Potent and Broad Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern (VOCs) including Omicron Sub-lineages BA.1 and BA.2 by Biparatopic Human VH Domains

Chuan Chen, James W. Saville, Michelle M. Marti, Alexandra Schäfer, Mary Hongying Cheng, Dhiraj Mannar, Xing Zhu, Alison M. Berezuk, Anupam Banerjee, Michele D. Sobolewski, Andrew Kim, Benjamin R. Treat, Priscila Mayrelle Da Silva Castanha, Nathan Enick, Kevin D. McCormick, Xianglei Liu, Cynthia Adams, Margaret Grace Hines, Zehua Sun, Weizao Chen, Jana L. Jacobs, Simon M. Barratt-Boyes, John W. Mellors, Ralph S. Baric, Ivet Bahar, Dimiter S. Dimitrov, Sriram Subramaniam, David R. Martinez, Wei Li



PII: S2589-0042(22)01070-7

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104798

Reference: ISCI 104798

To appear in: ISCIENCE

Received Date: 23 February 2022

Revised Date: 8 June 2022

Accepted Date: 14 July 2022

Please cite this article as: Chen, C., Saville, J.W., Marti, M.M., Schäfer, A., Cheng, M.H., Mannar, D., Zhu, X., Berezuk, A.M., Banerjee, A., Sobolewski, M.D., Kim, A., Treat, B.R., Da Silva Castanha, P.M., Enick, N., McCormick, K.D, Liu, X., Adams, C., Hines, M.G., Sun, Z., Chen, W., Jacobs, J.L., Barratt-Boyes, S.M., Mellors, J.W., Baric, R.S., Bahar, I., Dimitrov, D.S., Subramaniam, S., Martinez, D.R., Li, W., Potent and Broad Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern (VOCs) including Omicron Sub-lineages BA.1 and BA.2 by Biparatopic Human VH Domains, *ISCIENCE* (2022), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104798.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 The Author(s).



1	Potent and Broad Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern	(VOCs) includi	ng
_			-

2 Omicron Sub-lineages BA.1 and BA.2 by Biparatopic Human VH Domains

- 3 Chuan Chen^{1#}, James W. Saville^{2#}, Michelle M. Marti^{3#}, Alexandra Schäfer^{4#}, Mary Hongying
- 4 Cheng^{5#}, Dhiraj Mannar², Xing Zhu², Alison M. Berezuk², Anupam Banerjee⁵, Michele D.
- 5 Sobolewski⁶, Andrew Kim¹, Benjamin R. Treat³, Priscila Mayrelle Da Silva Castanha³, Nathan
- 6 Enick⁶, Kevin D McCormick⁶, Xianglei Liu¹, Cynthia Adams¹, Margaret Grace Hines¹, Zehua
- 7 Sun¹, Weizao Chen⁷, Jana L. Jacobs⁶, Simon M. Barratt-Boyes³, John W. Mellors^{6,7}, Ralph S.
- 8 Baric⁴, Ivet Bahar⁵, Dimiter S. Dimitrov^{1,7*}, Sriram Subramaniam^{2,8*}, David R. Martinez^{4*}, Wei
 9 Li^{1,9*}
- ¹Center for Antibody Therapeutics, Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine,
- 11 University of Pittsburgh Medical School, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- ²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver
 BC, V6T 1Z3
- ³Department of Infectious Diseases and Microbiology, School of Public Health, University of
 Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America
- ⁴Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599,
 USA
- ⁵Department of Computational and Systems Biology, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh,
 Pittsburgh, PA, USA.

- ⁶Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of
- 21 Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States of America
- ⁷Abound Bio, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- ⁸Gandeeva Therapeutics, Inc., Vancouver, BC, Canada
- [#]These authors contributed equally.

25 ⁹Lead Contact

26 *Correspondence: mit6666666@pitt.edu, sriram.subramaniam@ubc.ca, davidmar@email.unc.edu

```
and <u>liwei171@pitt.edu</u>
```

28

SummaryThe emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOCs) requires the development 29 30 of next-generation biologics with high neutralization breadth. Here, we characterized a human V_H domain, F6, which we generated by sequentially panning large phage displayed V_H libraries 31 32 against receptor binding domains (RBDs) containing VOC mutations. Cryo-EM analyses reveal 33 that F6 has a unique binding mode that spans a broad surface of the RBD and involves the antibody framework region. Attachment of a Fc region to a fusion of F6 and ab8, a previously characterized 34 35 V_H domain, resulted in a construct (F6-ab8-Fc) that broadly and potently neutralized VOCs including Omicron. Additionally, prophylactic treatment using F6-ab8-Fc reduced live Beta 36 (B.1.351) variant viral titers in the lungs of a mouse model. Our results provide a new potential 37 therapeutic against SARS-CoV-2 variants including Omicron and highlight a vulnerable epitope 38 within the spike that may be exploited to achieve broad protection against circulating variants. 39

