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ABSTRACT

KAREN ELAINE OCWIEJA: The Effect of Play Type and Collision Closing Distance on Head Impact Biomechanics
(Under the direction of Jason P. Mihalik)

Football accounts for 55% of all concussions that collegiate athletes sustain. Kickoffs and punts have a greater risk of concussion than rushing and passing plays. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of special team plays and closing distance of collisions on head impact biomechanics. Forty-six collegiate football players participated in the study. We used real-time data collection instrumentation to record head impact biomechanics during games for the three following play types: special teams, offense, and defense. Collisions occurring on special teams over long closing distances were the most severe impacts while collisions occurring on special teams and defense over short closing distances were the least severe impacts. Our findings indicate that impacts following long closing distances result in more severe head impacts; therefore, in order to decrease the severity of collisions in football the ability for long closing distances prior to impacts should be addressed.
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

Concussions continue to be a difficult condition for many sports medicine professionals to manage. Annually, there are 50,000 deaths, 235,000 hospitalizations, 1,111,000 emergency room visits and an unknown number of concussions that are not reported or treated outside of the hospital setting in the United States (Langlois, Rutland-Brown, & Wald, 2006). Football accounts for 55% of concussions in collegiate athletics (Hootman, Dick, & Agel, 2007). Concussions account for 6.8% of all football-related injuries (Dick, et al., 2007).

Over the past 16 years there has been a 7% increase in concussions (Hootman, et al., 2007). The recent increase in concussions may be explained by an increase in recognition and reporting of the injury. Therefore, there is a concern about the growing number of concussions reported. The incidence rate of concussion has been reported as 2.34 per 1000 athlete-exposures (Dick, et al., 2007). The risks that concussions pose to athletes are significant if the injury is not managed appropriately. The short-term and long-term complications of previous concussions are receiving widespread notice (Covassin, Elbin, & Nakayama, 2010; Guskiewicz, Marshall, et al., 2007; Kuehl, Snyder, Erickson, & McLeod, 2010). Immediately following a concussion an athlete can experience deficits in reaction time, memory, and an increase in concussion like symptoms (Covassin, et al., 2010). Following three or more concussions, collegiate athletes have been shown to have a decreased ability to fully participate in social events.
and may neglect their school work or treatment (Kuehl, et al., 2010). Negative outcomes occurring in previously healthy athletes following multiple concussions have been shown in professional and college athletes (Guskiewicz, Marshall, et al., 2007; Kuehl, et al., 2010).

Although there is research assessing how concussions affect the ability of the brain to work and process, there is a lack of understanding of the mechanism of injury leading to concussion. To help better understand how concussions occur, many researchers have quantified head impact severity using linear acceleration and rotational acceleration. Research has and continues to be conducted on high school (Broglio, et al., 2010; Broglio, et al., 2009; Gessel, Fields, Collins, Dick, & Comstock, 2007; Greenwald, Gwin, Chu, & Crisco, 2008; Schnebel, Gwin, Anderson, & Gatlin, 2007), college (Brolinson, et al., 2006; M. W. Collins, et al., 1999; Duma, et al., 2005; Greenwald, et al., 2008; Guskiewicz, Mihalik, et al., 2007; Kuehl, et al., 2010; McCaffrey, Mihalik, Crowell, Shields, & Guskiewicz, 2007; McCrea, et al., 2003; Mihalik, Bell, Marshall, & Guskiewicz, 2007), and professional football players (Guskiewicz, Marshall, et al., 2007; Hamberger, Viano, Saljo, & Bolouri, 2009; Pellman, et al., 2004; Pellman, Viano, Tucker, Casson, & Waeckerle, 2003; Viano, Casson, & Pellman, 2007; Viano & Pellman, 2005; Viano, Pellman, Withnall, & Shewchenko, 2006; Zhang, Yang, & King, 2004).

Previously, a history of multiple concussions has been linked with a higher incidence of depression amongst National Football League players (Guskiewicz, Marshall, et al., 2007). Ongoing research is being conducted to determine the long-term effects of concussions and the influence of sub-concussive head impacts on long-term mental health. At the college level athletes who have sustained three or more
concussions have shown a negative perceived affect on their ability to have a full social life (Kuehl, et al., 2010). Multiple concussions have the potential to affect the quality of life and mental health of athletes, and while it is important to know how to manage concussions when they occur, preventing concussion is the greatest defense.

Previous studies suggest that football players encounter a greater number of head impacts during practice and these impacts tend to be of greater severity than those sustained during competition (Mihalik, et al., 2007). Although most football concussions occur in practice, football players are 11 times more likely to sustain a concussion during a game than during practice (Dick, et al., 2007). Current literature has not addressed if players on special teams experience more severe impacts than players on either offense or defense. In the National Football League, kickoffs and punts had the greatest risk for concussion at 9.29 per 1000 plays and 3.86 per 1000 plays, respectively. Rushing plays and passing plays had a lower risk for concussion at 2.24 per 1000 plays and 2.14 per 1000 plays, respectively (Pellman, et al., 2004; Viano, et al., 2007). Anecdotally, harder hits occur after traveling a long distance and skill players have been shown to experience more severe impacts than line players (Schnebel, et al., 2007). There is also a concern that special team impacts are more likely to involve a long closing distance, resulting in a greater risk of injury. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine if special team players experience more severe head impacts than offensive and defensive players during competitions. A secondary purpose was to determine if collisions occurring after a long closing distance are more severe than those occurring after a short closing distance.
Research Questions

Research Question 1 examined three separate dependent variables associated with head impact biomechanics: linear acceleration (expressed relative to gravitational acceleration in g), rotational acceleration (measured in radians/s²), and Head Impact Technology severity profile (HITsp). Research Question 2 examined head impact severity—categorized as mild, moderate, or severe—for both linear acceleration and rotational acceleration.

Research Question 1

Do football players experience significant differences in biomechanical measures of head impact severity across play type and closing distance?

RQ1a: What is the interaction effect of play type and closing distance on biomechanical measures of head impact severity?

RQ1b: What is the main effect of play type on the biomechanical measures of head impact severity?

RQ1c: What is the main effect of closing distance on the biomechanical measures of head impact severity?

Research Question 2

Is there a significant association between play type or closing distance and categorized head impact severity?

RQ2A: Is there a significant association between play type and categorized head impact severity?
RQ$_{2B}$: Is there a significant association between closing distance and categorized head impact severity?

Variables

*Independent Variables*

1. Play Type
   i. Special Teams
   ii. Offense
   iii. Defense

2. Collision Closing Distance
   i. Long Closing Distance
   ii. Short Closing Distance

3. Categorized Head Impact Severity by Linear Acceleration
   i. Mild
   ii. Moderate
   iii. Severe

4. Categorized Head Impact Severity by Rotational Acceleration
   i. Mild
   ii. Moderate
   iii. Severe

*Dependent Variables*

1. Linear Acceleration (g)
2. Rotational Acceleration (rad/s$^2$)
3. Head Impact Technology severity profile (HITsp)

Null Hypotheses

Research Question 1

There will be no significant differences on biomechanical measures of head impact severity sustained by football players across play type and closing distance.

H_0 \, 1A: \text{ There will be no significant interaction effect of play type and closing distance, on biomechanical measures of head impact severity.}

H_0 \, 1B: \text{ There will be no significant effect of play type on biomechanical measures of head impact severity.}

H_0 \, 1C: \text{ There will be no significant effect of closing distance on the biomechanics of head impact severity.}

Research Question 2

There will be no significant association between play type or closing distance and categorized head impact severity.

H_0 \, 2A: \text{ There will be no significant association between play type and categorized head impact severity.}

H_0 \, 2B: \text{ There will be no significant association between closing distance and categorized head impact severity.}
**Research Hypotheses**

*Research Question 1*

Players on special teams will experience more severe biomechanical measures of head impact severity during long closing distance collisions than players participating on traditional offensive and defensive plays during short closing distance collisions.

- **RH1A:** Special team’s collisions following long closing distances will experience greater biomechanical measures of head impact severity than those following short closing distances of offensive and defensive plays.
- **RH1B:** Players will experience greater biomechanical measures of head impact severity during special team plays compared to offensive and defensive plays.
- **RH1C:** Players will experience greater biomechanical measures of head impact severity following long closing distance impacts compared to short closing distance collisions.

*Research Question 2*

There will be an association between special team’s plays and closing distance and severe head impacts.

- **RH2A:** There will be an association between play type and severe head impacts, such that there will be a greater number of severe impacts occurring during special teams compared to offensive and defensive plays.
- **RH2B:** There will be an association between closing distance and severe head impacts, such that there will be a greater number of severe impacts occurring following long closing distances compared to following short closing distances.
Operational Definitions

Head impact: A head impact will be defined as those head impacts measuring greater than or equal to 10g (Guskiewicz, Mihalik, et al., 2007; McCaffrey, et al., 2007; Mihalik, et al., 2007; Schnebel, et al., 2007).

Severe linear acceleration head impact: A severe head impact will be defined as those head impacts measuring greater than or equal to 106 g in linear acceleration (Zhang, et al., 2004).

Moderate linear acceleration head impact: A moderate head impact will be defined as those head impacts measuring greater than 66 g and less than 106 g in linear acceleration (Zhang, et al., 2004).

Mild linear acceleration head impact: A mild head impact will be defined as those head impacts measuring less than or equal to 66 g in linear acceleration (Zhang, et al., 2004).

Severe rotational acceleration head impact: A severe rotational head impact will be defined as those head impacts measuring greater than or equal to 7900 rad/s² in rotational acceleration (Zhang, et al., 2004).

Moderate rotational acceleration head impact: A moderate head impact will be defined as those head impacts measuring greater than 4600 rad/s² and less than 7900 rad/s² in rotational acceleration (Zhang, et al., 2004).

Mild rotational acceleration head impact: A mild head impact will be defined as those head impacts measuring less than or equal to 4600 rad/s² in rotational acceleration (Zhang, et al., 2004).

Special teams: Special team plays will be considered to have occurred when one of the following happens: either team is giving possession to the opposing team through a
kickoff or punt. Common terms for special team plays are: kickoffs, kickoff return, punt, and punt return.

Miscellaneous special teams: Special team plays outside the “Big 4”, such as extra point attempt and field goals. Common terms for special teams that will be classified are: field goal, field goal block, extra point attempt, and extra point attempt block.

Offense: Offensive plays will be considered to have occurred when the team participating in the study has possession of the ball and intends to maintain possession of the ball.

Defense: Defensive plays will be considered to have occurred when the team not participating in the study has possession of the ball and intends to maintain possession of the ball.

Long Closing Distance: All player to player collisions where the combined distance traveled by both players to the collision is greater than or equal to ten yards.

Short Closing Distance: All player-to-player collisions where the combined distance traveled by both players to the collision is less than ten yards.

Assumptions

1. The helmets will be fitted properly at the beginning of the season and remain properly fitted throughout the season.

2. The Head Impact Telemetry (HIT) System accurately records the impacts players sustain.

Limitations

1. Each player may not be on the field for the same number of plays.
**Delimitations**

1. Data collection is limited to only competitions during one football season.
2. College football players from one institution will be studied.
3. Measurement of ten yards was estimated using the markings on the field.

**Significance of the Study**

This study will allow us to better understand the nature of head impacts sustained during football competitions. It has recently been speculated that special team plays and long closing distance impacts may explain the disproportionate increase in concussions observed during competitions compared to practice. This study will not look at the effect of play type and closing distance on a concussion, but it will allow us to better understand whether special team plays and long closing distance collisions are more likely to result in severe measures of head impact severity.

Research has shown that offensive backs are the most likely to experience impacts with accelerations greater than 80 g, which may be a result of the distance the athlete covers to prior the line of scrimmage (Mihalik, et al., 2007). However, this study did not examine play type and the analyses did not discriminate between special team players and other playing positions. Previous research has not delineated impacts according to closing distance; this study will allow us to determine if impacts occurring to players after long closing distance are more severe than those impacts occurring to players after a short closing distance.

