Comparison of $t^*$ fitted values for one event using different window lengths. (a) P wave fits and (b) S wave fits. Note that $t^*$ estimates are generally consistent across a broad range of window lengths.
and Q and velocity (v) vary in three dimensions. Nonlinear
tomographic analysis of P wave arrival times provides the three-
dimensional variations of P wave velocity. Since no reliable,
independent, three-dimensional S wave velocity model is
available, the P wave model, scaled by \( (3)_{P}^{1/3} \), was used for the S
wave velocity. Three-dimensional ray tracing (based on ray
bending [Um and Thurber, 1987]) is performed relative to the
three-dimensional velocity models [Lees and Shalev, 1992]. Path
effects associated with Q in equation (3) can be separated
spatially in three dimensions by tomographic analysis. By path
effects we include all sources of attenuation along the path,
including intrinsic attenuation and scattering attenuation, or
modification of the signals associated with focusing or
defocusing [Bregman et al., 1989]. While the first arrival ray
path is a line through the model, the smoothing, introduced
below, spreads the effect of the line integral in equation (1) over
a finite volume along the ray. This volume represents a tube
through which both scattering and intrinsic attenuation occur.
Equation (3) is parameterized by small, discrete blocks within
which the attenuation is assumed constant. The linear inversion
is accomplished by solving the system:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A \\
\lambda L
\end{bmatrix}
Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
t^* \\
0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(4)

Where A is the matrix which describes the intersection of the
raypaths with the block model, \( L \) is a two-dimensional Laplacian
operator used to regularize the inversion, \( \lambda \) is a damping
parameter which controls the relative weight of adhering to the
data versus constraining the Laplacian of the model to be small,
and \( t^* \) is a vector of \( t^* \) values estimated from the nonlinear
spectral inversion. The bold symbol \( Q^{-1} \) represents a vector of
the three-dimensional model of scalar Q^{-1} values. The Laplacian
smoothing is introduced to prevent noisy data from producing
unstable solutions with large variance. This is different from the
standard Levenburg-Marquardt approach in that it constrains
neighboring blocks to be close to one another. Furthermore,
径 negative attenuation is physically unrealistic inversion
models were required to have non-negative Q^{-1} values. The
matrix system in equation (4) is solved via a conjugate gradient
algorithm called LSQR [Paige and Saunders, 1982; Spakman
and Nolet, 1988].

There is considerable variability in the data. Box plots of the
original \( t^* \) and \( f_c \) values for each station are presented together in
Figures 4a and 4b. Box plots, like histograms, summarize the
distribution of the values on a per station basis. Median,
interfourth quartiles, octiles, and outlier values are conveniently
shown on the same plot for comparison. The \( t^* \) estimates vary
from negative values to several very large outliers. The \( f_c \)
similarly vary widely, up to 80 Hz in some instances. Since there
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*Figure 4.* Box plots of the unconstrained \( t^* \) values for full data set for (a) P wave \( t^* \) and (b) S wave \( t^* \). Box
plots show, for each station, the distribution of the \( t^* \) median (horizontal white bar in each dark rectangle),
interfourth quartiles (bounded by dark rectangles), octiles (bounded by dashed lines and brackets), and outliers
(horizontal dark lines). The width of each box plot is proportional to the number of data for each station.
is a trade-off of $t^*$ and $f_c$, we are concerned about possible systematic correlations between these parameters. Contours of median $t^*$ and $f_c$ values for each station indicate that there is no apparent spatial correlation between the overall summaries of the two parameters for each station. Plots of the contoured median $t^*$ values show a general high in the southwest part of the target area associated with stations radf, rdwd, olsp, ridr, and zaya (Figure 5a). Corresponding contour plots of median $f_c$ do not correlate at all with the $t^*$ surface. The contour plots of median $t^*$ do correlate with site geology. Sites located on the Franciscan assemblage generally have low attenuation (sites jimv, warb, saos, and wvrd). The other sites are located on younger Cenozoic sedimentary rocks and generally have greater $t^*$ values. A more detailed discussion of the interplay of site effects with site geology for this data set is given by Lindley and Archuleta [1992].

