

# **Cultural Trauma of 287(g) and the Growth of Solidarity in the Latino Community**

**Carmen Huerta-Bapat**

A thesis submitted to the faculty of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Masters in the Department of Sociology.

Chapel Hill  
2012

Approved By:  
Karolyn Tyson  
Jacqueline Hagan  
Ted Mouw

## **ABSTRACT**

**CARMEN HUERTA-BAPAT: Cultural Trauma of 287(g) and the Growth of Solidarity  
in the Latino Community  
(under the direction of Karolyn Tyson)**

Section 287(g) of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Responsibility Act of 1996 authorizes local police officers to detect, detain and deport undocumented immigrants during routine patrols (Vaughan & Edwards, 2009). This program is producing a considerable increase in deportations, obstructing the daily activities of documented and undocumented Latinos alike, and limiting their interactions with public institutions. Using Sztompka's (2000) framework of "cultural trauma," I conducted 20 semi-structured in-depth interviews with adult Latin American immigrants living in two adjacent cities in North Carolina. Long Hill is known as a "sanctuary" location since 287(g) is not enforced by local police officers; Montgomery City, on the other hand, actively enforces the program. I found that rather than incapacitating Latinos, 287(g) has provided an impetus for increased Latino solidarity across nationalities and has advanced a sense of linked fate among Latinos.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES .....	iv
Chapter	
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
287(g) and the Latino Community .....	2
287(g) as Cultural Trauma .....	5
II. DATA AND METHODS .....	9
The Sample and Recruitment Techniques .....	11
The Informants .....	12
Data Analysis .....	15
Themes .....	15
III. RESULTS .....	17
Knowledge of the Law .....	17
Fear and Frustration .....	22
Need to Drive .....	27
Cooperation .....	29
Plan of Action .....	33
IV. DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS .....	35
APPENDIX .....	38
REFERENCES .....	40

## LIST OF TABLES

Table

1. Long Hill .....	13
2. Montgomery City .....	14

## **I. Introduction**

In 1996, the Illegal Immigration Reform and Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) amended the Immigration and Nationality Act by adding section 287(g). This amendment allowed the federal government to create a partnership with state and local law enforcement offices and US Immigration and Customs Enforcement. These official partnerships, commonly known as Memorandums of Agreement, authorize local police officers to detect, detain and deport undocumented immigrants during routine patrols (Vaughan & Edwards, 2009). The implementation of this program is producing a considerable increase in deportations. By 2011, local authorities identified 186,000 individuals for removal (Parrado, 2011). Some interpret the increase in deportations as a success while critics of the program argue that it violates the human rights of the undocumented immigrants. These critics claim that 287(g) gives local authorities incentives to racially profile and stop Latinos for minor traffic violations in order to increase their number of deportations (Capps, Rosenblum, Rodriguez, & Chisti, 2011; Vaughan & Edwards, 2009).

The implication of this argument is that 287(g) obstructs the daily activities of Latinos and limits their interactions with public institutions. However, the effect of the law on the Latino community remains largely underexplored (Capps, Rosenblum, Rodriguez, & Chisti, 2011). While many studies simply assume that the law incapacitates Latinos, few studies explore whether this is actually occurring within the Latino

community. This study examines how members of the Latino community respond to the implementation of 287(g). I argue that 287(g) is an example of a cultural trauma that affects nearly every aspect of the daily lives of documented and undocumented Latinos. The key question of interest is how members within this community have responded to this cultural trauma. Using interview data, I demonstrate that rather than incapacitating the group, 287(g) has increased the solidarity of Latinos of various nationalities and advanced a sense of linked fate (Dawson, 1995), which has resulted in a marked level of intra-group cooperation.

This study proceeds in four steps. First, I discuss the recent work on 287(g) and make the case that the enactment of this law produced a cultural trauma for the local Latino community. Second, I will discuss the expectations from the literature on cultural traumas for how the Latino community should respond to 287(g). I next outline my research design and discuss the execution of my interviews with the Latino community in the state of North Carolina. I conclude by discussing the results from my interviews, which demonstrate that while 287(g) does represent a cultural trauma, it has not incapacitated the community. Instead, the trauma of 287(g) has increased the sense of linked fate among Latinos and produced a series of cooperative interactions aimed at increasing their collective security.

### *287(g) and the Latino Community*

Traditionally, the task of regulating immigration across borders is the responsibility of the national government (Torpey, 1998). Although the federal government maintained control of immigration policy in the U.S. for most of its history,

this responsibility is shifting more toward localities and other sub-state actors (Armenta, 2011). The Illegal Immigration and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, which previously served as the federal government's tool to control the movement of populations across national borders, has now been extended by the addition of key provisions designed to empower local governments to assume these responsibilities. 287(g), or the delegation of authority program of the Immigration and Nationality Act, represents the partnership designed to increase ties between state and local law enforcement offices and US Immigration and Customs Enforcement. Theoretically, the partnership between the federal and local levels of government is intended to create a more equitable distribution of responsibility and authority when enforcing crime and immigration controls (Nguyen and Gill 2010). Proponents of the program praise its ability to successfully detect and deport visa over-stayers (Baker McNeill, 2009). On the other hand, a developing literature indicates that the shift in the focus of policing from the border to the interior has produced several detrimental consequences for the Latino community (Gilbert, 2009; Weissman and Headen, 2009; Nguyen and Gill, 2009; Miller, 2008).

Fear, distrust of police and avoidance of public spaces by immigrants are some of the consequences resulting from the widespread policing in immigrant neighborhoods and the processing of traffic violations through the 287(g) program (Capps, Rosenblum, Rodriguez, & Chisti, 2011). Additionally, a comprehensive report on 287(g), conducted by the American Civil Liberties Union of North Carolina and the Immigration and Human Rights Policy Clinic at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, indicates that policing tactics have resulted in tense relations between police and immigrants,

heightened levels of racial profiling, and numerous civil rights violations (Weissman and Headen, 2009). Police efforts to restrain and control immigrant communities are most evident in the strategic placement of what is commonly referred to in the Latino community as “retenes” or roadblocks around locations most frequented by immigrants. For example, in Alamance County, Gill (2010) mentions the placement of “retenes” outside Sunday morning Spanish church services, Latino grocery stores, and neighborhoods. These barriers often affect the way Latinos structure their daily activities by limiting their interactions with different public institutions (Capps, Rosenblum, Rodriguez, & Chisti, 2011).

While the literature identifies rising tensions between police and immigrant groups as a result of 287(g), very little has been done to explore the consequences of these conditions for the day-to-day activities of individual immigrants in the U.S. Several scholars refer to the consequences of increased policing as the politics of incapacitation (Gilbert, 2009; Legomsky, 2007). According to Gilbert, “in entering the realm of immigration politics which had previously been exclusively a federal domain, local governments have taken a wide range of measures designed to deter and incapacitate (unauthorized) migrants from settling within national borders” (Gilbert, 2009:32). The consequences of these targeting schemes by local police officers are devastating in the Latino community. Specifically, the targeting schemes have changed simple tasks such as driving to the grocery store, taking a family member to a doctor’s appointment, or transporting a child to school into complicated, fear inducing events (Capps, Rosenblum, Rodriguez, & Chisti, 2011).

### *287(g) as Cultural Trauma*

Over the years, 287(g) has produced an aggressive and targeted program of enforcement by local authorities against the Latino community. The law, coupled with a sharp decrease in the levels of trust and cooperation between Latinos and police officers, has substantially increased the daily challenges of the community (Capps, Rosenblum, Rodriguez, & Chisti, 2011). Since the enactment of 287(g) has led to a sharp increase in policing against the Latino community, the passing of this law fits the definition of a trauma. In medical terminology, the term trauma is defined as the impact of an “event leaving long term, destructive effects on the body, incapacitating it in some important respect” (Sztompka, 2000: 451).