Through this study we will gain a better understanding of which plays may have the greatest likelihood of leading to a concussion. We will be better able to identify the
players at the greatest risk for severe impacts and largest magnitude collisions during competitions. A non-significant result would give us more information about impacts incurred during football competitions. A significant result would support anecdotal evidence and could support rule changes that are being currently suggested.
CHAPTER II
REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

The literature on sport-related concussions delves into the many areas related to the prevention, assessment, diagnosis and treatment of these injuries. Prevention continues to be the best medicine for concussions (Vastag, 2002). Understanding which types of impacts cause concussions can lead to their prevention. Researchers are addressing this question in different ways and making recommendations for rule changes and policy changes based upon their findings. Rule changes barring spear tackling have reduced the risk of cervical spine injury (Dick, et al., 2007). Research has shown that head-down tackling increases the concussion injury risk to the player being struck, while decreasing injury risk to the striking player (Viano & Pellman, 2005). While altering playing technique can decrease the risk of injury (Heck et al), football players are at risk for concussions. Football is widely used as a focus due to the high prevalence of concussions sustained in high school, college, and professional football (Dick, et al., 2007). The purpose of this literature review is to present the current research relating to sport-related concussions with a particular emphasis on head impact biomechanics.

Epidemiology

Data collected on concussions from 1995-2001 revealed 50,000 deaths, 235,000 hospitalizations, and 1,111,000 ER visits resulting from concussions in the United States (Langlois, et al., 2006). An unknown, but presumably large, number of concussions were
treated outside of emergency departments. Annually, 60 billion dollars are spent on the treatment of concussions (Langlois, et al., 2006). Sport related concussions in the United States occur at a rate of 1.6 to 3.8 million a year (Langlois, et al., 2006). Football has been shown to have the highest concussion rate among sports (Gessel, et al., 2007). Incidence of concussions in football varies across levels of experience and can be broken down into three categories: high school, college, and professional programs.

High School Programs

Concussions account for 8.9% of high school sport injuries (Gessel, et al., 2007). Of those concussions, 65.4% occurred in games and 34.6% in practices (Gessel, et al., 2007). Overall, concussions resulted at a rate of 0.23 per 1000 athlete-exposures. The rate of concussions was higher during games (0.53 concussions per 1000 athlete-exposures) then during practices (0.11 concussions per 1000 athlete-exposures) (Gessel, et al., 2007).

Football had the highest prevalence of concussions with 40.5% of concussions occurring at the high school level (Gessel, et al., 2007). Tackling or being tackled resulted in 67.6% of these concussions (Gessel, et al., 2007). On defense, linebackers had the greatest percentage of concussions (40.9%). Running backs sustained the greatest number of concussions for offensive players (29.4%) (Gessel, et al., 2007). When examining the instances in which these injuries occurred, running plays (55.4%) and passing plays (16.3%) had the greatest percentage of concussions, while kick-off coverage/return (5.9%) and punt coverage/return (2.7%) had smaller percentage of concussions (Gessel, et al., 2007).
High school and college athletics see more concussions in football than in any other sport at the same level, as well as more concussions in games than in practices (Dick, et al., 2007; Gessel, et al., 2007; Guskiewicz, et al., 2003). Research disagrees on if offense experiences more concussions than defense (Dick, et al., 2007; Pellman, et al., 2004), or vice versa (Gessel, et al., 2007; Guskiewicz, et al., 2003). So far the debate has minimally included special teams.

High school football programs saw more concussions occur during rushing and passing plays (Gessel, et al., 2007). In professional football programs it was found that more concussions occur during special team plays, kickoff coverage and punts rather than during traditional offensive and defensive plays (Pellman, et al., 2004). The added speed and physicality of the professional game can account for the differences observed from high school and when injuries are likely to occur. The differences observed within the varying programs shows the importance for concussion research at all levels of football.

College Programs

Over the past 16 years the reported number of concussions amongst college athletes has increased by 7% (Hootman, et al., 2007). The increasing amount of reported injuries could be attributed, at least in part, to a better understanding and ability to detect concussions (Hootman, et al., 2007). In college athletics, 55% of all concussions occur in football (Hootman, et al., 2007). In college football, 6.8% of all injuries are concussions (Dick, et al., 2007). The injury rate for football players is nine times higher for games than for fall practices. Concussions are 11 times more likely to occur in a game compared to a fall practice (Dick, et al., 2007; Guskiewicz, et al., 2003). This can be
attributed to the increased number of high-speed collisions that occur in games (Dick, et al., 2007).

During games, the concussion rate is 2.34 to 3.81 per 1000 athlete-exposures (Dick, et al., 2007; Guskiewicz, et al., 2003). Studies differ on incidence of concussions when broken up between positions. Quarterbacks have a high incidence of concussions (0.83 per 1000 athlete-exposures) (Dick, et al., 2007; Guskiewicz, et al., 2003). A 16-year collegiate study, from 1988-2004, indicated quarterbacks had the highest incidence of concussion in football (Dick, et al., 2007). However, a different collegiate study from 1999-2001, indicated that linebackers (0.99 per 1000 athlete-exposures), offensive line (0.95 per 1000 athlete-exposures) and defensive backs (0.88 per 1000 athlete-exposures) had a higher rate of concussions (Guskiewicz, et al., 2003). Running backs and wide receivers have lower rates of concussions (0.71 per 1000 athlete-exposures and 0.54 per1000 athlete-exposures, respectively) (Dick, et al., 2007). A more recent collegiate study showed special teams with a concussion rate in the middle of these ranges (0.77 per 1000 athlete-exposures) (Guskiewicz, et al., 2003), comparing offensive and defensive player positions to special teams as whole.

Rate of concussions are higher in college football programs than in high school. Although concussions made up a greater percentage of total injuries in high school players than in college athletes (Gessel, et al., 2007). There could be many possible explanations for college and high school athletes having different injury concussion rates, including lower skill level of high school athletes, or faster and stronger college athletes (Gessel, et al., 2007). This difference could also be attributed to the decreased neck
strength of high school athletes leading to a decreased ability to control the head at impact.

Professional Programs

Professional football and risk for concussions is different than college and high school, likely due to the higher speed of play, the higher impact forces, and a player’s concussion history (Dick, et al., 2007; Guskiewicz, et al., 2003). Research has shown that, in professional football, kickoffs have the highest rate of concussions (9.29 concussions per 1000 plays) (Pellman, et al., 2004; Viano, et al., 2007). Punts have the second highest rate of concussions in the professional football (3.86 concussions per 1000 plays) (Pellman, et al., 2004; Viano, et al., 2007). Special teams plays had a greater rate of concussion than traditional rushing and passing plays (2.24 concussions per 1000 plays and 2.14 concussions per 1000 plays, respectively) (Pellman, et al., 2004; Viano, et al., 2007).

During one professional football season there were 131.2 ± 26.8 concussions and 0.41 concussions per game across the National Football League (Pellman, et al., 2004). Defensive backs had the greatest incidence of concussion based upon position (18.2%), followed by the kicking unit (16.6%) and wide receivers (11.9%) (Pellman, et al., 2004). Quarterbacks and wide receivers had the greatest risk of concussions (1.62 concussions per 100 game-positions and 1.23 concussions per 100 game-positions, respectively) (Pellman, et al., 2004). Tight ends, defensive secondary and running backs were also considered high risk for concussions (0.94 concussions per 100 game-positions, 0.93 concussions per 100 game-positions and, 0.90 concussions per 100 game positions,
respectively) (Pellman, et al., 2004). Offensive line, defensive line, linebackers, and return ball carriers showed moderate risk for concussion (0.58 concussions per 100 game-positions). Punters, return units, kickers and holders showed to be at a low risk for concussions (Pellman, et al., 2004). The offensive and defensive backs were three times more at risk of concussions compared to offensive and defensive linemen (Pellman, et al., 2004).

Pathology

A concussion is an injury to the brain and should be taken very seriously. Concussions have been shown to have an impact on the quality of life of college athletes (Kuehl, et al., 2010). The effect on social life, perception of pain and headache is greater for those who have experienced more than three concussions (Kuehl, et al., 2010). Through research of retired professional football players, an association has been found between the number of concussions and the perception that concussions have a negative impact on an athlete’s life (Guskiewicz, Marshall, et al., 2007). Amongst these players who suffered increased numbers of concussions, there was a higher frequency of diagnosis for clinical depression when compared to retirees who reported no concussion history (Guskiewicz, Marshall, et al., 2007).

How the brain reacts to impact on the side of the head has been studied in laboratory rats. Bleeding will occur at the site of impact based upon the magnitude of force used to cause the impact, with greater impact causing more bleeding (Hamberger, et al., 2009). These impacts were adjusted for body weight and measured with an accelerometer attached to the rats head. Subdural hemorrhage was found to occur in 6-
22% of the impacts with increasing prevalence as the force of the projectile was increased (Hamberger, et al., 2009). Hemorrhaging was not found outside the point of impact (Hamberger, et al., 2009). Diffuse axonal injury was indicated after repeated impacts with the highest velocity projectile (Hamberger, et al., 2009). However, the impacts that led to diffuse axonal injury were greater than what has been shown to lead to concussions in the National Football League (Hamberger, et al., 2009).

Research has found that, at times, cognitive deficits can be found in the brain one month after a mild head injury. When an individual has continued complaints of post-concussive symptoms, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) shows a deficit in brain functioning during working memory tasks and selective attention tasks: such as the Stroop task and the n-back task (Smits, et al., 2009). The severity of post-concussive symptoms can also play a role on brain function, as shown using an fMRI. Patients who have more severe symptoms tend to show an even greater decrease in fMRI functioning when compared to patients who have more mild symptoms (Smits, et al., 2009).

A recent study has shown that there may be some residual deficits among college age individuals who are symptom free following a concussion. A study comparing individuals who had experienced a mild traumatic brain injury and a control group looked at measures of cognitive function. No difference was found between group for scores on the Immediate Postconcussion Assessment and Cognitive Test (Pontifex, O'Connor, Broglio, & Hillman, 2009). Electric measures using electroencephalographic activity revealed deficits among the mild traumatic brain injury group when compared to the controls during accuracy of a flanker task. However, numerous other tasks including
those targeted at identifying (verbal/visual/reaction time/etc) deficits yielded no significant differences between the two groups (Pontifex, et al., 2009).

**Mechanism of Injury**

The cause of concussions is a complex and addressing this question requires a multifaceted approach. In the past ten years, researchers have begun measuring the force of impacts that occur in football. Concussive and non-concussive impacts are being studied. One approach to the problem involves measuring impacts that occur in football and how they differ across different program levels and situations. In high school and college football programs, this data is being collected using in-helmet accelerometers that record data in real time (Broglio, et al., 2009; Duma, et al., 2005; Greenwald, et al., 2008; Guskiewicz, Mihalik, et al., 2007; McCaffrey, et al., 2007; Mihalik, et al., 2007). In professional football, researchers are reconstructing impacts that occur on the field using crash test dummies (Pellman, et al., 2003; Viano, et al., 2007; Viano & Pellman, 2005; Viano, et al., 2006). The accelerometer data yields a greater number of impacts, particularly greater non-concussive impacts than video reconstruction.

**High School Programs**

The biomechanical data of head impacts in high school football programs has supported some of the epidemiological data of concussion rates in different situations. Game impacts had greater rotational acceleration and linear accelerations when compared to practice impacts (Broglio, et al., 2009). More frequent impacts occurred during games than practices (Broglio, et al., 2009). This is not surprising since games are more intense over a shorter period of time compared to practices. Defensive line had the greater
number of impacts per session than the offensive line (Broglio, et al., 2009). Offensive
and defensive lines experienced lower magnitude impacts, but at the same time they
experienced the greatest number of impacts (Broglio, et al., 2009). Linemen are likely to
have an impact during every play; however, they may not have the same momentum
going into an impact that skill players would possess.