To assess if the variability of the $t^*$ values could be reduced by removing some of the trade-off of $t^*$ with $f_c$, all events that were recorded at four or more stations were selected for a second analysis. In this second analysis, the corner frequency was constrained to have the same value for recordings of the same event at different sites. A joint inversion of the spectra for each event was determined with $t^*$ and $f_c$ allowed to vary for each spectrum and the corner frequency constrained to a single value. The $t^*$ estimates calculated in this manner had similar distributions as those calculated in the unconstrained case except the interfourth quartile distances were smaller (Figure 6 and Figure 7). This implies that the new data set had less variability than the unconstrained case. The main difference in the median values was at stations holy, prez, and anns, which have higher median values than in the unconstrained case (Figures 5a and 5b).

The corner frequencies calculated in this manner have much less variability than before. Box plots of $f_c$ for the constrained P wave determinations are presented in Figure 8. The data distributions in the box plots indicate that $t^*$ estimates greater than 0.07 and less than 0.0 are outliers. To insure robustness in the inversion, all $t^*$ data beyond these limits were eliminated. The $S$ wave data were considerably sparser than the $P$ wave data, with fewer events recording at several stations simultaneously. Because of this we chose not to constrain the $S$ wave spectral estimates, so the data, as presented in Figure 4b were used as is with $t^*$ estimates greater than 0.07 and less than 0.0 removed as in the $P$ wave data. We found no special correlation of average $f_c$ to $t^*$ determinations in the $S$ wave data; for completeness we present box plots of the $S$ wave $f_c$ values in Figure 8b. The final data set included 2442 (constrained) $P$ wave and 2115 (unconstrained) $S$ wave $t^*$ estimates.

Results

Tomographic inversion results are presented in Figures 9-14 as gray shades of $Q_p^{-1}$ and $Q_s^{-1}$, respectively. Since there is no satisfactory quantitative method for choosing $\lambda$, several choices
Figure 5. Comparison of t* values for estimates using _fc_ constraints versus unconstrained determinations. Notice that stations anns, holy, prez, and jimv appear to be considerably larger for the constrained estimation.

Figure 6. Comparison of the data spread, approximated by the interfourth quartiles, between constrained and unconstrained estimates of t*. Notice that the data are considerably more spread out in the unconstrained versus the constrained estimates.
Figure 7. Contour plots of the median $t^*$ values: (a) unconstrained inversions and (b) constrained estimates. Median values were spatially interpolated for each data set. The main difference in the median values lies near the stations which exhibited significant changes shown in Figure 4.
Figure 8. a) Boxplot of the $f_c$ values accumulated for P wave spectra (see fig. 4a for details). b) Corresponding plot for S wave determinations.
of $\lambda$ were tested and the one that provided a reasonable trade-off of residual reduction to model smoothness is presented here ($\lambda = 1$ for $Q_p$ and $\lambda = 0.9$ for $Q_s$). We were interested primarily in structural features of wavelength approaching that of the velocity inversion.

Near the surface (to 1 km depth) the incident rays are nearly vertical, so ray paths and anomalies are concentrated around the stations (Figure 9a). The predominant near-surface signal is high attenuation in the vicinity of the stations. The high attenuation region correlates with a low velocity anomaly extending 5-7 km depth that was previously interpreted as a sedimentary basin situated between the San Andreas and Zayante faults. The relatively high attenuation extends to layer 6 (7-9 km depth) southwest of the San Andreas fault near the center of the target region. A close-up view of the deeper layers is provided in Figure 10 where the correlations of $Q_p$ and seismicity are clarified. This trend is observed on vertical cross sections of the model in Figure 11. Sections AA’ and BB’ are perpendicular to the San Andreas fault and show the high attenuation in the hanging wall of the fault. The fault zone, as defined by the
spatial distribution of hypocenters, does not appear to have high attenuation. Section CC', which parallels the San Andreas, shows a distinct decrease of attenuation with depth.