While the concept of trauma is traditionally utilized in the medical/psychiatric field to explain the impact of a debilitating event on the body and mind, the concept was extended to the sociological domain in the late twentieth century (Caruth, 1995, 1996; Maruyama, 1996; Neal, 1998). In the pioneering work, Pitrim Sorokin explained the adverse effects of the ‘trauma’ of change on the ‘body’ of the changing society (Sztompka 2000). Following this extension, Sorokin (1967) analyzed the effects of the Soviet Revolution of 1917 on the sociological rubric of the society, and found that the trauma of the revolution contributed to declining fertility rates, the onset of famine, spreading of disease, and increased instances of mental disturbances.

Recent studies further discuss a phenomenon known as cultural trauma (Sztompka, 2000; Hughson & Spaaij, 2011). The use of the cultural trauma concept as a theoretical framework allows scholars to explain “episodes of social change that cause

significant breakdown and dislocation to ways of living” (Hughson & Spaaij, 2011: 284). Sztompka highlights numerous events as likely to elicit trauma in a society, including revolution, market collapse, radical economic reform, ethnic cleansing or genocide, terrorism, new revelations about the past, revisionist interpretations of a heroic traditions, collapse of empires, and forced migration or deportations. An event must possess the following four general characteristics in order to be able to elicit a traumatic response. The occurrence must be (1) sudden, (2) radical and altering to the core, (3) imposed (4) and perceived as shocking and repulsive by the receiving community (Sztompka, 2000). It is important to mention that Sztompka believes that the conceptualization of trauma is both a subjective and objective endeavor and consequently asserts that the trauma elicited by an event varies significantly. He believes that the trauma elicited by genocide, extermination, mass murder or deportation is not the same as the trauma that a crash on the stock exchange can bring about to a society, yet this does not mean that there are no traumatic implications in the society.

Sztompka argues that there are six conditions necessary for an event to cause a traumatic outcome. At the outset, a structural condition must be present for the emergence of a trauma. Sztompka mentions a clash between two cultures significant enough to cause tension and a sense of despair in the collective identity of a group as a possible structural condition. The second stage involves a disruption in the day-to-day activities of those affected. The third stage focuses on the collective conceptualization of trauma. Sztompka argues that the process of defining, framing and interpreting a traumatic event does not occur in a vacuum but rather emerges from the surrounding community. The influence of Durkheim is evident in the conceptualization of this term

where a cultural trauma is defined as a ‘social fact’, where “its wounds affect people collectively” (Hughson & Spaaij, 2011). The fourth stage suggests that the disruption can potentially lead to a complete restoration of their routines as well as their patterns of acting and thinking (Sztompka, 2000). The fifth stage in Sztompka’s sequence highlights that different societal groups vary in both their sensitivity and response to culturally traumatic events, while the sixth stage suggests that groups may exhibit four types of responses to cultural traumas. Building on the work of Merton (1938), groups may pursue innovation, where they adopt legal and illegal ways to improve their levels of social capital to insulate the group against trauma. Alternatively, groups may engage in rebellion against their culture, embrace their culture to an even greater extent through ritualism, or pursue retreatism and attempt to forget about the trauma entirely.

The enactment of 287(g) meets the four necessary characteristics mentioned by Sztompka for an event to elicit a traumatic outcome, and the evidence from several studies in the literature suggests that this trauma effectively incapacitates the Latino community. Capps et al. (2011) cite evidence that the increased roadblocks and traffic stops lead immigrant populations to avoid public places. Some law enforcement officials participating in this study further indicated that Latinos were less likely to report crimes, and that overall satisfaction with the police among Latinos sharply declined following the implementation of the law. The results of a study by Nguyen and Gill (2009) provide greater evidence that pressures from 287(g) incapacitate local Latino populations. The results from their survey of fifty Latino and non-Latino respondents residing in North Carolina indicate that Latinos are increasingly reluctant to report crimes and/or cooperate with police authorities, despite the pattern that Latinos were increasingly likely to be

crime victims. Weissman and Headen (2009) further argue that fears of law enforcement cause Latinos to avoid cooperating or reporting crimes to the police despite the increasing criminal activities against this community.

Each of these studies suggests that 287(g) incapacitates Latinos by heightening the threat of deportation. However, while this conclusion is reasonable given the evidence, Sztompka (2000) argues that different societal groups vary in both their sensitivity and response to culturally traumatic events. If Sztompka's analysis is correct, we cannot be certain as to what extent 287(g) has incapacitated the Latino community. It is possible that while 287(g) does incapacitate Latinos to some extent, individuals within the community may exhibit greater levels of innovation, ritualism, or rebellion. Therefore, in order to understand the effect of 287(g) on the undocumented community, we require a more in depth study of how Latinos live with the pressures of 287(g).

In this study, I capitalize on the natural laboratory that North Carolina provides in terms of the range of enforcement of 287(g) across local municipalities to investigate the impact of this legislation on the daily activities of individual immigrants. North Carolina represents an ideal location in that it has a higher number of local jurisdictions, commonly known as non-sanctuary cities, implementing the program than any other state while simultaneously containing a wide range of sanctuary cities where 287(g) is not enforced. I am particularly interested in exploring whether the local enforcement of 287(g) has incapacitated immigrants in any specific way, and how this provision affects the daily routines of the members of this group.

## **II. Data and Methods**

In order to study the impact that 287(g) has on the day-to-day activities of immigrants, I conducted semi-structured interviews with adults over the age of 18 who have immigrated to North Carolina from Latin America. To explore whether the day-to-day activities of Latino immigrants is influenced by 287(g), I sought participants from two locations that have different enforcement mechanisms of 287(g)<sup>1</sup>. Southeastern city Long Hill is known as a “sanctuary” location since 287(g) is not enforced by local police officers. Montgomery City, on the other hand, actively enforces the program. I recruited ten participants from Long Hill and ten from Montgomery City. Long Hill is located in the southeast corner of Apple County, enclosed on the west by the town of Marrboro and on the northeast by Montgomery City. According to the 2010 U.S Census, it is among the top 20<sup>th</sup> largest cities in North Carolina with a population of 55,000 people living in 21,000 households. The current racial composition of Long Hill is 73% White, 10% African American, 12% Asian and 7% Latino. This is an increase from the 5% of Latinos residing in Long Hill in 2000 (U.S Bureau of the Census 2010).

Montgomery City is contiguous to Long Hill and it is located less than 30 miles from the Virginia border in the northeast corner of North Carolina’s central Piedmont region. According to the 2010 Census, it is among the top 10<sup>th</sup> largest cities in the state with 228,000 residents living in 105,000 households. The racial composition of

---

<sup>1</sup> The actual names of the sanctuary and non-sanctuary cities are not presented to protect the confidentiality of the interviewees.

Montgomery City is 47% White, 40% African American, 5% Asian and 15% Latinos, which is a significant increase from the 8% of Latinos residing in Montgomery City in 2000 (U.S Bureau of the Census 2010).

The decision to interview rather than to conduct surveys or focus groups is purely driven by the questions that I seek to answer. Since I will be exploring immigrants' understandings of and experiences with 287(g) and their responses to its implementation, the rigidity of survey work where questions and answers are pre-established not only serves as a "crude" instrument for data collection, but also fails to capture the uniqueness of each individual's experience (Becker and Geer 1957).