Another important observation to make is that players who experience
concussions are more likely to experience another one in the future (Guskiewicz, et al.,
2003). Three previous concussions increases the risk for a concussion by three times
(Guskiewicz, et al., 2003). Defensive line and offensive skill positions sustained similar
magnitude linear accelerations (Broglio, et al., 2009). Only defensive line athletes had
significantly greater linear accelerations than both defensive skill and offensive line
players (Broglio, et al., 2009). Offensive and defensive line athletes had greater
rotational accelerations than offensive and defensive skill (Broglio, et al., 2009). Greatest
rotational acceleration resulted from impacts to the front of the head while impacts to the
back, side, and top of the head had the least rotational acceleration (Broglio, et al., 2009).
Impacts to the front of the head are more likely to occur to linemen due to the nature of
the position.

College Programs

Accelerometer data has found different results in the college programs than in the
high school programs. Considering impact data across a college football season, the
number of head impacts during helmets only and full-contact practices were greater than
impacts during games and scrimmages (Guskiewicz, Mihalik, et al., 2007; McCaffrey, et
al., 2007; Mihalik, et al., 2007). In addition, no differences were found between helmets only and full-contact practices (Guskiewicz, Mihalik, et al., 2007; McCaffrey, et al., 2007; Mihalik, et al., 2007). However, as previously stated, the risk for concussions is greater in games than in practices (Guskiewicz, et al., 2003).

Offensive line athletes had greater acceleration impacts than both defensive line players and defensive backs (Mihalik, et al., 2007). Offensive backs and linebackers had higher magnitude impacts than defensive line players and defensive backs (Mihalik, et al., 2007). Also, defensive line players and wide receivers had higher magnitude impacts than defensive backs (Mihalik, et al., 2007). Concussions have been found to occur from a wide range of impacts, 55 g up to over 100 g of linear acceleration (Brolinson, et al., 2006). At a 75% correct prediction rate, the thresholds for concussion are at 96 g for linear acceleration (1.6% false prediction rate) and 7235 rad/s² for rotational acceleration (2.5% false prediction rate) (Greenwald, et al., 2008).

**Professional Programs**

The use of video reconstruction in studies of professional football players has allowed researchers to detail the difference between striking players and the players being struck. Struck players have higher head accelerations (67.9 ± 14.5 g) than striking players (56.1 ± 22.1 g) (Viano & Pellman, 2005). The majority of the time, the player being struck sustains the concussion, not the striking player (Pellman, et al., 2004; Viano, et al., 2007; Viano & Pellman, 2005). When measuring concussions, the highest frequencies of injuries are associated with tackling (60.5%) compared to blocking (29.5%). When tackling is looked at separately, the player who is tackling accounts for
more of the injuries (31.9%) than the player being tackled (28.6%) (Pellman, et al., 2004).

Concussions are more likely to occur as rotational acceleration and linear acceleration increases. An impact has been shown to lead to a concussion 80% of the time when linear acceleration exceeds 106 g or rotational acceleration exceeds 7.9x10^3 rad/s^2 (Zhang, et al., 2004). Concussions have been shown to occur from impacts that are less than 66 g in linear acceleration or 4.6x10^3 rad/s^2 in rotational acceleration, although the risk is lower (25%) (Zhang, et al., 2004).

Helmets are designed to decrease the likelihood of injury, particularly skull fractures. Recently, helmets are increasingly designed to increase protection from concussion with new designs in soft, energy absorbing padding that comes down farther around the ears, side and back of the head (Viano, et al., 2006). Newer helmets may reduce the risk of concussion by 10-20% (M. Collins, Lovell, Iverson, Ide, & Maroon, 2006; Viano, et al., 2006).

Risk Prediction

Current research is quantifying head impacts in an effort to better understand the impacts in football and work towards indentifying the types of impacts that may cause concussions. Researchers are looking for a way to predict what impacts are likely to cause concussions and what impacts are considered safer. This is being done through the use of accelerometer and video reconstruction research. In addition, the acceleration of the athlete’s head at impact is being compared for concussive and non-concussive impacts.
High School Programs

In the high school, mean linear acceleration of impacts are similar in practices (23.26g) and games (24.76g) (Broglio, et al., 2009). Linear acceleration was the greatest predictor of concussion, with the lowest false response rate of 1.6%, for a correct prediction above 70% (Greenwald, et al., 2008). For correct prediction less than 70%, rotational acceleration had the lowest false response rate (Greenwald, et al., 2008). Head Impact Technology severity profile (HITsp), is an index designed to predict impacts that could result in a concussion accounting for greater than 99.99% variability, taking into consideration linear acceleration, rotational acceleration and location of impact (Greenwald, et al., 2008).

College Programs

In college football programs, players are more likely to sustain a concussion in a game than in practice (Dick, et al., 2007). Offense is at greater risk than defense for concussion. This particular study did not mention special teams (Dick, et al., 2007). Average non-concussive impacts were at 32 ± 25g (Duma, et al., 2005). The Gadd Severity Index (GSI) and Head Injury Criterion (HIC) were developed to categorize head injuries obtained in car accidents, both from the acceleration and impulses that are experienced by the victims (Lockett, 1985). The cut off point for severity is 300 for the GSI and 250 for the HIC (Lockett, 1985). Seventy-one impacts were recorded as being above the GSI of 300, while 55 impacts were found to be above HIC of 250 out of 3312 total impacts (Duma, et al., 2005). This is not the ideal classification for impacts in football because they do not take into account rotational acceleration. However, it does
allow for the impacts to be put into perspective of other severe head injuries (Lockett, 1985).

Typical non-concussive impacts occur between 21 g and 23 g (Mihalik, et al., 2007). This is much lower than suggest injury thresholds of 75-80 g. Offensive backs are more likely to sustain impacts greater than 80g (Mihalik, et al., 2007). At the same time this position does not have the highest concussion rate (Dick, et al., 2007; Guskiewicz, et al., 2003). While helmets only practice might be to reduce the risk of injury, they do not decrease risk for concussions (Mihalik, et al., 2007).

*Professional Programs*

Research on professional football utilizes video analysis and reconstruction of concussive impacts. The reconstructed models showed peak head acceleration at 98 ± 28 g for concussed players’ impact (Pellman, et al., 2003). The change in velocity for a concussive impact (7.2 ± 1.8 m/s) was greater than non-concussive impacts (5.0 ± 1.1 m/s) (Pellman, et al., 2003). Struck players with concussions reconstructions showed 9.3 ± 1.9 m/s average impact velocity (Viano, et al., 2007). Players who were struck and sustained a concussion had greater peak head acceleration (94 ± 28 g) than non-concussed struck players (67.9 ± 14.5 g) (Viano, et al., 2007).

The player being struck is more likely to sustain a mild traumatic brain injury than the striking player (Pellman, et al., 2004). Head-down tackling position increases the mass of the striking player by 67%, which can lead to a higher magnitude impact for the struck player. This is particularly true when the struck player is unaware of the impact because only his head and neck resist the force upon impact, instead of being able to
brace and prepare for the hit (Viano & Pellman, 2005). Players who are struck and do not sustain a concussion have significantly lower head accelerations than struck players who sustain a concussion (Viano & Pellman, 2005). Offensive and defensive linemen are at a lesser risk for concussions than quarterbacks, wide receivers, defensive backs, and special team players (Pellman, et al., 2004).

**Methodological Considerations**

The Head Impact Technology (HIT) System has been used for many years at varying institutions (Broglio, et al., 2009; Duma, et al., 2005; Greenwald, et al., 2008; Guskiewicz, Mihalik, et al., 2007; McCaffrey, et al., 2007; McCrea, et al., 2003; Mihalik, et al., 2007). This system uses six single-axis accelerometers to collect information on linear acceleration, rotational acceleration and impact location on the helmet. Previous research has shown that the HIT System reliably measures head motion and not helmet motion (DiMasi, 1995; Manoogian, McNeely, Duma, Brolinson, & Greenwald, 2006). Data on multiple players can be collected at real time with the HIT System. This allows for collection of thousands of impacts across the season. Also, the real time component involved with the HIT System allows for identification of impacts that resulted in athlete concussions during a session. Previous research has worked to identify a threshold of impact severity that is likely to lead to a concussion. We used previously reported values by Zhang et al. to classify impacts as mild, moderate, or severe based on linear and rotational acceleration (Zhang, et al., 2004).

Video footage has also been utilized with the HIT System. This requires synchronization of the time and date on the sideline response system to the impacts as
they occur. This can be achieved by using a single camera and synchronizing the time and date of the camera with the HIT System Sideline Response System before each session (Mihalik, et al., 2009). The researcher was able to match the impact data to the type of collision.

For this study, we used the HIT System and video footage captured by video personnel. The HIT System allowed for real time data collection and for a larger number of impacts to be recorded and analyzed. Incorporating video footage has been used extensively in professional football research, but this only allows for a minimal number of impacts to be included. Video footage synchronized with the HIT System allows for a greater number of impacts to be analyzed. For this study, the video crew captured competitions with two cameras, allowing for multiple views. This system organizes the video footage by the game clock and not time of day.

**Summary**

Athletes are susceptible to concussions at every age and in every sport. Football’s high magnitude of contact leads to a higher incidence of concussions than any other sport (Dick, et al., 2007; Gessel, et al., 2007; Hootman, et al., 2007). Concussions are dangerous and have the potential to lead to lifelong depression and cognitive impairment (Bruce & Echemendia, 2009; Guskiewicz, Marshall, et al., 2007; McCrea, et al., 2003). Athletes need a considerable amount of time to properly recover from concussions (McCrea, et al., 2003). Keeping athletes off the field after a concussion is necessary, but preventing the concussion in the first place is just as important. Some researchers are looking at neck strength as a factor in concussion incidence (Viano, et al., 2007). Rule
changes in the 1970’s drastically decreased the incidence of cervical spine injuries (Heck, Clarke, Peterson, Torg, & Weis, 2004). Continued implementation of such rules and proper teaching, of not leading with the helmet or dropping the helmet right before impact, is important in order to keep the incidence of concussions low (Heck, et al., 2004).

There is no agreement on the positions of greatest risk for concussion. In the majority of studies, special teams have been left out. All positions are at risk for concussion. While some positions have been shown to be at greater risk, offense and defense have rarely been compared to special teams. Previous research has combined all impacts sustained by one position together and has not delineated between long closing distance collisions and those near the line. These are two gaps in the current research.

Previous research has shown that there are discrepancies between positions and types of plays on the football field (Broglio, et al., 2009; Mihalik, et al., 2007; Pellman, et al., 2004). These trends show that impacts resulting in concussions tend to occur in players who have the ability to gain speed before impact or if the person who hits them is able to gain speed before impact (Broglio, et al., 2009; Guskiewicz, et al., 2003; Viano, et al., 2007; Viano & Pellman, 2005). Skill players are more likely to sustain impacts exceeding 98 g (1 out of every 70 impacts) compared to linemen (1 out of every 125 impacts) (Schnebel, et al., 2007).

Research has not been conducted looking specifically at the differences between special teams, offensive plays, and defensive plays. Research has highlighted the high incidence of injury during special teams plays (Pellman, et al., 2004). During kickoffs and kickoff returns the goal of some players is to hit the opposing player as hard as they
can. Anecdotally, athletic trainers report a high incidence of injuries during special teams plays in competitions and in the open field. Long closing distance collisions have never been separated out and analyzed. Research has not looked specifically at special team impacts to discern if the impacts sustained on special teams are more severe than impacts sustained on offense of defense.
CHAPTER III

METHODOLOGY

Participants and Study Design

This study employed a prospective repeated measures design evaluating head impact biomechanics during different play types and collision closing distance. A convenience sample of 46 Division I college football players at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill were participating in a larger ongoing prospective study assessing the relationship between head impact biomechanics, clinical measures of concussion, and neuroradiology. Data was collected during six home and six away competitions. Inclusion criterion included the athlete choosing to wear a Riddell helmet and participation in games during the 2010 season. The participants were told the purpose of the study and the methods of the study. Informed consent was obtained from each participant. Subject recruitment did not begin until IRB approval had been obtained. Participants were excluded if they were unable to participate in at least one competition during the 2010 season.