The S wave attenuation inversion exhibits a pattern similar to the P wave (Figures 12, 13 and 14). High attenuation is prevalent southwest of the surface trace of the San Andreas fault down to 9.0 km depth. In layer 8 (13-18 km depth) two small high attenuation patches are observed. The S wave data set is smaller than the P wave data set, and the variations of $t^*$ estimates are more variable. Attenuation anomalies subsequently have more variance. Compare layer 5 (Figure 13a) of the S wave inversion with the corresponding layer of the P wave analysis (Figure 10a). While the S wave inversion appears to show more extreme variation, the overall pattern described above for the $Q_p$ inversion is evident in the S wave result: the hanging wall of the fault zone has an apparent high attenuation. In the $Q_s$ inversion, though, we observe a slightly higher attenuation in the fault zone (Figures 13c and 13d), as determined by the seismicity (cross sections A-A' and B-B' in Figure 14). This is opposite the pattern seen in the $Q_p$ (cross sections A-A' and B-B' in Figure 11).

In Figure 15 we provide a first order estimate for the ratio of $Q_p$ to $Q_s$. We feel that because of the variability of the results, a formal, quantitative analysis of the $Q_p/Q_s$ ratio is not entirely appropriate, and we refrain from interpreting these values in detail. We note, however, that there appears to be a significant pattern of exceptionally high $Q_p/Q_s$ ratio in the deep portion of the model (layers 6-8, 7-18 km depth, Figure 15f - 15h) where the ratio exceeds a factor of 10.
Figure 11. Vertical cross section of three-dimensional inversion in Figure 8 (a) perpendicular to San Andreas fault, central, (b) perpendicular to San Andreas fault to the south and (c) parallel to San Andreas fault. A plan view of the cross sections is presented in Figure 1.
Resolution Analysis: Error Bars

To estimate the effect of variable data on the results we used a subsampling technique called the Jackknife [Efron, 1982; Lees and Crosson, 1989; Tichelaar and Ruff, 1989]. The jackknife provides an estimate of the variance of the models based on the influence samples of the data set have on the model. The data are divided into 30 nonoverlapping sets, and inversions are derived for each set. The statistical summaries of the set of models provide an estimate of the variance of the inversion model. These estimates are most reliable near the center of the model where data density is high. The error estimates for the center of the models are small, as shown in Figures 16a and 16b. Near the edges of the model the jackknife errors indicate unreliable model estimates, although these parameters are also poorly resolved because of reduced sampling of seismic waves in these regions. We therefore discount the model near the edges.

Spatial resolution is usually estimated by calculating point spread function for regions of interest and examining hit count displays showing the distribution of the data (Figure 17). Where the data distribution is very dense, as in the center of the model where, typically, 100 rays penetrate individual blocks, the resolution is excellent. Point spread functions near the center of the model indicate that we can resolve features that are 2-3 blocks, or 3 km in length. Near the edges of the model smearing degrades spatial resolution considerably and localized anomalous features are not reliable estimates of Q for those blocks. Poorly sampled blocks can have as few as one ray penetrating and
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Layer 6: 7.0-9.0 km
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Figure 13. Close up of layers 5-8 of Figure 12 plotted with a more condensed scale.