When participants are forced to select from a pre-established set of responses, the researcher is precluded from hearing how each participant verbalizes his/her experiences (Lofland et al. 2006). On the other hand, conducting open-ended semi-structured interviews allowed me to document the unique experience, voice and need of each participant. Due to the sensitive topics discussed, it was important to use a method that provided me with the flexibility necessary to change the wording and/or order of questions as deemed necessary (Lofland et al. 2006). This method allowed me to observe the participants' verbal and physical cues and to adapt my questions based on their characteristics and individual responses. For example in some interviews, the respondents felt the need to switch languages (from English to Spanish) in order to better convey their responses. I am a Latina immigrant from Mexico, so being bilingual and bi-cultural allowed me to quickly adjust my questions and follow up responses to enhance clarification.

### *The Sample and Recruitment Techniques*

During the spring and summer of 2011, I conducted 20 semi-structured in depth interviews with immigrants residing in two local North Carolina communities (Long Hill and Montgomery City). I recruited subjects from two different networks via a snowball sampling technique. This can potentially lead to selection bias, because the sample may consist of subjects with similar demographic characteristics. However, because immigrants can constitute a hard to access population, obtaining referrals through personal networks for interviewees was the best method of gaining access.

One network was established through the Human Rights Center in Long Hill. In the Fall of 2009, I volunteered at the Human Rights center where I met Marta. Marta and I became friends and over time she introduced me to her core group of friends. Over the past year, I attended multiple social gatherings where I was introduced to the Long Hill Latino community. I had the opportunity to serve as their translator, tutor and friend to many individuals within this community. Most of the people interviewed for this project were found on a referral basis. Typically, a mutual friend would introduce me to them, discuss my project, and ask individuals to call if interested in participating.

I established a second network while conducting participant observations at a shopping center in Montgomery City, where I met a group of Latinos. They were local fixtures at this shopping mall as they diligently passed out church fliers every Sunday afternoon. As they became more familiar with me, they referred more people to my study.

### *The Informants*

The informants are immigrants from various Latin American countries, including Mexico, Honduras, El Salvador, Venezuela, Colombia, Peru and Bolivia (see Tables 1 and 2). Out of the twenty informants, six are males and the remaining fourteen are females. The vast majority of them are married, the exceptions being a participant in a committed relationship, a single mother, and one single adult under the age of 25. The professions represented in the sample are restaurant and food service, customer service, house cleaning services, homemakers and program managers at various non-profit associations and churches. Fourteen of the participants self-reported as undocumented within the first ten minutes of the interview and six reported having legal status in the US to live and work. All of the fourteen undocumented participants volunteered to share their legal status.

In order to calm the nerves of the informants, I would start the interview with a light and causal conversation about the weather or something recently mentioned on the Spanish news. Once I felt that they were more comfortable, I asked them a few demographic questions such as: How long have you been at your current residence? Most of the time they responded by giving me the exact date of their arrival to the U.S. This allowed me to probe into their country of origin.

When they finished recounting their vivid memories of their arrival into the U.S., I would steer the conversation to their day-to-day routines in the U.S. as recent immigrants. I asked the following questions: Tell me about your daily routine. Tell me about a typical weekday. What are some of the things that you do in the morning? How

do you get to work? Do you have a vehicle? Do you use public transportation? Do you share transportation with someone in your household? How about with someone outside your household? Do you currently have a North Carolina driver's license? After asking about their daily routines, they all would inevitably mention the problems that they had with obtaining a valid driver's license. This was a natural transition for me to inquire about the challenges that they faced and to ask whether they had ever been stopped by the local police while driving<sup>2</sup>.

**Table 1: Long Hill**

Name	Marital Status	Number of Children	Education Level	Country of Origin	Length of time in U.S in years	Status	Expired Drivers License	Profession
1.Manuela	Married	3	Some Elementary	Mexico	11	Undocumented	Yes	Customer Service-Food Industry
2.Chely	Married	2	Some High school	Mexico	9	Undocumented	Yes	Has a Hair Salon in her house
3.Luz	Married	3	Some High school	Mexico	20	Documented	No	Business Owner-House Cleaning Company
4.Manuel	Married	1	University Degree	Peru	11	Undocumented	Yes	Service Food Industry-Management Position
5.Sara	Married	1	Completed High School	Peru	10	Undocumented	Yes	Domestic Worker and volunteers at a local Long City church
6.Marta	Married	3	Completed High School	Mexico	14	Undocumented	Yes	Domestic Worker
7.Carmen	Married	3	Some Elementary	Mexico	16	Documented	No	Nanny
8.Conchis	Committed Relationship	1	Some Elementary	Mexico	6	Undocumented	Yes	Stay home mother
9.Luis	Married	0	University	Colombia	*	Documented	No	Church Leader
10. Pablo	Married	3	University	Venezuela	*	Documented	No	Church Leader

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix for the complete interview guide.

**Table 2: Montgomery City**

Name	Marital Status	Number of Children	Education Level	Country of Origin	Length of time in U.S in years	Status	Expired Drivers License	Profession
1. Jose	Married	3	Some high-school	Honduras	12	Undocumented	Yes	Construction Worker
2. Bertha	Single	2	Completed high school	Mexico	17	Undocumented	Yes	Domestic Worker
3. Victor	Single	0	Completed High School	Mexico	17	Undocumented	Yes	Customer Service-Retail
4. Maria	Married	2	Elementary	Mexico	16	Undocumented	Yes	Stay home mom
5. Lourdes	Married	2	Elementary	Mexico	4	Undocumented	Yes	Nanny
6. Vessy	Married	2	Some Elementary	Honduras	10	Undocumented	Yes	Nanny
7. Elodia	Married	3	Some High School	Mexico	4	Undocumented	Yes	Customer Service-Food Industry
8. Norma	Married	2	Some High School	Mexico	18	Undocumented	Yes	Customer Service-Food Industry-Managerial Position
9. Katushna	Married	1	University Degree	Bolivia	*	Documented	No	Church Leader
10. Willie	Married	0	University Degree	Chile	*	Documented	No	Church Leader

\* Missing

The interviews lasted from one hour to two hours and were conducted in the language of the informant's choice: Spanish or English or a combination of both. Fifteen interviews were conducted in Spanish, one interview was conducted in English and four were conducted in a combination of English and Spanish. I conducted the interviews in the privacy of a participant's homes or at a location of their choice. Eight were conducted at a participant's home; six at a public space such as a coffee shop or park and six were conducted at the participant's work site. With the participant's consent, all interviews were recorded using a digital device.

## *Data Analysis*

The interview transcripts and field notes were analyzed using an ongoing and interactive approach to data analysis and interpretation known as modified grounded theory. According to Glaser and Strauss (1967) analytical codes and categories are created from the data and used to re-conceptualize the focus of the study and/or the questions asked. This approach requires the researchers to note emerging patterns and to explore the relationships between categories (Glaser and Strauss 1967).

After transcribing each interview, I began the open coding process by reading “line by line” through each interview (Emerson et al. 1995). As I coded more and more interviews, and begun seeing emerging patterns I proceeded with a more focused coding approach which allowed me to better “synthesize and explain larger segments of data” (Charmaz 2006:57). This approach allowed me to note overarching themes and to maintain ongoing descriptive and analytical memos to document the current research. I also used these memos to help me re-focus the direction of the research (Emerson et al. 1995). The following are a selected list of the coding themes that emerged from the data.

### *Themes*

- Knowledge of the law
- Fear and Frustration
- Need to drive
- Cooperation
- Plan of Action

After creating a list of themes I went back through the interview transcripts numerous times and extracted the quotes that better exemplified the themes described. I added the list of themes into a word document and added segments of data under each pertinent

theme. I also identified several characteristics of interest such as length of residence in the U.S, gender, legal status, possession of a valid driver's license, city of residence, knowledge of 287(g) in order to further analyze emerging patterns. The following section presents the results of this analysis.