Instrumentation

*Head Impact Telemetry System*

The Head Impact Telemetry (HIT) System (Simbex, Lebanon, NH) was used to collect linear and rotational acceleration, Head Impact Technology severity profile (HITsp), and location of head impact. The HIT System consists of MxEncoders, which
are installed in individual player helmets, the RedZone Software, and the Riddell Sideline Response System. The MxEncoders consist of six single-axis accelerometers, a battery pack, and an on-board data collection device. This was designed to collect head impact data and transmit it in real-time to the sideline response system. The accelerometers have a spring system that allowed the accelerometers to stay in contact with the head. The sensors were placed in the VSR4, Speed, and Revolution versions of Riddell helmets (Riddell Corporation, Elyria, OH).

The MxEncoders relayed impact data to a sideline response system at a frequency of 903-927 MHz, which was then transferred and stored on a laptop. Each impact was linked to a player using a unique identifier assigned to the MxEncoder. The MxEncoders were able to store up to 100 additional impacts if the player was out of the system’s 150-yard range. Those impacts were downloaded once the player was within range of the system.

Miscellaneous Equipment

In order to synchronize head impact data with game film, the games were video recorded using two P2 cameras at 60 frames per second and were recorded onto 16 GB P2 cards (Panasonic, Japan). Video personnel imported the footage into DV Sport Game Day (Brian Lowe, Pittsburgh, PA) where videos were grouped by play and play type. Traditional sport watches were time-synchronized to the sideline controller and used by the researchers along with an Olympus digital voice recorder (Model WS-210S; Olympus Imaging Corp., China). The researcher recorded the game clock and time of day of special team plays during the game using the watches and audio recorder. This was
necessary for time-synchronizing the game clock and time of day because not all special team plays require time to runoff the clock. In addition, a standard digital video camera time synced to the sideline controller (Model: PV-GS35; Panasonic Corporation of North America; Secaucus, NJ) was used to record the game clock during the competition onto 60-minute miniDV tapes (Model: M-DV60ME; JVC Americas Corp.; Wayne, NJ). The video camera was capable of recording video footage at 120 Hz.

**Data Collection**

At the start of the season each player was fitted with an MxEncoder-equipped Riddell helmet. A professional equipment manager fit the helmets. Data was collected during 12 games over the course of the 2010 NCAA football season. At each game the sideline system was setup to record impacts from the players enrolled in the study.

* Synchronization of Time of Day and Game Clock

The principal investigator synchronized her watch and the standard video camera with the laptop of the HIT System. A research assistant used the standard video camera to record the game clock during the competition. During the game the principal investigator worked on the field to record the time of day and game clock time for each special team play. Video footage of the game clock, which was recorded by the research assistant, had a time stamp allowing us to assign the correct time of day to the play on the field.

The video personnel filmed from two positions on the field with one camera addressing the field from the sideline and a second from the end zone. Each clip consisted of a shot of the game clock followed by one play from start to finish. After
each half, the video footage was imported into the database where the video personnel combined both views of each play and organized them by game clock, allowing them to be accessed at a later date. Following each game the principal investigator reviewed the video footage, which was linked with impacts collected with HIT System.

_Evaluation of Video Footage_

Video analyses were conducted to link impact biomechanical data with game footage. Using the play-by-play summary produced after every game, each play was assigned a game clock time based on the video footage captured by the video personnel. This information was then linked to the time of day based on the standard video footage that was captured by the research assistant. Impacts were evaluated using the ‘Player to Player Collision Type Evaluation Form’ (_Appendix A_). This form was used as a video evaluation sheet to record play type, closing distance, and additional variables that were not evaluated in this study. During impact observations, the researcher was blinded to the biomechanical data. We selected a subset of our cases (n=75) and re-evaluated these collisions no less than 30 days following the initial evaluation. We report strong intrarater agreement for closing distance (_Kappa_ = 0.88).

All special team plays were evaluated for visible impacts throughout the entire season. The original play selection for the first four games was conducted so that each special team that occurred had an offensive and defensive play matched by quarter, regardless if the special team play had a viewable impact. In an effort to keep the number of sampled impacts for each play type close together, we altered our sampling method for the final eight games of the season. Instead we matched all special team plays for which
at least one collision was evaluated to an equal number of offensive and defensive plays through random sampling with replacement and stratified by quarter. In the event randomly selected offensive or defensive play had no observable collisions, we proceeded to randomly sample another play. Random sampling was accomplished using a random integer sequence generator (source: www.random.org/sequences). There were no statistical differences in the sample between the first four games to the last eight games across play type for linear acceleration ($F_{2,5} = 0.84; P = 0.484$), rotational acceleration ($F_{2,5} = 1.35; P = 0.339$), or HITsp ($F_{2,5} = 2.09; P = 0.219$).

We classified the collision type as either long closing distance or short closing distance. Impacts were classified as “long closing distance collisions” when the striking player and struck player had traveled a combined distance of at least ten yards prior to the collision. Impacts were classified as “short closing distance collisions” when the striking player and the struck player had traveled a combined distance less than ten yards prior to the collision. Impacts occurring on special teams were classified based on the type of special team play: kick off, kickoff return, punt, and punt return. Field goal, field goal block, extra point attempt and extra point attempt block were considered low-risk miscellaneous special teams and excluded from the analyses because few impacts were classified as long closing distance.

**Data Reduction**

The biomechanical data were exported from the sideline system using the Riddell Export Utility. In keeping with previously published work in this area, only impacts greater than 10 g were included in the analyses to avoid inclusion of inconsequential
impacts (Guskiewicz, Mihalik, et al., 2007; McCaffrey, et al., 2007; Mihalik, et al.,
2007). We performed natural logarithmic transformations on these data to render them
normally distributed for the purpose of our statistical analyses since many of the impacts
occurred in the lower range.

Linear acceleration (g), rotational acceleration (rad/s²), and HITsp were the
outcome measures of interest obtained from the HIT System. The HITsp is a component
score including Gadd Severity Index, Head Injury Criterion, linear acceleration,
rotational acceleration, and a weighting factor by location of impact on the head
(Greenwald, et al., 2008). The Riddell Sideline Response System computes HITsp
directly. Impact severity was categorized as mild, moderate, and severe.

Data Analysis

Three separate random intercepts general linear mixed models were conducted for
each dependent variable (linear acceleration, rotational acceleration, and HITsp) to
determine whether an interaction effect existed between play type and collision closing
distance. In order to address Research Question 2, separate Chi Square analysis was
performed to assess the association between play type and a categorized variable of
impact severity (Table 3.2). An additional chi-square analysis was used to assess the
association between collision closing distance and the categorized variable of impact
severity. This will not be assessed for HITsp because there is limited research on what
are considered mild, moderate, or severe impacts for this variable. SAS Version 9.2 was
used for all data analyses with a priori alpha level of 0.05.
Table 3.1: Statistical Analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Do football players experience significant differences in biomechanical measures of head impact severity across play type and closing distance?</td>
<td>Biomechanical measures of head impact severity collected with the HIT System during competitions of the 2010 football season. Linear acceleration Rotational acceleration HITsp</td>
<td>Biomechanical measures of head impact severity during special team plays, offensive plays and defensive plays. Long closing distance to short closing distance on biomechanical measures of impact severity.</td>
<td>Three Random intercepts general linear mixed models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Is there a significant association between play type or closing distance and categorized head impact severity?</td>
<td>Biomechanical measures of head impact severity collected with the HIT System during competitions of the 2010 football season. -Frequencies of Linear acceleration -Frequencies of Rotational acceleration</td>
<td>Biomechanical measures of head impact severity during special team plays, offensive plays and defensive plays. Long closing distance to short closing distance on biomechanical measures of impact severity.</td>
<td>Four $\chi^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.2: Categorized Head Impact Severity Values (Zhang, et al., 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Categorized Head Impact Severity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Acceleration</td>
<td>$\leq 66g$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational Acceleration</td>
<td>$\leq 4600 \text{ rad/s}^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

The purpose of this study was to determine how head impact biomechanics differ across play type and closing distance during collegiate football competitions. Head impact biomechanical data were collected across 12 games during the 2010 NCAA football season (n = 7992). A total of 2250 impacts were observed for play type and closing distance. Impacts that occurred on special teams (n = 582) were compared to a random sampling of impacts that occurred on offense (n = 889) and defense (n = 779). Each impact was classified as either short closing distance (n = 1472) and long closing distance (n = 774). Provided below are all of the omnibus statistical findings in addition to individual means and 95% confidence intervals. Statistical findings are presented in Table 4.1.

Research Question One

Effects of Play Type and Closing Distance on Linear Acceleration

The interaction effect between the three play types and two closing distances trends towards significance when comparing linear acceleration of collegiate football players during competitions (F2,9 = 3.30; P = 0.084). Impacts occurring on special teams following long closing distances (26.8 g; 95% CI: 24.9-28.8) were the most severe, while impacts occurring on special teams following short closing distances (20.9 g; 95% CI: 18.1-24.2) were the least severe. Point estimates (means) and 95% confidence intervals
are depicted in Figure 4.1. In exploring the main effect of play type, we did not observe any differences ($F_{2, 31} = 1.00; P = 0.381$) between defensive plays (23.9 g; 95% CI: 22.7-25.2), offensive plays (25.2 g; 95% CI: 23.8-26.6), or special team plays (25.2 g; 95% CI: 23.8-26.6). However, a significant difference in linear acceleration was observed between long closing distance and short closing distance ($F_{1, 37} = 11.90; P = 0.001$) such that impacts following short closing distances (23.4 g; 95% CI: 22.7-24.2) resulted in lower linear accelerations than those collisions following long closing distances (26.2 g; 95% CI: 24.8-27.5).

**Effects of Play Type and Closing Distance on Rotational Acceleration**

The interaction effect between the three play types and two closing distances trends toward significance on measures of rotational acceleration recorded during head impacts of collegiate football players during competitions ($F_{2, 9} = 3.08; P = 0.096$). Impacts occurring on defense following long closing distances (1547.5 rad/s$^2$; 1393.9-1717.9) and on special team plays following long closing distances (1531.3 rad/s$^2$; 1406.6-1667.9) were the most severe, while impacts occurring on special team plays following short closing distances (1217.0 rad/s$^2$; 1009.0-1467.9) were the least severe. Point estimates and 95% confidence intervals are depicted in Figure 4.2. A main effect of play type on rotational acceleration was not observed ($F_{2, 31} = 0.21; P = 0.811$); no differences in rotational acceleration were observed between defensive plays (1392.6 rad/s$^2$; 95% CI: 1307.2-1483.5), offensive plays (1442.4 rad/s$^2$; 1329.1-1565.4), or special team plays (1442.4 rad/s$^2$; 1330.5-1563.8). Head rotational acceleration observed during impacts following long closing distances (1519.3 rad/s$^2$; 95% CI: 1441.6-1601.1)
was significantly greater than that recorded during short closing distances (1355.2 rad/s²; 95% CI: 1301.7-1410.9) in our sample (F₁,₃₇ = 13.65; P < 0.001).

**Effects of Play Type and Closing Distance on Head Impact Technology severity profile (HITsp)**

We observed a significant interaction effect between play type and closing distance on measures of HITsp during competitions (F₂,₉ = 4.75; P = 0.039). Point estimates and 95% confidence intervals are depicted in Figure 4.3. Employing Tukey-Kramer post hoc analyses, impacts on special team plays over long closing distances (17.0; 95% CI: 16.0-18.2) were significantly more severe (t₀ = -4.07; P = 0.024) than impacts occurring during defensive plays over short distances (14.5; 95% CI: 13.6-15.4), when measured by HITsp. Further, impacts occurring on special teams plays occurring over long closing distances were significantly greater (t₀ = 4.42; P = 0.015) than special team collisions occurring over short closing distances (13.7; 95% CI: 12.3-15.2).