There are several possible sources of error that we have not accounted for and that should be pointed out as caveats to this analysis. (1) There is an inherent bias due to the initial assumption about the form of the (Brune) spectral model. If indeed it is wrong to assume the simple parametric description of the source spectrum that we used, then this would represent a systematic bias which is not included in the analysis. (2) There is a bias due to possible errors in the assumed velocity model. This error is greatest for the S wave inversion, where $V_s$ was derived from $V_p$ scaled by $3^{1/2}$. The $V_p$ estimates are derived from a much larger data set than that included in this study, and the velocity model has been studied in greater detail. We are thus confident that errors introduced by the velocity model are small. (3) The trade-off of $f_c$ and $t^*$ presents a difficult problem which we have attempted to reduce by constraining the $f_c$ values for
Figure 14. Vertical cross section of three-dimensional inversion in Figure 10 (a) perpendicular to San Andreas fault, central, (b) perpendicular to San Andreas fault to the south, and (c) parallel to San Andreas fault.
common event data, however, there remains the possibility that some of the spectral fit associated with $t^*$ is included in $f_c$ and vice versa. We do not, at this point, have a completely satisfactory answer to this question. (4) We have stated above that the attenuation includes all effects of waveform distortion along the raypath. We have not compensated the spectra for focusing effects due to high-velocity gradients in the model. Indeed, our velocity model is smoothed such that large gradients are suppressed, and we have no a priori information to support the level of smoothing we have chosen. This means we cannot attribute all the residual $t^*$ to intrinsic attenuation. (5) We have not explicitly accounted for source radiation effects. It is theoretically possible that the source radiation pattern or directivity of rupture could effect measurements of the corner frequency and $t^*$. However, because the constrained fits had smaller variations in measurements of $t^*$, it appears that azimuthal source effects are not the primary source of error in the measurement of $t^*$. In light of these considerations, and because the level of regularization plays an important role in the variance of perturbations in inversions of this sort [Lees and Shalev, 1992], we feel it is misleading to interpret exact derived values of Q in our model. We expect that the sense of the perturbations, on the other hand, and the structural features discussed below are robust and deserve careful consideration.

**Discussion**

The main results of this paper can be summarized in three observations: 1) There is a strong pattern of low Q in the upper 1-3 km of the P and upper 5-7 km of the S wave inversions, observed primarily to the southwest of the San Andreas fault.
This trend is more prominent for the S waves in the Salinian rocks south of the surface trace of the San Andreas fault. 2) There is an apparent high $Q_p$ dipping along the observed, seismically defined, fault zone (see cross sections A-A' and B-B' of Figure 11). 3) There is an apparent low $Q_s$ extending along the seismic zone near the hypocenter of the Loma Prieta mainshock (layers 7 and 8). We expect that differential attenuation is due to a number of competing factors, including variations in lithology and rock type, crack distribution, pore fluids, pore fluid saturation levels, and confining pressures.

The high near-surface attenuation is observed along a marine sedimentary basin spanning the region between the Sargent and Zayante faults. This apparent wedge of low-Q material correlates with a low velocity body estimated for this region by travel-time tomography [Eberhart-Phillips et al., 1990; Eberhart-Phillips and Stuart, 1992; Lees, 1990; Lees and Shalev, 1992; Shalev and Lees, 1994] and seismic refraction data [Mooney and Colburn, 1985]. These also correlate with a region of high $V_p/V_s$ ratio [Thurber and Atre, 1993]. As expected, $Q_p$ and $Q_s$ generally increase with depth to about 20 km. There is an apparent step increase (Figures 11 and 14) of $Q$ in the vicinity of 10 km depth along the San Andreas fault, which has been previously observed as being a major seismic boundary between higher $V_p/V_s$ versus lower $V_p/V_s$ [Fuis and Mooney, 1990; Thurber and Atre, 1993].
The exception to the general increasing trend is in the deep fault zone (9-18 km depth), dipping to the southwest, which is characterized by relatively high Qp/low Qs and also has corresponding high P wave velocities. The values of Qp/Qs in this region are significantly greater than 10. Layers 3, 4, 6, 7, and 8 all show anomalously high Qp/Qs in the fault zone region. (Layer 5 exhibits only moderate values of Qp/Qs in the fault zone with high values of Qp/Qs near the edge of the well resolved regions. Layers 3, 6 and 7 also include patches, near the edge of the model, where high Qp/Qs is observed.) Laboratory measurements suggest that partially saturated rocks have Qp/Qs < 1, where as fully saturated material exhibits Qp/Qs > 1 [Winkler and Nur, 1979]. If the Q observed in this study is due to porous saturation, we would speculate that the rocks along the fault zone are fully saturated since in our case, along the deep portion of the fault zone, Qp/Qs > 1. This, in itself, is not surprising considering the depth range of 7-12 km. We note that our results differ from those of Wittlinger et al. [1983] in that we observed a high Qp in the fault zone as opposed to their lower Qp. We suspect that their much lower resolution study most likely mapped lower Qp from shallow sedimentary regions into the fault zones they observed. The slightly lower Qs observed in our study suggests that there is some attenuation effect contributing to waveform distortion from the fault zone. We have assumed, of
course, that both the velocity and attenuation fields are isotropic. If the observed attenuation anomalies are related to anisotropic material properties, associated, for example, with preferred orientations of crack distributions, then we would have to adjust our interpretation accordingly. A much more detailed consideration of the interaction of differentially oriented S waves in the fault zone may help clarify this issue but is beyond the scope of this paper.