### **III. Results**

Despite the fact that 287(g) gives local police officers the tools to act as deportation agents by allowing them to ask for proof of legal status when stopping immigrants during traffic violations, it is not the law itself, but rather the lack of a valid driver's license that appears to traumatize the Latino community with the fear of possible deportation. Previous literature discusses the "politics of incapacitation" as an outcome facing the immigrant community. Yet, my research presents evidence to the contrary, in that while immigrants appear aware of the potential implications of not having a license, their strategies suggest anything but incapacitation. Instead, immigrants' awareness of the possible negative outcomes not only allows them to exhibit agency at the individual level, but also at the community level as they cope with the daily challenges. Immigrants appear undeterred by threats of deportation, and utilize community cohesiveness and at times extralegal activities to manage the risks associated with their daily activities, particularly driving. The following sections will explore each of the themes listed above in greater detail.

#### *Knowledge of the Law*

The majority of the informants (70%) self reported that they were undocumented within the first ten minutes of the interview. The vast majority of the immigrants interviewed have resided in the U.S for over 10 years. Only four of the participants reported having arrived to the U.S less than 10 years ago. The implementation of the Real

ID Act of 2005, requiring every individual applying for a driver's license to present a social security number, prevented the majority of them from renewing their North Carolina driver's license. All of the respondents immediately mentioned the mental hardship of driving without a valid driver's license and their constant fear of deportation. Interestingly, those who had a valid driver's license also expressed concern about the immediate threat that those without a license face on a daily basis. One participant with a valid driver's license told me "it is very sad to see them worry, even though I have a license, la mayoria se mueve sin licencia." (the majority of them move around without a license). (Luis, male documented immigrant from Long Hill).

In discussing 287(g), it became apparent that the respondents were unfamiliar with the specifics of the law, but overwhelmingly identified 287(g) as the "licensing issue". Although 287(g) allows local police officers to access national databases to search for a person's immigration status when stopped for a minor traffic violation, the participants overwhelmingly associated the fear of deportation with being stopped by police officers and being unable to show a valid driver's license. According to most of the informants, they were detained because they were unable to provide a valid form of identification or as Jose states:

-“Conozco a varios incluso los han deportado...porque los han agarrado manejando sin licencia y han ido a la carcel y los han deportado. Me platican que los pararon que la policia los paro que les checo la licencia y ya estaba vencida y los llevo a la carcel y los agarro migracion y los deporto.” – Jose, male, undocumented immigrant from Long Hill

“I know a lot of people that have been stopped because they were caught driving without a license. They were sent to jail and some have even been deported. They told me that the cops stopped them, checked their license and since it was expired took them to jail, some were taken by immigration and deported.”

Interestingly, only nine of the respondents demonstrated specific knowledge of 287(g) by describing it, as Sara (female, undocumented immigrant from Long Hill) did, as the law “...used to detain immigrants who have a warrant for their arrest or those with previous crimes on their records.” All of the informants with a college degree were familiar with the specifics of 287(g) and were able to articulate that the original intent of 287(g) was to target those with a criminal record.

A surprising finding from the interviews is that there was no evidence to suggest that living in a non-sanctuary city increases an immigrants’ specific knowledge of 287(g). While it makes sense to assume that immigrants living in “hot spots” or locations with higher enforcement levels should be more aware of their ensuing dangers, and as such be more likely to possess concrete knowledge of 287(g), this was not the case. Familiarity with 287(g) was roughly equal in both sanctuary and non-sanctuary cities. One explanation to this conundrum can be the contiguous location of Long Hill and Montgomery City. Under these circumstances, immigrants are likely to cross city borders when conducting their normal day-to-day activities, and also less likely to be aware of its implications.

Furthermore, four of the fourteen undocumented respondents reported familiarity with the specifics of 287(g), compared to the five of six documented respondents. Thus, documented respondents appear to be better informed about the particulars of 287(g). Additionally, as the number of years in the U.S. increases, respondents appeared to have more specific knowledge of 287(g). Lastly, male respondents are better informed about the specifics of the law than female immigrants. Almost all of the male respondents were aware of the specifics of 287(g). This might be due to the fact that almost all of the male

respondents had higher levels of education, and that education is a better indicator of how informed immigrants are.

The results from the interviews indicate that specific knowledge of 287(g) is more prevalent among males, those with a college degree, those with legal status and those with more time spent in the U.S. However, it was clear that while not all of the respondents specifically knew what the law was, and tended to refer to it as ‘the licensing issue’, each was aware that local law enforcement appeared to be accelerating enforcement of immigration law. Participants in this study described the rising tensions between Latinos and the receiving community over the past few years. According to Bertha, “cuando yo llegue aqui todo el mundo trabajamos de ilegales y con papeles de otra gente...pero hace unos anos se empezo a poner mas dificial la situation por los documentos ya que nos pedian la identificacion del estado y empezaron a checar en los trabajos ya todo eso” (When I first arrived to the U.S., we all worked as illegals and used someone else’s legal documents...but a few years ago things started to get really hard. Employers and everyone else started asking for state ID’s)<sup>3</sup>.

Additionally, the passage of 287(g) altered the daily routines of local immigrants. Latinos residing in both sanctuary and non-sanctuary cities reported being unable to do the same things that they previously in the past. For example, to some of them the simple act of going to the grocery store to buy sweet bread for dinner became a complicated cost analysis calculation. Chely tells me that every time that she went to the “panaderia” or

---

<sup>3</sup> The qualitative interviews conducted by Nguyen and Gill further corroborate the notion that life in North Carolina was more amenable for immigrants in the past with plentiful jobs, affordable cost of living and an overall more positive perception towards immigrants.

bread store to buy bread for her family, she would ask herself whether it was worth the mental trauma of being potentially stopped by a police officer or best case scenario to receive a fine of 200 dollars. The “bread that would normally cost you 10 dollars ended up costing you 200 dollars, in addition to all of the mental trauma”, explains Chely. Maria shared with me how she had been pulled over by a police officer for allegedly not making a full stop. She states, “me dijeron que no habia hecho un stop y me dio risa porque si alguien es cuidadoso de respetar las reglas soy yo.” (The officer told me that I had not made a full stop and I simply laughed to myself because I know that if anyone is careful to follow the rules its me.) (Maria, female, undocumented immigrant from Montgomery City). A large majority of the participants mentioned the placement of roadblocks in locations most frequented by them. Manuela, a female undocumented immigrant from Long Hill, told me that she was afraid to go to church because she was once pulled over at a roadblock located outside of her church, whereas Maria informed me that there are roadblocks outside of local Latino supermarkets where she has been pulled over in the past. The common experience of the participants in the study is that local police officers seem to be waiting for them to make one small mistake to pull them over, and that if this does not occur they are willing to fabricate mistakes.

Each of the interviewees, including the six that were documented, articulated similar concerns that 287(g) had suddenly altered their social patterns and was imposing considerable stress on their daily activities. The interviews suggest that the ‘licensing issue’ does indeed represent a cultural trauma. The change in legislation was sudden since most interviewed respondents associated the inability to provide a valid driver’s license with deportation when stopped by local police officers as a recent targeting

scheme. The event was radical as it affected their daily activities and driving became a fear-inducing event. The cultural trauma was imposed and repulsive as participants detailed the use of roadblocks to be one of the most frequented methods of detention. The interviews further indicated that the implementation of 287(g) brought about a change in the daily routines of immigrants as they have developed strategies to avoid encounters with the local government<sup>4</sup>. The law fundamentally altered the interaction between local law enforcement and the Latino community by increasing distrust and hostility, while simultaneously producing incentives for local law enforcement to profile Latinos. This enforcement of the law further contributed to changing routines and patterns of behavior, as Latinos sought to avoid encounters with local law governments to avoid their increasingly aggressive deportation efforts. Taken together, we see from the statements that the participants viewed the accelerated enforcement of 287(g) as a rapid and radical change that fundamentally altered their lives, which is consistent with the definition of a cultural trauma. The following section will explore this theme in greater detail.