A strong trend for play type was observed when comparing measures of HITsp (F₂,₃₁ = 2.78; P = 0.077). Impacts sustained during special team plays (16.1; 95% CI: 15.3-17.0) were greater than impacts sustained during defensive plays (14.6; 95% CI: 14.0-15.5). There was no significant difference in HITsp when comparing impacts sustained during special teams to impacts sustained during offensive plays (16.1; 95% CI: 15.2-17.0). A statistically significant main effect was found when comparing closing distance across HITsp (F₁,₃₇ = 17.90; P < 0.001). Head impact severity profiles following a long closing distance (16.4; 95% CI: 15.7-17.2) were greater than those following a short closing distance (14.6; 95% CI: 14.1-15.1).
Research Question Two

Association between Play Type and Head Impact Severity (Linear Acceleration)

An association between play type and categorized head impact severity for linear acceleration was not observed ($\chi^2(4) = 8.55; P = 0.073$) (Table 4.2). Given this trend, however, football players in our sample were 3.44 times more likely to sustain a severe impact during a special teams play than an offensive play and 3.04 times more likely than a defensive play. The risk of sustaining a mild or moderate impact during special team plays compared to offensive and defensive plays were approximately the same.

Association between Play Type and Head Impact Severity (Rotational Acceleration)

An association between play type and categorized head impact severity for rotational acceleration was observed ($\chi^2(4) = 24.87; P < 0.001$) (Table 4.3). Football players in our sample were at approximately the same risk (RR = 1.03) for sustaining a severe impact on special team plays compared to offensive plays. No severe rotational acceleration impacts were observed during the randomly selected defensive plays. However, special team players were at a 1.83 times greater risk of sustaining a moderate impact than offensive players and a 2.37 times greater risk than defensive players of the same.

Association between Closing Distance and Head Impact Severity (Linear Acceleration)

An association between closing distance and categorized head impact severity for linear acceleration was observed ($\chi^2(2) = 13.82; P = 0.001$) (Table 4.4). Football players in our sample had approximately the same risk of sustaining a mild impact during short closing distance impacts compared to long closing distance at 1.03. However, the risk of
a moderate impact following a long closing distance was 1.43 times more likely than on short closing distance impact. The Football players were 4.56 times more likely to sustain a severe impact during a long closing distance impact than a short closing distance impact.

*Association between Closing Distance and Head Impact Severity (Rotational Acceleration)*

A significant association was observed between closing distance and categorized head impact severity for rotational acceleration was observed ($\chi^2(2) = 28.23; P < 0.001$) (Table 4.5). Football players in our sample were 2.35 times more likely to sustain a moderate impact following a long closing distance impact than a short closing distance and 1.91 times more likely of sustaining a severe impact. The risk of sustaining a mild impact was approximately the same at 1.06 for short closing distance impact compared to long closing distance.

**Exploratory Analyses**

We conducted additional analyses of the data to further explore our significant findings. An additional question that arose when looking at the data was if there was an effect of offensive special team plays (punt return, kickoff return) and defensive special teams (punt, kickoff) on the measures of head impact severity. We did not observe a statistically significant difference in linear acceleration between special team play type and closing distance ($F_{1,6} = 1.91; P = 0.216$). However, this may be a function of sample size. The mean and 95% confidence interval of long closing distance collisions on
defensive special teams (28.27g; 95% CI: 25.19-31.71) overlaps just slightly with short
closing distance collision on defensive special teams (22.52g; 95% CI: 18.99-26.71).

Similarly to linear acceleration, we did not observe a statistically significant
difference in rotational acceleration between special team play type and closing distance
\( (F_{1,6} = 1.95; P = 0.212) \). Defensive special teams 95% confidence intervals showed
minimal overlap between long closing distance (1680.3 rad/s\(^2\); 95% CI: 1462.9-1930.0)
and short closing distance (1210.1 rad/s\(^2\); 95% CI: 992.9-1474.6).

Head Impact Technology severity profile (HITsp) yielded the same result as
previous described with rotational acceleration and linear acceleration. We did not
observe a statistically significant difference in HITsp between special team play type and
closing distance \( (F_{1,6} = 4.65; P = 0.075) \). Also, the trend continues with defensive special
team collisions over long closing distances (18.2; 95% CI: 16.5-20.1) showing separation
of the 95% confidence interval from defensive special teams collisions over short closing
distances (13.5; 95% CI: 11.8-15.3). Again the difference may exist if our sample size of
special team impacts was larger.

Additional evaluation of play type and closing distance was conducted to
determine if there was an association between these two variables and location of head
impact. An association between play type and closing distance with location of head
impact was observed \( (\chi^2(15) = 50.126; P < 0.001) \). Football players in our sample were
more likely to sustain an impact to the top of head impact during a defensive short
closing distance collision or an offensive short closing distance collision than any other
collision types.
Table 4.1: Frequency (percentage) of recorded impacts, mean resultant linear acceleration, rotational acceleration, and HITsp of head impacts sustained by play type and closing distance. The associated 95% confidence intervals and $P$ values are provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Play Type</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
<th>Linear Acceleration (g)</th>
<th>Rotational Acceleration (rad/s²)</th>
<th>HITsp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>95 % CI</td>
<td>$P^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Teams²</td>
<td>582 (25.87)</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offense</td>
<td>889 (39.51)</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense</td>
<td>779 (34.62)</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing Distance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short³</td>
<td>1472 (65.54)</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long</td>
<td>774 (34.46)</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2250³</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$P$ values are relative to the reference category used by the random intercepts general mixed linear model analyses.

*Global Test* refers to the omnibus model evaluating the null hypothesis of no differences between any categories for this variable.

Denotes the reference (Ref) category.

Closing distance has four fewer impacts due to inability to identify closing distance for those cases.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Severity</th>
<th>Play Type</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special Teams</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Offense</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Defense</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2121</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\chi^2(4) = 8.55; P = 0.073$
Table 4.3: Frequency of Categorized Impacts Resulting in Mild, Moderate and Severe Rotational Acceleration According to Play Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Play Type</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Teams</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offense</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2108</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\chi^2(4) = 24.87; P < 0.001$
**Table 4.4:** Frequency of Categorized Impacts Resulting in Mild, Moderate and Severe Linear Acceleration According to Closing Distance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Closing Distance</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short</td>
<td>1403</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2117</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\chi^2(2) = 13.82; P = 0.001\)
Table 4.5: Frequency of Categorized Impacts Resulting in Mild, Moderate and Severe Rotational Acceleration According to Closing Distance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Severity</th>
<th>Closing Distance</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2104</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\chi^2(2) = 28.23; P < 0.001$
**Figure 4.1**: Head Impact Linear Acceleration Means and 95% Confidence Intervals for Play Type and Closing Distance
Figure 4.2: Head Impact Rotational Acceleration Means and 95% Confidence Intervals for Play Type and Closing Distance

![Rotational acceleration measures by collision closing distance and play type](image)
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Figure 4.3: Head Impact Technology severity profile (HITsp) Means and 95% Confidence Intervals for Play Type and Closing Distance

‡ Indicates statistically significant difference between Short Closing Distance impacts on Special Teams and Long Closing Distance impacts on Special Teams.
† Indicates statistically significant difference between Short Closing Distance impacts on Defense and Long Closing Distance impacts on Special Teams.
CHAPTER V

DISCUSSION

The primary finding demonstrated that during a collegiate football game long closing distance impacts sustained during special team plays were the most severe. Another key finding was that regardless of play type, long closing distance impacts are more severe than short closing distance impacts. Previous research has analyzed collisions among football players at the high school (Broglio, et al., 2010; Broglio, et al., 2009; Gessel, et al., 2007; Greenwald, et al., 2008; Schnebel, et al., 2007), college (Brolinson, et al., 2006; M. W. Collins, et al., 1999; Duma, et al., 2005; Greenwald, et al., 2008; Guskievicz, Mihalik, et al., 2007; Kuehl, et al., 2010; McCaffrey, et al., 2007; McCrea, et al., 2003; Mihalik, et al., 2007), and professional levels (Guskievicz, Marshall, et al., 2007; Hamberger, et al., 2009; Pellman, et al., 2004; Pellman, et al., 2003; Viano, et al., 2007; Viano & Pellman, 2005; Viano, et al., 2006; Zhang, et al., 2004), but had not isolated special teams from offensive and defensive plays when assessing the biomechanical risk factors of concussion. The purpose of this study was to determine if special team players experience more severe head impacts than offensive and defensive players during competitions. A secondary purpose was to determine if collisions occurring after a long closing distance are more severe than those occurring after a short closing distance.
Our hypothesis was that players on special teams would experience more severe biomechanical measures of head impact severity during long closing distance collisions than players participating on offensive and defensive plays during short closing distance collisions. In our sample, long closing distance collisions on special teams were the most severe, while special teams and defensive collisions that occurred following a short closing distance were the least severe impacts as measured by Head Impact Technology severity profile (HITsp). Previous studies suggest that professional football players are at a greatest risk of concussion during special team plays (Pellman, et al., 2004; Viano, et al., 2007). Respectively, kickoffs and punts had the highest and second highest concussion risk (Pellman, et al., 2004; Viano, et al., 2007). Although this thesis did not analyze the risk of concussion, our results suggest that impacts occurring during special teams are more severe than those occurring during offensive and defensive plays.

Long closing distance collisions were more severe than short closing distance collisions as measured by linear acceleration, rotational acceleration, and HITsp. This parallels research suggesting a greater number of injuries occur with tackling, which is more likely to occur following a long closing distance than we would expect with blocking (Pellman, et al., 2004). Further analysis of location of head impact showed that impacts on defense and offense over short closing distances accounted for the majority of top of the head impacts. These findings are most likely related to linemen at the line of scrimmage who drop their head in an effort to gain ground, or because a three-point stance places them in this potentially vulnerable position. When an athlete sustains an impact to the top of the head, an axial load to the cervical spine is more likely and may lead to spinal cord injuries.
At the professional level, research has indicated that linemen are less likely to sustain concussions than quarterbacks, wide receivers, defensive backs, and special team players (Pellman, et al., 2004). Further, collegiate linemen experience severe impacts less frequently than skill players (Schnebel, et al., 2007). Our results coincide, illustrating that long closing distance collisions tend to be more severe. It can be speculated, therefore, that athletes who sustain short closing distance collisions may be less likely to sustain a concussion.

Collisions occurring on special teams and offense, although not significantly different, were more severe than those occurring on defense for linear and rotational acceleration. Significant differences between play types were observed for measures of HITsp, supporting that impacts sustained on special team plays are more severe than impacts sustained on defense. One reason that HITsp may differ from linear acceleration and rotational acceleration is because it accounts for head location of impact. These results correspond with previous research at the collegiate level showing that offensive backs sustained the greatest magnitude impacts (Mihalik, et al., 2007). These differences may explain why previous studies have observed that offensive players—including any special team plays they may have participated in—are at greater risk for concussion (Dick, et al., 2007).