It has been suggested that aftershocks occur in more competent (i.e., high $Q_p-V_p$) parts of the fault zone, places that are able to sustain higher levels of stress prior to rupture. In other sections of the San Andreas fault patterns of high velocity correlating with dynamic rupture have been observed at Parkfield, [Lees and Malin, 1990; Michael and Eberhart-Phillips, 1991], North Palm Springs [Nicholson and Lees, 1992b] and Landers [Lees and Nicholson, 1993; Nicholson and Lees, 1992a]. (We note that the narrow (10-20 m wide) low velocity zone observed by some researchers in the Parkfield region of the San Andreas fault [Li et al., 1990], or even a fault zone of a few hundred meters [Leary and Ben-Zion, 1992], would be too narrow to have a significant effect on the grosser features of the tomographic analysis presented here.) The anomalous attenuation features perhaps outline a zone of highly competent rocks with elevated $Q_p$. The lower $Q_s$ may reflect the passage of
waves through a saturated gouge zone where crack alignment decreases Q for S waves.

In volcanic regions, high attenuation has been attributed to hydrothermal activity, highly porous or fractured rocks, or shallow magmatic source areas [Clawson et al., 1989; Scherbaum and Wyss, 1990; Young and Ward, 1980]. These models agree with physical intuition regarding wave propagation through the anomalous magma accumulations that presumably attenuate seismic signals. Along the San Andreas fault, though, a priori models, based on the assumption that significant fault gouge (>0.5 km thick) causes severe attenuation, fail to predict the observed Qp structure observed in this study at Loma Prieta. A two-dimensional analysis of a similar data-set by Guo et al. [1992] suggested that the P wave attenuation in the fault zone is high, contrary to our analysis. We suspect, however, that Guo et al.'s high-attenuation zone is the region immediately above the lower Qp zone we have observed and appears as a zone of high Qp by contrast. Both velocity and attenuation analyses presented here indicate that regions of intense aftershock activity and major dynamic rupture represent stronger material, at least on the scale of structure imaged in this study. The other possibility is that these variations are mainly due to lithologic variations, as proposed by Shalev [1993], Shalev and Lees [1992], and Scott [1992]. Shalev and Lees [1992] suggested that the high-velocity anomaly observed in the Loma Prieta region is due to a wedge of buried serpentinite. Serpentinite is a relatively weak material, ubiquitous along the San Andreas fault, which has unusually high Vp/Vs. Thurber and Atre [1993], however, did not observe elevated Vp/Vs ratios below 10 km depth between the San Andreas fault and the Zayante faults, although their study has very poor resolution at these depths. While the possibility exists that the high-Qp/lw-Qs is due to serpentinite, the attenuation properties of this rock type at these confining pressures have not been explored in detail.

Conclusion

Estimates of t* have been used to determine the three-dimensional variations of Q for P and S waves in the Loma Prieta region. We found that constraining the corner frequencies for common source signals changed the overall t* estimates at only a few stations, although variability in the data was reduced. Attenuation of P and S waves signals from high-quality data in the Loma Prieta region show a trend of increasing Q with depth. There is a large-scale body of low Q along a sedimentary basin southwest of the San Andreas fault. High Qp and low Qs are observed in the fault zones at depths of 10-18 km, as outlined by aftershock activity. This suggests that pores are fully saturated in the fault zone at depths between 8 and 15 km.
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