### *Fear and Frustration*

The majority of the participants expressed to me a sense of frustration when driving. Jose, a male undocumented immigrant from Montgomery City shared with me what he had recently discussed with a close friend. According to Jose,

*“Ya llevo tres años manejando sin licencia”. Precisamente ayer estábamos hablando yo con un amigo mío y yo le platicaba que cuando yo tenía mi licencia yo me sentía seguro en las carreteras seguro completamente seguro y ahora siento temor manejar pero le pido a Dios que siempre que ande manejando que me ayude que no vaya a estar involucrado en un accidente, caer en un reten de licencias y eso y gracias a Dios durante todo ese tiempo que llevo manejando yo manejo todos los días gracias a Dios no he tenido problemas.”*

---

<sup>4</sup> A detailed discussion of the strategies will be presented in the Results section.

“I have been driving without a license for three years now. Just yesterday, I was telling a friend of mine that when I had a license, I felt secure and now I am scared. Every time I drive I pray to God to help me, I ask that he helps me stay away from getting involved in a car accident, falling prey of a roadblock. Thanks to God, nothing has happened to me during all of this time”

Along the same lines, Manuel a male immigrant from Long Hill informed me that with his license having expired three years ago, he feels very “frustrated just like everyone else out there feels.” Elodia expressed her frustration with the police. Her perspective seemed to resonate with many of the participants who discussed the arbitrariness of the police when detaining immigrants. According to Elodia, “sometimes people are stopped because the police sees that they have dark skin, not necessarily because they have committed a traffic violation but because they are dark and the police knows that they most likely don’t have a valid driver’s license” (Elodia, female, undocumented immigrant from Montgomery City). When I asked participants to elaborate on why they were frustrated, the most common response was their fear of being potentially deported. This was a very valid concern, as almost all of the informants in the study knew someone who had been deported or was in the process of being deported after being stopped during a minor traffic violation.

The participants’ fear and frustration concerning deportation became clearer after I asked informants to elaborate on what happened to their friends who were deported after being stopped for a minor traffic violation, their responses were very similar. Norma explained what happened to a friend when the police stopped him.

*“Lo pararon y la familia ya no lo pudo ver, como a los dos meses se lo llevaron lo deportaron para Mexico y ahorita el esta en Mexico. Usualmente cuando te llevan a Atlanta, te dicen por la felonía que hiciste tienes que pagar 200 dolares entonces tu pagas tus 200 dolares pensando que va a salir y ya estuvo pero no porque apenas saliendo de la corte en la primera puerta ya esta migración para mandarte a Mexico.”*  
Norma, female, undocumented immigrant from Long Hill.

“They detained him and his family could not see him when they went to the local jail. They sent him to another jail and he is now in Mexico. Usually they take you to Atlanta and tell you for the felony you had, you will have to pay \$200 and you pay \$200 thinking you will be able to go home but as soon as you start walking out, migration officers are waiting for you to detain you.”- Norma, female, undocumented immigrant from Long Hill

Additionally, Luz, informed me of the timetable immigrants experience when detained by police officers.

*“Por ejemplo cuando arrestan a alguien por ejemplo, si arrestan a alguien el fin de semana que lo agarran borracho, la gente dice apurense a sacarlo de la carcel porque el lunes llega migracion a las carceles a llevarselos a los que no tienes papeles entonces tu tienes que moverte a sacar a tu familiar antes del lunes para que no se lo lleven a Atlanta. Y de Atlanta si no lo recoges, esperan hasta que llenen el camion porque no van a gastar gasolina y pueden durar tres cuatro meses en Atlanta y ya de Atlanta ya se van para Mexico.”-Luz, female, undocumented immigrant from Long Hill.*

“For example, when they arrest a person over the weekend for let’s say drinking and driving, people out there know that you need to hurry up and get him out of jail soon because immigration comes to the jails every Monday to take those without legal papers. Therefore, one needs to hurry up and try to get them out of jail. If not they will transfer him to Atlanta. And in he makes it to Atlanta he might have to wait 3 months waiting to be deported to Mexico. They wait this long because they need to make sure that they fill up a bus of deportees. I mean they are not going to waste gas on sending only a few of them.”- Luz, female, undocumented immigrant from Long Hill.

While deportation clearly represented the participants’ ultimate fear and worst case scenario, the respondents also expressed to me the fear they have of the immediate consequences of being stopped by a police officer. This became even more palpable as almost all of the informants (14) without a valid driver’s license in the study were stopped by a police officer for a minor traffic violation within the past year. Some identified “roadblocks” as the primary reason why the police stopped them. The remaining gave a mix of reasons, including drunk driving and racial profiling. During the respondent’s first violation, the police officer gives them a ticket and asks them to apply for a driver’s license. Bertha, a female, undocumented immigrant from Montgomery City stated, “I am not sure why they do this since they know we cannot apply for a license without a valid social security.” The respondents expressed their concern when receiving

this ticket since the fine ranges from \$150 to \$200. The common response was that they “don’t make that kind of money in a week.” Respondents were all given the choice to appear in court and contest the fine, but none of them, with the exception of Bertha, reported to have actually contested the violation. The other participants mailed in the fine and hoped that it would not happen again. From the information they shared with me, contesting the fine was perceived as a way of attracting unwanted attention to their undocumented legal status.

The majority of the participants were stopped more than once within the last six months, and shared with me what typically occurs during such a stop. After receiving a ticket, the officer updates them on their exact count of traffic violations and warns them that after the third or fourth violation they would be reported to immigration personnel<sup>5</sup>. Each time the participants were stopped by police, regardless of the number of traffic violations on record, the officer would ask them to call someone with a valid driver’s license that could pick them up and drive their car home. The majority of participants conveyed how difficult it was to find a person to do this. For the most part, their immediate family members were also undocumented and consequently did not have a valid driver’s license. Additionally, the few friends who had a valid driver’s license were working and unable to leave their job. Their inability to find someone on a last minute basis to help them became more evident to me when one of them called and asked me to drive her car after she had been stopped by a police officer. She pleaded,

---

<sup>5</sup> Some informants mentioned three times and some mentioned four times as the potential threshold of the number of times being detained before a possible immediate deportation.

*“Carmen, mira no tengo a nadie que me pueda recoger ahorita y si no viene alguien la grua se va a llevar mi dinero, porfavor ayudame.”- Elodia, female immigrant from Long Hill.*

“Carmen, I don’t have anyone that can pick up the car at this moment and if no one comes, they will tow it, please help me.” –Elodia, female immigrant from Long Hill<sup>6</sup>

The informants explained what happens to them if they are unable to find someone immediately. In the best case scenario, they are forced to leave their cars on the side of the road and walk long distances back home. In the worst case scenario, the officer tows their car. Both options present great inconveniences but the latter one brings a high monetary imposition to their already limited budgets. None of the informants interviewed reported being stopped by police officers more than four times and none had been asked by police officers to show proof of citizenship.