Our results suggest that there may be differences in head impact severity characteristics between collegiate and high school football players. Previous studies have observed that high school defensive linemen had the largest linear acceleration among position groups. We speculate that high school football players may not yet possess the same cervical muscle strength as collegiate players. Therefore, different position groups
may be at greater risk of severe impacts (Broglio, et al., 2009). There is also a greater likelihood that high school football players participate in both offensive and defensive (i.e. two-way player) and the results reported by Broglio et al. may not distinguish between these two play types. While the Broglio et al. study and ours appear to disagree on which player type sustains higher magnitude impacts, both studies agree that top-of-helmet collisions are the most severe (Broglio, et al., 2009; Mihalik, et al., 2007). All positions are at risk for concussion; however, there is currently no true consensus on what positions are at the greatest risk of concussion. Our study does indicate, that when head impact location is taken in to account with HITsp, players are at greater risk of severe impacts during special team plays than defensive plays (Broglio, et al., 2009; Dick, et al., 2007; Guskiewicz, et al., 2003; Mihalik, et al., 2007; Schnebel, et al., 2007; Viano, et al., 2007; Viano & Pellman, 2005).

**Clinical Implications**

This study is the first to isolate special team collisions from offensive and defensive collisions in college football. It is also the first to differentiate between long and short closing distance collisions. Our results show that the most dangerous collisions are those following long closing distances. Organizations that oversee football like the National Football League (Pellman, et al., 2004; Pellman & Viano, 2006; Pellman, et al., 2003; Viano, et al., 2007; Viano & Pellman, 2005; Viano, et al., 2006), Canadian Football League (Delaney, Lacroix, Leclerc, & Johnston, 2000), and National Collegiate Athletic Association are assessing the need for rule changes to protect football players. Over the last year, media attention has brought concussions in football to the forefront.
One recommendation the National Football League is considering is altering kickoff locations and rules (K. Guskiewicz, personal communication, February 18, 2011). Rule changes to make the game safer are not new.

In 1975, helmets were introduced and standardized by the National Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment resulting in a decrease in skull fractures (Levy, Ozgur, Berry, Aryan, & Apuzzo, 2004). This originally led to an increase in spinal injuries as players used their helmeted head as a weapon to impart increasing collision forces on opposing players. The National Collegiate Athletic Association in conjunction with National Alliance Football Rules Committee altered the rules to eliminate the use of the crown of the helmet as a striking point, which subsequently reduced the incidence of cervical spine injuries in football (Levy, et al., 2004). Recently, rules have been changed in an effort to decrease the risk of concussion. For example, teams are no longer permitted to have more than two players form a single wedge on kickoff plays. There is an effort to decrease the risk of severe impacts and likelihood of injury and, thus, improve injury prevention in American football.

We found that there are a greater number of severe collisions (linear $\geq 106g$; rotational $\geq 7900$ rad/s$^2$) following long closing distances than those following short closing distances. Additionally, special teams had a greater risk of severe collisions compared to offensive and defensive plays. Altering plays that often result in long closing distances may be the most effective way of reducing the number of severe head impacts. We speculate that by altering punts and kickoffs, we could plausibly decrease the acceleration potential of players during these special team plays. In youth leagues, the game of football is changing to limit the long closing distance collisions, although
research has not assessed if this does reduce the risk of injury. One suggestion to decrease injuries in football is to eliminate the punt game, as in some youth football leagues. Holding teams from punting on fourth down would change a special team play into a more traditional offense and defense play. This rule change would eliminate those high risk impacts and one positive to the game would be that more scoring could happen with the better field position. However, if kickoffs are still a part of the game, more scoring would lead to more kickoffs and more impacts that the rule change would be trying to prevent.

We acknowledge that protecting the football athlete may present a dilemma for those most concerned with preserving the game. In order to protect football players from the long closing distance collisions that occur on kickoffs, the most drastic option is to eliminate them. Kickoffs would not be a risk if every team started on the 20-yard line, similar to a touchback, except without the option of a return. However, this eliminates the onside kick altogether. The onside kick is a rare, but significant, option in close games as the remaining game time is running out. A less dramatic change would be to change the field location from where a team kicks off. By advancing the kickoff team ten yards, the players would cover a shorter distance. Although these closing distances would still be considered long as we have operationally defined them in this study, it would increase the likelihood of touchbacks by the receiving team and, thus, decrease the frequency of collisions during special team plays. An additional option would be to eliminate the ten-yard run-up to the line of scrimmage prior to kickoff. This would decrease the acceleration potential for the kicking team. Potential rule changes may have
potential negative and positive implications to the game, and each must be weighed carefully before a rule is changed.

Clinical Significance

While football is already considered a high-risk sport, this study suggests that particular collisions may place athletes at a higher risk of injury. There may already be a heightened sense of awareness by the athletic trainer when the special teams unit takes to the field. This study suggests that this heightened awareness may be warranted. Long closing distance collisions yielded more severe impacts than short closing distance collisions. A concussion can occur from any collision, but those collisions that have been considered more severe could place athletes at a higher risk of injury than those less severe impacts.

Limitations

We acknowledge some limitations of this study and the ability for its generalization to all football populations. Concussions were not analyzed in this study. Instead, we speculate that through an increased head impact severity the likelihood of a resulting concussion increases. Also, we only assessed biomechanical data and are making inferences to concussion risk without having tracked athlete exposure and identifying injuries in our sample. Football rules, skill, and fashion of play vary from Pop Warner, high school, and college to professional. Therefore, it is important to be careful when comparing this study with research at the professional or high school levels. Even within our sample of collegiate athletes, age and experience may play an additional role in resultant head impact severity on special team plays. In addition, this study did
not look at cumulative impacts, which could present additional information beyond that which was observed in this study. Some of our significant findings demonstrated small mean differences in head impact severity. While in isolation, a single head impact of this magnitude is not likely to cause injury. To date, researchers remain unsure how these seemingly small increases may affect short- and long-term risk of concussion in athletes who sustain many impacts over the course of a single game, season, or career.

A limited number of collisions were assessed, and only those for which we had biomechanical data were eligible. Therefore, many of the same players had multiple impacts reviewed. Player tendencies may mask what occurs within collisions where a magnitude was not recorded. In addition, not all players on the field recorded observable impacts. This could alter our internal validity, since it is possible that the attitudes of those whose impacts we observed may hit harder or use their head more than the athletes with fewer observable impacts.

We did our best to eliminate experimenter bias by grading the impacts while blinded to the biomechanical measures; however, when observing the impacts the experimenter may have judged impacts occurring following close to the ten-yard mark differently depending where the athlete moved on field and what markings were available to be utilized. We acknowledge that a collision resulting from a long closing distance of 50 yards may not be the same as a collision resulting from a long closing distance of 10 yards. While we considered controlling for a continuous measure of closing distance, we were unable to accurately capture these data based on video footage. As such, our data were categorized into the two classifications of closing distance—short and long.
The external validity of this study is limited by the specific situation in which we collected our data. We only assessed and reviewed collisions in game situations and only a subset of the total number of players who participated in those twelve games in the collegiate setting. This can limit our transferability to other situations.

Further Research

Future research is necessary to further investigate which football players are at greatest risk for severe collisions and concussions. This assessment could be replicated at the high school and professional levels in addition to duplication at the collegiate level. Anecdotal evidence has also suggested that beginning in a three-point stance increases the severity of collisions; additional research can evaluate this in addition to how possession of the ball affects impact severity. Further research should also investigate offensive run plays compared to offensive pass plays in an effort to assess the hammer-nail theory. This theory considers who experiences the more severe impact, the player who is getting hit (nail) or the player who is doing the hitting (hammer).

Conclusions

College football players are at greater risk for concussion during games compared to practices (Dick, et al., 2007; Guskiewicz, et al., 2003). This study demonstrates that collisions in games following long closing distances are more severe than collisions following short distances. Special team impacts following long closing distances are the most severe types of collisions in collegiate football games. Additionally, an association exists between closing distance and the likelihood of sustaining an impact with a linear acceleration in excess of 106 g or a rotational acceleration in excess of 7900 rad/s$^2$. This
suggests that in order to decrease the impact severity of collisions in football, rule changes to limit long closing distance impacts may be necessary.
APPENDIX A

Player to Player Collision Type Evaluation Form

Impact

Quarter

Game Clock

(Row)

(Seconds)

Rater:

C1. Play Type

- Punt (0)
- Punt Return (1)
- Kickoff (2)
- Kickoff Return (3)
- Offense Pass (4)
- Offense Rush (5)

C2. Closing Distance Type

- Long Distance (0)
- Short Distance (1)
- Unknown (2)

C3. What stance did the opponent begin in

- 2pt (0)
- 3pt (1)
- 4pt (2)

C4. What stance did UNC begin in

- 2pt (0)
- 3pt (1)
- Unknown (3)

C5. Player involvement in body collision

- Striking player (0)
- Struck (1)
- Unknown (2)

C6. Player looking ahead in direction of movement

- No (0)
- Yes (1)
- Unknown (2)

C7. Player appears to be looking in direction of impending body collision

- No (0)
- Yes (1)
- Unknown (2)

C8. Was the opponent stationary

- No (0)
- Yes (1)
- Unknown (2)

C9. Was the UNC player stationary

- No (0)
- Yes (1)
- Unknown (2)

C10. Did the player have possession of the ball

- No (0)
- Yes (1)
- Unknown (2)

C11. Was the player receiving/passing the ball at time of collision? (hand off, pitch, pass, catch)

- No (0)
- Yes (1)
- Unknown (2)

C12. Was the player snapping the ball (center)

- No (0)
- Yes (1)
- Unknown (2)

C13. Infraction type associated with collision

- Legal (clean) collision (0)
- Spiking (1)
- Head to head contact (2)
- Facemask/cowboy collar (3)
- Unknown (4)
- Other (5)

C14. Overall impression of body collision

- Anticipated (0)
- Unanticipated (1)
- Unknown (2)

Additional Comments:

Submit
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Football accounts for 55% of concussions to collegiate athletes. In the National Football League, kickoffs and punts had a greater risk for concussions than rushing and passing plays. Concussions continue to be a difficult condition for many sports medicine professionals to manage. The purpose of this study was to determine if head impacts that occur during special team plays are more severe than offensive and defensive plays during competitions. A secondary purpose was to determine if collisions occurring after a long closing distance were more severe than those occurring after a short closing distance.

Methods: Forty-six collegiate football players were enrolled in a prospective cohort study that assessed head impact severity (linear acceleration, rotational acceleration, and Head Impact Technology severity profile) for collisions on special teams, offense, and defense, in addition to long closing distance impacts and short closing distance impacts. Random intercepts general linear mixed model and Chi Square were used to analyze the data.

Results: Long closing distance collisions were found to be more severe than short closing distance collisions. Collisions occurring on special teams over long closing distance were the most severe impacts while collisions occurring on special teams and defense over short closing distances were the least severe impacts.

Conclusions: This study shows that in order to decrease the impact severity of collisions in football, the ability for long accelerations to occur prior to impact needs to be reduced.

Running Title: Play type and closing distance
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INTRODUCTION

Sport-related concussions continue to be a difficult condition for many sports medicine professionals to manage. Annually, there are 50,000 deaths, 235,000 hospitalizations, 1,111,000 emergency room visits and an unknown number of concussions treated elsewhere across the United States. Football accounts for 55% of concussions in collegiate athletics and 6.8% of all football-related injuries.

The short-term and long-term complications of previous concussions are receiving widespread notice. Immediately following a concussion an athlete can experience deficits in reaction time, memory, and an increase in concussion like symptoms. Following three or more concussions, collegiate athletes have been shown to have a decreased ability to fully participate in social events and may neglect their school work or treatment. Negative outcomes occurring in previously healthy athletes following multiple concussions have been shown in professional and college athletes. Multiple concussions have the potential to affect the quality of life and mental health of athletes, and while it is important to know how to manage concussions when they occur, preventing concussions is the greatest defense.