The interviews indicate the profound effect of 287(g) has on the lives of the participants, and the palpable sense of fear the law has created in this population. The participants expressed that fear of local authorities as something that causes constant anxiety and concern. The theme of fear and frustration expressed by each of the participants supports the conclusion that 287(g) does indeed represent a cultural trauma for this population. Consistent with Stompka’s description, the interviews indicate that 287(g) has created significant and widespread tension within the community, and that this anxiety is shared across the entire population, including those that are documented. The individual interviews reveal the extreme sense of anxiety within the population and the sense of hopelessness at the hands of the local authorities. The consistency of the theme of fear provides further evidence that the increased enforcement of 287(g) represents a cultural trauma that affects all aspects of the lives of the participants’ community. These

---

<sup>6</sup> I did respond to Elodia’s request for help by picking the car up.

observations lead to the discussion of the next three themes: Need to Drive, Cooperation, and Plan of Action.

### *Need to Drive*

Given that I could clearly observe fear in the participants' eyes when they described their deportation concerns, I asked why they continued to drive at all, despite the obstacles they face. Most participants explicitly stated at some point during the interview that "driving is key to their everyday survival". Carmen's opinion below was shared by almost all of the informants:

*"Aquí si no tienes carro no te puedes [mover] bueno si hay muchos bus pero no hay como tener tu carro y ir pa donde quiera."-Carmen, documented immigrant from Long Hill.*

"Here in the US, if you don't have a car you can't go anywhere, yes there are buses but given what we do, there is nothing like having your own car and being able to move freely."-Carmen, documented immigrant from Long Hill.

Since the majority of the respondents have jobs in the service industry where they clean houses, serve as waiters, or take care of children, they work odd hours or have unstable work schedules that makes it impossible to rely on public transportation. According to the respondents, some jobs require them to arrive to work by 5 AM, while others require them to be on call from 8:00 AM to 11:00PM. In addition, the majority of the respondents are also the main caregivers in their families and as such need to be able to pick up their children from different schools at different times throughout the day.

Marta's case provides an important example for understanding immigrants' need to drive. Marta claimed that since she works cleaning houses, it would be impossible for her to do more than one house a day if she did not drive and consequently she would not

earn enough money to feed her three daughters. When I asked why she was so convinced about this, she explained that she had actually tried taking the bus before but it did not work out. It had taken so much time to get from one side of the town to the other side where her second house was located that she was unable to complete her job before she had to leave to take the bus again to pick up her daughter from school. Since house cleaning earns her anywhere from sixty to one hundred dollars per house, she needs to be able to clean at least two houses per day to be able to pay the bills and buy food for a family of five. Similarly, Conchis, currently a homemaker who used to work two jobs to support her family, told me that it was very hard to rely on public transportation. Often times her need to work was so great that she needed to be permanently on call. This meant that she could be asked to come in at any time and needed to have the flexibility to drive to work. These two cases illustrate how difficult it is for immigrants to sustain themselves and their families without being able to drive.

The interviews indicated that the daily struggles of the interviewed immigrants are very similar regardless of their country of origin. Whether they were from Mexico, Honduras, El Salvador, Venezuela, Colombia, Peru or Bolivia, respondents appeared to face similar effects from the cultural trauma of 287(g) in that all indicated driving is very risky. Yet, it was interesting that all of the respondents remained committed to driving, given that they viewed it as the key to survival in the U.S. This raised the question of how immigrants were able to cope with the stress of driving given their trauma. The participants indicated that while driving was risky, they were able to mitigate the danger and risk of driving by cooperating with other members of the community. The following section discusses the theme of cooperation.

## *Cooperation*

Almost all of the people interviewed reported to have helped a family member or a friend by calling or texting him if they happened to come across a roadblock. Chely, a female, undocumented immigrant from Long Hill, shared with me, “You need to be watching out and making phone calls. Tell people, there is a roadblock over there, don’t go. You can either send a text to an individual or to all of the people on your contact list.” Similarly, Marta declared, “If we are out and see that there are cops doing a roadblock, and we specifically know people that will be driving through this location and don’t have valid driver’s license, we call them right away. This is how Latinos help one another.”

Interestingly, even immigrants who had a driver’s license felt the need to help others in the community. One informant with a valid license, Luz, reported that on multiple occasions she has been called or texted by “conocidos, familia y hasta por desconocidos” (friends, family and random people) and asked a favor. According to Luz, “since I am one of the few people that has legal papers, people call me and tell me to confirm whether the cops are located in the Long Hill plaza for example. I go and check if there is anyone from immigration present. Then I call them back and tell them yes or no.”

According to the respondents, it is common practice for police officers to place themselves at locations frequented by immigrants and conduct a roadblock. These “retenes” (road-blocks) require that each individual driving a car and wishing to pass through this location present his/her driver’s license to the officer. One of the more touching stories Luz shared with me was when a woman that she did not personally

know, called her and said to her, “I don’t know you but I know you are Mela’s mom, please be nice to me, I know you have papers, can you please go check if there is a reten near my apartment complex. I need to go home.”

According to Luz, this woman was waiting for hours parked outside her job and was desperate to get home because her children were home alone. She received a text with the warning of an ongoing “reten” near her home. Luz said that despite being very late, 11:00 PM, she immediately drove to the location and checked the veracity of the warning. It turned out that the “roadblock” was cleared and only its remains were visible. I asked what she meant by this and she told me that it is known in the community that seeing abandoned cars along the side of a street often signifies that there had been a reten. According to many interviewees, seeing cars lined up on the side of the road causes extreme anxiety in the people driving by who fear for the safety of their loved ones, “especially when we know they need to drive by this location.”

The interviews revealed that the extreme strain to which the subjects are exposed creates a sense of cohesion. Knowing the routes most frequented by friends and family and religiously calling them when the threat arises, suggests a significant level of community cohesion and solidarity. When I asked informants why they did this, their response invariably was: ‘si no nos ayudamos los unos con los otros entonces quien nos va a ayudar?’ (If we don’t help each other then who will help us?).

It was interesting to see the initiative they exhibited to helping one another avoid police encounters. According to various participants, it is common practice to call local radio stations with the exact location of a roadblock. These local radio stations in turn

disseminate this information to the public by using humor and saying, “cuidado porque la suegra ahi anda” and providing specific information of potential roadblocks (Be careful because your mother in law is on the run). These examples indicate that informants are willing to cooperate to pool risk in an effort to protect themselves as a community.

These behaviors correspond to the description of how groups should respond to cultural traumas. Sztompka argues that groups can respond to cultural traumas by increasing their levels of social capital. In this case, we see that the Latino community is responding to the cultural trauma of 287(g) by increasing cooperative efforts to mitigate the risk of deportation. The interviews indicate that cooperation was pervasive within the Latino community, regardless of both legal status and country of origin. Interestingly, the interviews revealed that those with documented status would often take extraordinary steps to assist those that were undocumented. A frequently discussed example illustrating this pattern is the way the undocumented participants manage to get their cars registered without a valid driver’s license. In North Carolina, one is required to have a Social Security card, proof of insurance, and a driver’s license in order to register a car with the Department of Motor Vehicles. Since all undocumented immigrants lack these requirements, they rely on friends, family and even strangers who possess a valid license to register their cars. Driving an unregistered car would call even more attention from the local police and consequently heighten participants’ chances of being stopped and possibly detained. For example, in one interview, one of the my informants named Conchis told me:

*-“Tenemos dos carros, pero como si ni tuvieramos ya que estan registrados bajo el nombre de dos personas diferentes. O sea que como si no lo fueran.”*

“We have two cars of our own but we might as well not because they legally belong to two other people.”