Although there is research assessing how concussions affect the ability of the brain to work and process, there is a lack of understanding of the mechanism of injury leading to concussion. To help better understand how concussions occur, many researchers have quantified head impact severity using linear and rotational acceleration that high school, collegiate, and professional football players encounter during practices and competitions.
Previous research suggests that football players are 11 times more likely to sustain a concussion during a game than during practice.\textsuperscript{7} In the National Football League, kickoffs and punts had the greatest risk for concussion, while rushing plays and passing plays had a lower risk for concussion.\textsuperscript{22,26} However, current literature has not addressed if players on special teams experience more severe impacts than players on either offense or defense. Anecdotally, harder hits occur in the open field and skill players have been shown to experience more severe impacts than line players.\textsuperscript{25} There is also a concern that special team plays are more likely to be following a long closing distance, resulting in a greater risk of injury. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine if special team players experience more severe head impacts than offensive and defensive players during competitions. A secondary purpose was to determine if collisions occurring after a long closing distance are more severe than those occurring after a short closing distance.
PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study employed a prospective repeated measures design evaluating play type and collision closing distance on head impact biomechanics. A convenience sample of 46 Division I college football players at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill was used. Subjects were participating in a larger ongoing prospective study assessing the relationship between head impact biomechanics, clinical measures of concussion, and neuroradiology. Data were collected during six home and six away competitions. Inclusion criterion included game participation during the 2010 season. The participants were told the purpose of the study and the methods of the study. Informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Instrumentation

The Head Impact Telemetry (HIT) System (Simbex, Lebanon, NH) was used to collect linear and rotational acceleration, Head Impact Technology severity profile (HITsp), and location of head impact. The HIT System consists of MxEncoders, which are installed in individual player helmets, the RedZone Software, and the Riddell Sideline Response System. The MxEncoders consist of six single-axis accelerometers, a battery pack, and an on-board data collection device. This was designed to collect head impact data and transmit it in real-time to the sideline response system. The accelerometers in the MxEncoders have a spring system that allowed the accelerometers to stay in contact with the head. The MxEncoders were placed in the VSR4, Speed, and Revolution versions of Riddell helmets (Riddell Corporation, Elyria, OH).

The MxEncoders relayed impact data to a sideline response system at a frequency of 903-927 MHz, which was then transferred and stored on a laptop. Each impact was
linked to a player using a unique identifier assigned to the MxEncoder. The system recorded impacts to the head collecting linear acceleration, rotational acceleration, and location of impact. The on-board systems were able to store up to 100 additional impacts if the player was out of the system’s 150-yard range. Those impacts were then immediately downloaded once the player was within range of the system.

In order to synchronize head impact data with game video footage, the games were filmed using two P2 cameras at 60 frames per second and were recorded onto 16 GB P2 cards (Panasonic, Japan). Video personnel imported the footage into DV Sport Game Day (Brian Lowe, Pittsburgh, PA) where videos were grouped by play and play type. Traditional sport watches were time-synchronized to the sideline controller. An Olympus digital voice recorder (Model WS-210S; Olympus Imaging Corp., China) was used by the researcher to record game clock and time of day of special team plays during the game. In addition, a standard digital video camera time-synchronized to the sideline controller (Model: PV-GS35; Panasonic Corporation of North America; Secaucus, NJ) was used to record the game clock during the competition onto 60-minute miniDV tapes (Model: M-DV60ME; JVC Americas Corp.; Wayne, NJ). The video camera was capable of recording video footage at 120 Hz.

Data Collection

At the start of the season each player was fitted with an MxEncoder-equipped Riddell helmet. A professional equipment manager fit the helmets. Data was collected during 12 games over the course of the 2010 NCAA football season. At each game the sideline system was setup to record impacts from the players enrolled in the study.
The principal investigator synchronized her watch and the standard video camera with the laptop of the HIT System. A research assistant used the standard video camera to record the game clock during the competition. During the game the principal investigator worked on the field to record the time of day and game clock time for each special team play. Video footage of the game clock, which was recorded by the research assistant, had a time stamp allowing us to assign the correct time of day to the play on the field.

The video personnel filmed from two positions on the field with one camera addressing the field from the sideline and a second from the end zone. Each clip consisted of a shot of the game clock followed by one play from start to finish. After each half the clips were imported into the database where the video personnel combined both views of each play and organized them by game clock, allowing them to be accessed at a later date. Following each game the principal investigator reviewed the video footage, which was linked with impacts collected with HIT System.

Video analyses were conducted to link impact biomechanical data with game footage. Using the play-by-play summary produced after every game, each play was assigned a game clock time based on the video footage captured by the video personnel. This information was then linked to the time of day based on the standard video footage that was captured by the research assistant. Impacts were evaluated using the ‘Player to Player Collision Type Evaluation Form.’ This form was used as a video evaluation sheet to record play type, closing distance, and additional variables that were not evaluated in this study. During impact observations, the researcher was blinded to the biomechanical data. We selected a subset of our cases (n=75) and re-evaluated these collisions no less
than 30 days following the initial evaluation. We report strong intrarater agreement for closing distance (Kappa = 0.88).

All special team plays were evaluated for visible impacts throughout the entire season. The original play selection for the first four games was conducted so that each special team that occurred had an offensive and defensive play matched by quarter, regardless if the special team play had a viewable impact. In an effort to keep the number of sampled impacts for each play type close together, we altered our sampling method for the final eight games of the season. Instead we matched all special team plays for which at least one collision was evaluated to an equal number of offensive and defensive plays through random sampling with replacement and stratified by quarter. In the event randomly selected offensive of defensive play had no observable collisions, we proceeded to randomly sample another play. Random sampling was accomplished using a random integer sequence generator (source: www.random.org/sequences). There were no statistical differences in the sample between the first four games to the last eight games across play type for linear acceleration (F_{2,5} = 0.84; P = 0.484), rotational acceleration (F_{2,5} = 1.35; P = 0.339), or HITsp (F_{2,5} = 2.09; P = 0.219).

We classified the collision type as either long closing distance or short closing distance. Impacts were classified as “long closing distance collisions” when the striking player and struck player had traveled a combined distance of at least ten yards prior to the collision. Impacts were classified as “short closing distance collisions” when the striking player and the struck player had traveled a combined distance less than ten yards prior to the collision. Impacts occurring on special teams were classified based on the type of special team play: kick off, kickoff return, punt, and punt return. Field goal, field goal
block, extra point attempt and extra point attempt block were considered low-risk miscellaneous special teams and excluded from the analyses.

**Data Reduction**

The biomechanical data were exported from the sideline system using the Riddell Export Utility. In keeping with previously published work in this area, only impacts greater than 10 g were included in the analyses to avoid inclusion of inconsequential impacts.\(^{13,19,21}\) To account for the positively skewed data since many of the impacts occurred in the lower range, we performed natural logarithmic transformations on these data to render them normally distributed for the purpose of our statistical analyses.

Linear acceleration (g), rotational acceleration (rad/s\(^2\)), and HITsp were the outcome measures of interest obtained from the HIT System. The HITsp is a component score including Gadd Severity Index, Head Injury Criterion, linear acceleration, rotational acceleration, and a weighting factor by location of impact on the head.\(^{10}\) The Riddell Sideline Response System computes HITsp directly. Impact severity was categorized as mild, moderate, or severe. Linear accelerations less than or equal to 66 g were classified as mild, greater than or equal to 106 g were classified as severe, and all linear accelerations in between were classified as moderate. Similarly, rotational accelerations less than or equal to 4600 rad/s\(^2\) were classified as mild, greater than or equal to 7900 rad/s\(^2\) were classified as severe, and all rotational accelerations between were classified as moderate.\(^{29}\)

**Data Analysis**

Three separate random intercepts general linear mixed models were conducted for each dependent variable (linear acceleration, rotational acceleration, and HITsp) to
determine whether an interaction effect existed between play type and collision closing distance. Chi Square analysis was performed to assess the association between play type and a categorized variable of impact severity (i.e. mild, moderate, or severe). An additional Chi Square analysis was used to assess the association between collision closing distance and the categorized variable of impact severity. SAS Version 9.2 was used for all data analyses with an a priori alpha level of 0.05.
RESULTS

The purpose of this study was to determine how head impact biomechanics differ across play type and closing distance in collisions during collegiate football competitions. Head impact biomechanical data were collected across 12 games during the 2010 NCAA football season (n = 7992). A total of 2250 impacts were observed for play type and closing distance. Impacts that occurred on special teams (n = 582) were compared to a random sampling of impacts that occurred on offense (n = 889) and defense (n = 779). Each impact was classified as either short closing distance (n = 1472) or long closing distance (n = 774). Provided below are all of the omnibus statistical findings in addition to individual means and 95% confidence intervals. Statistical findings are included in Table 1.

Play Type Differences

We observed a significant interaction effect between play type and closing distance on measures of HITsp during competitions (F2,9 = 4.75; P = 0.039). Employing Tukey-Kramer post hoc analyses, impacts on special team plays over long closing distances (17.0; 95% CI: 16.0-18.1) were significantly more severe (t0 = -4.07; P = 0.024) than impacts occurring during defensive plays over short distances (14.5; 95% CI: 13.6-15.4), when measured by HITsp. Further, the HITsp of impacts occurring on special teams plays occurring over long closing distances were significantly greater (t0 = 4.42; P = 0.017) than special team collisions occurring over short closing distances (13.7; 95% CI: 12.3-15.2).
The interaction effect between the three play types and two closing distances trends towards significance when comparing linear acceleration of collegiate football players during competitions ($F_{2,9} = 3.30; P = 0.084$). Impacts occurring on special teams following long closing distances (26.8 g; 95% CI: 24.9-28.8) was the most severe, while impacts occurring on special teams following short closing distances (20.9 g; 95% CI: 18.1-24.2) were the least severe.

The interaction effect between the three play types and two closing distances trends toward significance on measures of rotational acceleration recorded during head impacts of collegiate football players during competitions ($F_{2,9} = 3.08; P = 0.096$). Impacts occurring on defense following long closing distances (1547.5 rad/s$^2$; 95% CI: 1393.9-1717.9) and on special team plays following long closing distances (1531.3 rad/s$^2$; 95% CI: 1406.6-1667.9) were the most severe, while impacts occurring on special team plays following short closing distances (1217.0 rad/s$^2$; 95% CI: 1009.0-1467.9) were the least severe.

A strong trend for play type was observed when comparing measures of $\text{HIT}_{sp}$ ($F_{2,31} = 2.78; P = 0.077$). Impacts sustained during special team plays (16.1; 95% CI: 15.3-17.0) were greater than impacts sustained during defensive plays (14.6; 95% CI: 14.0-15.5).

An association between play type and categorized head impact severity for rotational acceleration was observed ($\chi^2(4) = 24.87; P < 0.001$). Football players in our sample were at approximately the same risk (RR = 1.03) for sustaining a severe impact on special team plays compared to offensive plays. No severe rotational acceleration impacts were observed during the randomly selected defensive plays. However, special
team players were at a 1.83 and 2.37 times greater risk of sustaining a moderate impact than offensive and defensive players, respectively.

**Closing Distance Differences**

A significant main effect was observed for all dependent variables of impact severity: linear acceleration ($F_{1,37} = 11.90; P = 0.001$), rotational acceleration ($F_{1,37} = 13.65; P < 0.001$), and HITsp ($F_{1,37} = 17.90; P < 0.001$). For all analysis, long closing distance impacts were significantly more severe than short closing distance.

An association between closing distance and categorized head impact severity for linear acceleration was observed ($\chi^2(2) = 13.82; P = 0.001$). Football players in our sample had approximately the same risk (RR = 1.03) of sustaining a mild impact during short closing distance impacts compared to long closing distance. Players were at a 4.56 and 1.43 times greater risk of sustaining a severe and moderate impact, respectively, during long closing distance impacts than short closing distance impacts. A significant association was observed between closing distance and categorized head impact severity for rotational acceleration was observed ($\chi^2(2) = 28.23; P < 0.001$). Players were at a 1.91 and 2.35 times greater risk of sustaining a severe and moderate impact, respectively, during long closing distance impacts than short closing distance impacts. The risk of sustaining a mild impact was approximately the same (RR = 1.06) for short closing distance impacts compared to long closing distance collisions. All other analyses were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). Refer to Table 1 for all statistical analyses.
DISCUSSION

The primary finding demonstrated that during a collegiate football game long closing distance impacts sustained during special team plays were the most severe. Another key finding was that long closing distance impacts are more severe than short closing distance impacts. Previous research has analyzed collisions among football players at the high school, college, and professional levels, but had not isolated special teams from offensive and defensive plays when assessing the biomechanical risk factors of concussion. The purpose of this study was to determine if special team players experience more severe head impacts than offensive and defensive players during competitions. A secondary purpose was to determine if collisions occurring after a long closing distance are more severe than those occurring after a short closing distance.