Another informant, Elodia, reported that the mother of a colleague volunteered to register her car so that she could drive it. Elodia had purchased a car six months before but was unable to drive it because it was not registered. She was looking for a person to “hacerme el favor” (do me the favor) of registering it under their name. In order to avoid receiving a ticket, she came up with the creative idea of moving the car from place to place in order to avoid raising suspicion as to why the car had been parked in one location permanently. One day, she was sharing this story with a co-worker and her co-worker said that she would ask her mother, who had a valid license, if she could help her out. According to Elodia:

*-“Yo a esta senora no la conocia, conocia a su hija que trabajaba conmigo yo le dije mira tengo mucho tiempo con el carro y no esta asegurado y le dije no encuentro quien me asegure mi carro tu mama no querra hacerme el favor. Ya despues me dijo dice mi mami que sit e hace el favor ay dije sin conocerla ni nada. Pues me hizo el favor de poner el carro a su nombre y de sacarme la aseguranza entonces yo a ella cada medio ano le pago lo de la aseguranza. Ella me ensena el papel y me dice es tanto y yo ya se lo pago.”*

“I did not know this lady. I knew her daughter. She worked with me and I told her that I had had the car uninsured for a long time and that I was looking for someone to help me insure it and asked her if her mom could help me out. Later, she told me that her mom agreed to help me out without knowing her. She insured my car under her name and every six months I give her money to pay for the insurance. She simply shows me the bill and I give her a check for that amount. “

It takes an incredible amount of trust to agree to do this for another person, as the legal obligations of any violation would fall to the insurer. Yet, every person that I interviewed who does not have a driver’s license has been able to find a volunteer for this. This strongly suggests that the cultural trauma of 287(g) has heightened solidarity within the Latino community to the point where those with status are willing to assume considerable risk to assist those without. This is very interesting, in that those with legal

status could potentially use their position to exploit those without legal standing, possibly through extortion. However, rather than use their position in an exploitative manner, the interviews indicate that those with legal status identify with the difficult situations faced by those without and are willing to take considerable personal risks to assist these members of their community. This strongly supports the conclusion that the collective cultural trauma of 287(g) that has increased policing across the entire community has increased solidarity and cooperation within it. However, driving is not a careless decision and participants face the trauma of 287(g) by actively planning for deportation. The following section will explore this theme.

### *Plan of Action*

In addition to relying on a community support system to navigate the challenges presented by local authorities, the respondents revealed that each individual develops their own plan of action in the event of deportation. Manuela named a close friend as the person responsible for the well being of her three children in the case of her deportation. Katushna, an interviewed community organizer at a local church in Montgomery City, has organized seminars on how to complete a document that will legally determine the actions needed to take place in case of a deportation. This document assigns guardianship to an emergency contact person and assures people that their children will not be sent to a foster home. The success of this program, according to Katushna, has risen over the past six years. Her church has steadily secured the participation of lawyers working on a pro-bono basis as deportation cases impacting her constituents have drastically grown over the years.

Other immigrants with a valid driver's license report being the main contact person at various schools. While contingency plans in the event of deportation do not involve formal documents, the planning is very real. Luz told me , “yo soy el contacto de varias personas en las escuelas, por si las deportan, yo puedo recogerles a los nino”. (I am the contact person for children at various schools in case the parents get deported. That way I can pick up the children without any problems.) The observation that immigrants with driver's licenses are willing to serve this function and in essence provide a public good to their community, when there is no apparent individual rational incentive to do so, again highlights how the pressure on this group only serves to enhance the collective sense of community developed over the years.

The results indicate that the Latino community is responding to the cultural trauma of 287(g) by increasing its intra-group cooperation, which is consistent with Stompka's strategy of innovation to increase social capital. We see that although each participant is clearly fearful of law enforcement and appears to suffer from the collective trauma, individuals are willing to continue with their daily routines while simultaneously assisting others within their community to do the same. The interviews present evidence that the law has produced a strong sense of linked fate (Dawson, 1995) between those that have documented status and those without, to the point where those that are documented are willing to assume the risk of engaging in illegal behavior. Further, while this reliance on documented immigrants might create opportunities for those with legal statuses to exploit those without, the interviews provide very little evidence that this is occurring. Instead, the interviews support the conclusion that those immigrants with legal status are willing to assume significant risks while expecting very little in return.

#### **IV. Discussion and Implications**

Although this study was conducted using a small sample, it does reveal several important insights into how the immigrant community is responding to the trauma created by 287(g). First, the study demonstrates evidence that contradicts the argument that immigrants are incapacitated by the law. Instead, the interviews indicate that although the law increases the stress on individuals within the group, the individuals believe they have no choice but to assume the risks associated with their daily routines. Given that these individuals need to work to sustain themselves, the response suggested by incapacitation is simply infeasible. Instead, the interviews demonstrate that individuals manage the risks created by increased local enforcement by strengthening their community ties to other Latino immigrants.

The study indicates that the immigrants routinely assist each other by passing information about police actions, assisting each other in registering their cars and creating plans of action in case of a deportation. If we consider that 287(g) creates an enormous stress and trauma on each individual, it essentially leaves the immigrants no choice but to strengthen their collective ties in order to sustain themselves. The observation that certain situations produce strong bonds that strengthen both community cohesiveness and a sense of linked fate is consistent with other studies in the literature. In the book, *Behind the Mule*, Michael Dawson explores a particular type of group consciousness known as linked fate which is the belief that a person's individual destiny is linked to those

belonging to the same ethnic or racial group (Dawson, 1995). Dawson uses the concept of linked fate to explain why African Americans, despite exhibiting economic heterogeneity, remain a politically homogeneous voting bloc and make similar political decisions. Dawson explains this conundrum by using the concept of linked fate, where an individual favors a policy known to ameliorate the situation of African Americans as a whole despite it not being individually beneficial.

Sanchez and Masuoka (2010) have recently applied the concept of linked fate to the Latino community. Their work explores whether pan-ethnicity, race and immigration are important factors triggering a sense of linked fate in the Latino community. While timely, their research does not explore whether specific conditions such as 287(g) can potentially bind immigrants together and lead to higher levels of group cohesiveness. My research findings indicate that during this cultural crisis, respondents unified to combat a common enemy, the threat of arrest and deportation. In particular the common sentiment among respondents when I asked why they helped one another was ‘si no nos ayudamos los unos con los otros entonces quien nos va a ayudar?’/ ‘If we don’t help each other then who will help us?’ Furthermore, the strategies used to prevent possible detentions by police officers frequently fall in the illegal realms. This is an interesting finding, as we can see how a policy 287(g) originally intended to diminish criminal activity has paved the way for new and different types of infractions.

There are several limitations to the study in its current form. First, the study derives its conclusions from a relatively small sample of immigrants. Future work on this project would certainly benefit from expanding the number of interviewees. This would enable a greater examination of the study’s conclusions and possibly allow for more

systematic testing. Second, since the study was conducted in only two cities that are both in North Carolina, it is limited in terms of its geographic scope. An interesting extension might examine whether similar patterns exist in different states within the U.S., and with varying levels of perceived hostility toward the local Latino population in these different environments. Finally, in addition to examining how legal pressures from 287(g) affect the behaviors of immigrants, it would be interesting to also examine how the enactment of other laws or statutes interact with 287(g) to affect the behaviors of individuals within the community. For example, while 287(g) may contribute to cultural traumas, it is possible that in another context, laws allowing for daily transactions to be conducted in English and Spanish may offset some of the effect of the trauma on the Latino Community.