Our hypothesis was that players on special teams would experience more severe biomechanical measures of head impact severity during long closing distance collisions than players participating on offensive and defensive plays during short closing distance collisions. In our sample, long closing distance collisions on special teams were the most severe, while special teams and defensive collisions that occurred following a short closing distance were the least severe impacts as measured by Head Impact Technology severity profile (HITsp). Previous studies suggest that professional football players are at a greatest risk of concussion during special team plays. Specifically, kickoffs and punts had the first and second largest concussion risk, respectively. Although the current study did not analyze the risk of concussion, our results indicate that impacts
occurring during special teams are more severe than those occurring during offensive and
defensive plays.

Long closing distance collisions were more severe than short closing distance
collisions as measured by linear acceleration, rotational acceleration, and HITsp. This
parallels research that suggest that a greater number of injuries occur with tackling, which
is more likely to occur following a long closing distance than we would expect with
blocking.22

At the professional level, research has indicated that linemen are less likely to
sustain concussions than quarterbacks, wide receivers, defensive backs, and special team
players.22 Further, collegiate linemen experience severe impacts less frequently than skill
players.25 Our results coincide, illustrating that long closing distance collisions tend to be
more severe. It can be speculated, therefore, that athletes who sustain short closing
distance collisions may be less likely to sustain a concussion.

Collisions occurring on special teams and offense, although not significantly
different, were more severe than those occurring on defense for linear and rotational
acceleration. Significant difference between play types were observed for measures of
HITsp, supporting that impacts sustained on special team plays are more severe than
impacts sustained on defense. One reason that HITsp may differ from linear acceleration
and rotational acceleration is because it accounts for head location of impact. These
results correspond with previous research at the collegiate level showing that offensive
backs sustained the greatest magnitude impacts.21 These differences may explain why
previous studies have observed that offensive players—including any special team plays
they may have participated in—are at greater risk for concussion.7
Our results suggest that there may be differences in head impact severity characteristics between collegiate and high school football players. Previous studies have observed that high school defensive linemen had the largest linear acceleration among position groups. We speculate that high school football players may not yet possess the same cervical muscle strength as collegiate players. Therefore, different position groups may be at greater risk of severe impacts.\(^2\) There is also a greater likelihood that high school football players participate in both offensive and defensive (i.e. two-way player) and the results reported by Broglio et al. may not distinguish between these two play types. While the Broglio et al. study and ours appear to disagree on which player type sustains higher magnitude impacts, both studies agree that top-of-helmet collisions are the most severe.\(^2,21\) All positions are at risk for concussion; however, there is currently no true consensus on what positions are at the greatest risk of concussion. Our study does indicate, that when head impact location is taken in to account with HITsp, players are at greater risk of severe impacts during special team plays than defensive plays.\(^2,7,12,21,25-27\)

**Clinical Implications**

This study is the first to isolate special team collisions from offensive and defensive collisions in college football. It is also the first to differentiate between long and short closing distance collisions. Our results show that the most dangerous collisions are those following long closing distances. Organizations that oversee football like the National Football League,\(^22-24,26-28\) Canadian Football League,\(^6\) and National Collegiate Athletic Association are assessing the need for rule changes to protect football players. Over the last year, media attention has brought concussions in football to the forefront.
One recommendation the National Football League is considering involves altering kickoff locations and rules. Rule changes to make the game safer are not new.

In 1975, helmets were introduced and standardized by the National Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment resulting in a decrease in skull fractures.\textsuperscript{18} This originally led to an increase in spinal injuries as players used their helmeted head as a weapon to impart force on the opposing player. The National Collegiate Athletic Association in conjunction with National Alliance Football Rules Committee altered the rules to eliminate the use of the crown of the helmet as a striking point which subsequently reduced the incidence of cervical spine injuries in football.\textsuperscript{18} Recently, rules have been changed in an effort to decrease the risk of concussion. For example, teams are no longer permitted to have more than two players form a single wedge on kickoff plays. There is an effort to decrease the risk of severe impacts and likelihood of injury and, thus, improve injury prevention in American football.

We found that there are a greater number of severe collisions (linear $\geq 106g$; rotational $\geq 7900$ rad/s\textsuperscript{2}) following long closing distances than those following short closing distances. Additionally, special teams had a greater risk of severe collisions compared to offensive and defensive plays. Altering plays that often result in long closing distances may be the most effective way of reducing the number of severe head impacts. We speculate that by altering punts and kickoffs, we could plausibly decrease the acceleration potential of players during these special team plays. In youth leagues, the game of football is changing to limit the long closing distance collisions, although research has not assessed if this does reduce the risk of injury. One suggestion to decrease injuries in football is to eliminate the punt game, as in some youth football
leagues. Holding teams from punting on fourth down would change a special team play into a more traditional offense and defense play. This rule change would eliminate those high risk impacts and one positive to the game would be that more scoring could happen with the better field position. However, if kickoffs are still a part of the game, more scoring would lead to more kickoffs and more impacts that the rule change would be trying to prevent.

We acknowledge that protecting the football athlete may present a dilemma for those most concerned with preserving the game. In order to protect football players from the long closing distance collisions that occur on kickoffs, the most drastic option is to eliminate them. Kickoffs would not be a risk if every team started on the 20-yard line, similar to a touchback, except without the option of a return. However, this eliminates the onside kick altogether. The onside kick is a rare, but significant, option in close games as the remaining game time is running out. A less dramatic change would be to change the field location from where a team kicks off. By advancing the kickoff team ten yards, the players would cover a shorter distance. Although these closing distances would still be considered long as we have operationally defined them in this study, it would increase the likelihood of touchbacks by the receiving team and, thus, decrease the frequency of collisions during special team plays. An additional option would be to eliminate the ten-yard run-up to the line of scrimmage prior to kickoff. This would decrease the acceleration potential for the kicking team. Potential rule changes have negatives and positives, and each must be weighed carefully before a rule is changed.
**Clinical Significance**

While football is already considered a high-risk sport, this study suggests that particular collisions may place athletes at a higher risk of injury. There may already be a heightened sense of awareness by the athletic trainer when the special teams unit takes to the field. This study suggests that this heightened awareness may be warranted. Long closing distance collisions yielded more severe impacts than short closing distance collisions. A concussion can occur from any collision, but those collisions that have been considered more severe could place athletes at a higher risk of injury than those less severe impacts.

**Limitations**

We acknowledge some limitations of this study and the ability for its generalization to all football populations. Concussions were not analyzed in this study. Instead, we speculate that through an increased head impact severity the likelihood of a resulting concussion increases. Also, we only assessed biomechanical data and are making inferences to concussion risk without having tracked athlete exposure and identifying injuries in our sample. Football rules, skill, and fashion of play vary from Pop Warner, high school, and college to professional. Therefore, it is important to be careful when comparing this study with research at the professional or high school levels. Even within our sample of collegiate athletes, age and experience may play an additional role in resultant head impact severity on special team plays. In addition, this study did not look at cumulative impacts, which could present additional information beyond that which was observed in this study. Some of our significant findings demonstrated small mean differences in head impact severity. While in isolation, a single head impact of this
magnitude is not likely to cause injury. To date, researchers remain unsure how these seemingly small increases may affect short- and long-term risk of concussion in athletes who sustain many impacts over the course of a single game, season, or career.

A limited number of collisions were assessed, and only those for which we had biomechanical data were eligible. Therefore, many of the same players had multiple impacts reviewed. Player tendencies may mask what occurs within collisions where a magnitude was not recorded. In addition, not all players on the field recorded observable impacts. This could alter our internal validity, since it is possible that the attitudes of those whose impacts we observed may hit harder or use their head more than the athletes with fewer observable impacts.

We did our best to eliminate experimenter bias by grading the impacts while blinded to the biomechanical measures; however, when observing the impacts the experimenter may have judged impacts occurring following close to the ten-yard mark differently depending where the athlete moved on field and what markings were available to be utilized. We acknowledge that a collision resulting from a long closing distance of 50 yards may not be the same as a collision resulting from a long closing distance of 10 yards. While we considered controlling for a continuous measure of closing distance, we were unable to accurately capture these data based on video footage. As such, our data were categorized into the two classifications of closing distance—short and long.

The external validity of this study is limited by the specific situation in which we collected our data. We only assessed and reviewed collisions in game situations and only a subset of the total number of players who participated in those twelve games in the collegiate setting. This can limit our transferability to other situations.
Further Research

Future research is necessary to further investigate which football players are at greatest risk for severe collisions and concussions. This assessment could be replicated at the high school and professional levels in addition to duplication at the collegiate level. Anecdotal evidence has also suggested that beginning in a three-point stance increases the severity of collisions; additional research can evaluate this in addition to how possession of the ball affects impact severity. Further research should also investigate offensive run plays compared to offensive pass plays in an effort to assess the hammer-nail theory. This theory considers who experiences the more severe impact, the player who is getting hit (nail) or the player who is doing the hitting (hammer).
CONCLUSIONS

College football players are at greater risk for concussion during games compared to practices.\textsuperscript{7,12} This study demonstrates that collisions in games following long closing distances are more severe than collisions following short distances. Special team impacts following long closing distances are the most severe types of collisions in collegiate football games. Additionally, an association exists between closing distance and the likelihood of sustaining an impact with a linear acceleration in excess of 106 g or a rotational acceleration in excess of 7900 rad/s\textsuperscript{2}. This suggests that in order to decrease the impact severity of collisions in football, rule changes to limit long closing distance impacts may be necessary.
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Table 1: Frequency (percentage) of recorded impacts, mean resultant linear acceleration, rotational acceleration, and HITsp of head impacts sustained by play type and closing distance. The associated 95% confidence intervals and P values are provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Play Type</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
<th>Linear Acceleration (g) Mean</th>
<th>95 % CI Lower</th>
<th>95 % CI Upper</th>
<th>P&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Rotational Acceleration (rad/s&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;) Mean</th>
<th>95 % CI Lower</th>
<th>95 % CI Upper</th>
<th>P&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>HITsp Mean</th>
<th>95 % CI Lower</th>
<th>95 % CI Upper</th>
<th>P&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Test&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>1442.4</td>
<td>1330.5</td>
<td>1563.8 (Ref)</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>1442.4</td>
<td>1329.1</td>
<td>1565.4</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>17.0 (Ref)</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Teams&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>582 (25.87)</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>26.6 (Ref)</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>1442.4</td>
<td>1330.5</td>
<td>1563.8 (Ref)</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>17.0 (Ref)</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offense</td>
<td>889 (39.51)</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>1442.4</td>
<td>1329.1</td>
<td>1565.4</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense</td>
<td>779 (34.62)</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>1392.6</td>
<td>1307.2</td>
<td>1483.5</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Test&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>1442.4</td>
<td>1330.5</td>
<td>1563.8 (Ref)</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>1442.4</td>
<td>1329.1</td>
<td>1565.4</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>17.0 (Ref)</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing Distance</td>
<td>2250&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1430.4</td>
<td>1342.0</td>
<td>1515.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> P values are relative to the reference category used by the random intercepts general mixed linear model analyses

<sup>2</sup> Global Test refers to the omnibus model evaluating the null hypothesis of no differences between any categories for this variable

<sup>3</sup> Denotes the reference (Ref) category

<sup>4</sup> Closing distance has four fewer impacts due to inability to identify closing distance for those cases
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