## **APPENDIX**

### **Semi-Structured Interview Guide**

#### Demographic Questions

1. Education: What was the last grade that you completed in school? Was this in Mexico?
2. Occupation: Are you currently employed?  
Possible Probes to get them to elaborate on their current work situation: How long have you been working in this job? Is this a temporary or a permanent job? How many hours do you work per week? Do you work similar hours each week or does your schedule change from week to week? If not currently employed, are you looking for a job? When was the last time that you were able to work? Where? If they don't have a job ask: Are you having a hard time finding a job? Do you think this has to do with your skills sets? the economy?
3. Income: on average how much money did you make last year? (In the event that a person does not know how to answer this, I will ask how much money they made last month on average.)
4. Residence: how long have you been at your current residence? How about in the U.S?
5. Residence: how long have you been at your current residence? How about in the U.S?
6. Marital Status: Are you single, married, involved in a relationship? Does your partner reside in the same household? Do other adults reside in the household? Do you have any children? How many? What are their ages?

#### Daily Routine Questions

1. Tell me about your daily routine. Tell me about a typical weekday. What are some of the things that you do in the morning? Do you listen to the radio/TV in the morning? Which stations/ channels? What kind of information do you want to hear? Do you check for any traffic reports before leaving the house?
2. How do you get to work? Do you have a vehicle? Do you use public transportation? Do you share transportation with someone in your household? How about with someone outside your household?
3. What do you usually do after you leave your house in the morning? At what time do you return home for the day?
4. When do you usually run your errands? Probe in case they don't elaborate: Where do you buy your grocery items? Do you go to stores that sell a large variety of Latino food related products? If so, what are their names? How far away are they from your house? How do you get to these stores? How often do you shop at these stores?
5. What do you usually do on the weekends? Can you tell me about a typical Sunday? What are some of the activities that you and your family do? Do you attend family/social gathering? How do you get to these places?
6. Do you currently have a North Carolina driver's license?

- A. If yes: When does it expire? What are you planning to do when the license expires?
  - B. If no: Have you had one in the past? What happened? When did this happen? How have you been getting around since that happened? How has that been working out?
7. When you are driving around town, are there certain locations that you avoid? If so, why? Can you name any places that you will like to go but feel that you can't go? How did you find out about these places? Have you talked to others about these places? Who? Where do these conversations usually take place? Is it common for people to tell each other about these places? How do they usually do this?
  8. Have you ever been stopped by the police while you were driving? Why were you stopped? Where were you stopped? Can you tell me what happened after the police officer stopped you? If they say not then I will ask the following: Has this happened to anyone you know? Who did you talk to about? What did they say? What did you do? Did they ask you for your help in any way? How did you feel?
  9. Have you heard of a program called 287(g)? How did you hear about it?

## REFERENCES

- Armenta, A. (2011). From Sheriff's Deputies to Immigration Officers: Screening Immigrant Status in a Tennessee Jail.
- Arnold, C. (2007). Racial Profiling in Immigration and Enforcement: State and Local Agreements to Enforce Federal Immigration Law. *Arizona Law Review* , 49 (113).
- Baker McNeill, J. (2009). *Section 287(g): State and Local Immigration Enforcement Efforts are Working*. The Heritage Foundation.
- Brubaker, R. (1995). Comments on "Modes of Immigration Politics in Liberal Democratic States". *International Migration Review* , 29 (4), 903-908.
- Capps, R., Rosenblum, M. R., Rodriguez, C., & Chisti, M. (2011). *Delegation and Divergence: A Study of 287(g) State and Local Immigration Enforcement*. Migration Policy Institute.
- Carger, C. L. (1996). *Of Borders and Dreams: A Mexican American Experience of Urban Education*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Caruth, C. (1995). *Trauma: Explorations in Memory*. Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press.
- Caruth, C. (1996). *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History* . Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press.
- Dawson, M. C. (1995). *Behind the Mule: Race and Class in African American Politics*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Durkheim, E. (1951). *Suicide*. New York: The Free Press.
- Durkheim, E. (1982). *The Rules of Sociological Method*. New York: The Free Press.
- Eyerman, R. (2004). Cultural Trauma and the formation of African American identity. In J. Alexander, R. Eyerman, B. Glessen, N. Smelser, & P. Sztompka, *Cultural Trauma and Collective Identity* (pp. 60-111). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Frank, O., & Snijders, T. (1994). Estimating the Size of Hidden Populations Using Snowball Sampling. *Journal of Official Statistics* , 10 (1), 53-67.
- Freeman, G. (1995). Modes of Immigration Politics in Liberal Democratic States; Rejoinder. *International Migration Review* , 29 (4), 909-913.
- Gilbert, L. (2009). Immigration as Local Politics: Re-Bordering Immigration and Multiculturalism through Deterrence and Incapacitation. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* , 33 (1), 26-42.
- Gill, H. (2010). *The Latino Migration Experience in North Carolina: New Roots in the Old North State*. Chapel Hill : University of North Carolina Press.

- Hagan, J. M., & Baker, S. G. (1993). Implementing the U. S. Legalization Program: The Influence of Immigrant Communities and Local Agencies on Immigration Policy Reform. *International Migration Review* , 27 (3), 513-536.
- Hagan, J. M., Eschbach, K., & Rodriguez, N. (2008). U.S. Deportation Policy, Family Separation, and Circular Migration. *International Migration Review* , 42 (2), 64-88.
- Hughson, J., & Spaaij, R. (2011). 'You are always on our mind': The Hillsborough Tragedy as Cultural Trauma. *Acta Sociologica* , 54, 283-295.
- Joppke, C. (1998). Why Liberal States Accept Unwanted Immigration. *World Politics* , 50 (2), 266-293.
- Justice Government. (1996). *Assistance by State and Local Police in Apprehending Illegal Aliens*. Memorandum.
- Maruyama, M. (1996). Post-totalitarian Deconversion Trauma. *Human Systems Management* , 15, 135-7.
- Merton, R. K. (1996 ). *On Social Structure and Science*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Neal, A. G. (1998). *National Trauma and Collective Memory* . Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe.
- Parrado, E. A. (2011). Immigration Enforcement Policies, the Economic Recession, and the size of Local Mexican Immigrant Populations.
- Salter, M. B. (2004). Passports, Mobility, and Security: How Smart can the border be? *International Studies Perspectives* , 5, 71-91.
- Sanchez, G. R., & Masuoka, N. (2010). Brown-Utility Heuristic? The Presence and Contributing Factors of Latino Linked Fate. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences* , 32, 519-531.
- Smelser, N. J. (1963). *Theory of Collective Behavior* . New York : The Free Press.
- Sorokin, P. (1967). *The Sociology of Revolution* . New York : Howard Fertig .
- Sztomppka, P. (1991). Society in Action: The Theory of Social Becoming.
- Sztomppka, P. (2000). Cultural Trauma: The Other Face of Social Change. *European Journal of Social Theory* , 3 (4), 449-466.
- U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. (2009). *Fact Sheet: Delegation of Immigration Authority Section 287(g) Immigration and Nationality Act*. Department of Homeland Security. Office of Public Affairs.
- Vaughan, J., & Edwards, J. R. (2009). *The 287(g) Program: Protecting Home Towns and Homeland*. Center for Immigration Studies.

Waldinger, R., & Lichter, M. I. (2003). Network, Bureaucracy, and Exclusion: Immigration and the Social Organization of Labor. In *How the Other Half Works* (pp. 83-99). Berkely, CA: University of California Press.

Weissman, D. M., & Headen, R. C. (2009). *The Policies and Politics of Local Immigration Enforcement Laws: 287(g) Program in North Carolina*. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Weissman, D. M., Gill, H., Nguyen, M., & Parker, K. (2009). Legal and Social Perspectives on Local Enforcement of Immigration under the 287(g) Program. *Popular Government* , 74 (3), 1-18.