40

41 Key words

42 SARS-CoV-2 VOC; COVID-19; V_H domain antibody; biparatopic antibody; Omicron BA.1 and
43 BA.2 variants

44

45 Introduction

Since the start of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic (Cui et al., 2019; Dong 46 et al., 2020; Dong et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2020), more than 532 million cases and 6.3 million deaths 47 have been confirmed as of May 24th, 2022. To treat infections by severe acute respiratory syndrome 48 coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative agent of COVID-19, various therapeutics have been 49 50 explored, such as convalescent patient sera (Klassen et al., 2021), neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) (Bracken et al., 2021; Cao et al., 2020b; Hansen et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020a; Lv et al., 2020; 51 Noy-Porat et al., 2020; Pinto et al., 2020; Robbiani et al., 2020; Schoof et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 52 53 2020), and small antiviral molecules (Cao et al., 2020a; Chan et al., 2020; Glasgow et al., 2020; Grein et al., 2020; Miao et al., 2020; Monteil et al., 2020). The spike glycoprotein (S protein), 54 which engages the human angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (hACE2) receptor (Kuba et al., 2005), 55 is a major target for Ab-mediated neutralization. nAbs that block SARS-CoV-2 spike protein from 56 binding or mediating membrane fusion to ACE2 and are promising therapeutic candidates. Several 57 nAbs have received emergency use authorization (EUA) in the United States (Dong et al., 2021; 58 Kim et al., 2021; Schoof et al., 2020). 59

The receptor binding domain (RBD) within the subunit 1 (S1) region of the spike protein exhibits a high degree of mutational plasticity and is prone to accumulate mutations that lead to partial or full immune escape (Andreano et al., 2021; Geers et al., 2021; Lazarevic et al., 2021;

Prevost and Finzi, 2021; Van Egeren et al., 2021; Weisblum et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2021). The 63 World Health Organization (WHO) has designated several SARS-CoV-2 lineages as Variants of 64 65 Concern (VOCs), which are more transmissible, more pathogenic, and/or can partially evade host immunity, including the Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta variants, and the recently identified Omicron 66 variant (Baum et al., 2020; Ho et al., 2021; Jiang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021; Wibmer et al., 67 68 2021; Zhou et al., 2021). Some pansarbecovirus mAbs have been demonstrated to retain their neutralization activity against these VOCs (Martinez et al., 2022). The Omicron variant (BA.1) is 69 70 heavily mutated compared to the ancestral lineage (Wuhan-Hu-1) and contains 30 amino acid 71 substitutions in the spike protein, with 15 of mutations localizing to the RBD (Callaway, 2021). Some of these mutations have been predicted or demonstrated to either enhance transmissibility 72 (Grabowski et al., 2022) or to contribute to escape from many nAbs that were raised against the 73 original (Wuhan-Hu-1) or early VOCs lineages of SARS-CoV-2. Recently, Omicron has further 74 evolved into several sub-lineages including BA.2-BA.5, which demonstrate higher transmission 75 76 and enhanced pathogenicity relative to BA.1 (Kumar et al., 2022). Compared to BA.1, the BA.2 RBD contains three more mutations (T376A, D405N and R408S), but lacks the BA.1-specific 77 G446S and G496S mutations. Based on the parental BA.2 lineage, the new sub-lineages BA.2.12.1, 78 79 BA.2.13, BA.4 and BA.5 harbor the L452Q, L452M and L452R+F486V RBD mutations, respectively. The different mutations in the spike RBD of the new omicron sublineages may impart 80 distinct escape from humoral immunity (Cao et al., 2022). The continuous evolution and 81 82 emergence of VOCs that can partially evade host immunity requires the development of Abs with broad neutralizing activity that can block or reduce disease burden. Additionally, multi-specific 83 84 Abs or Ab cocktails hold promise to resist mutational escape by targeting multiple epitopes on the 85 SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (Baum et al., 2020; Hansen et al., 2020). Several bispecific Abs have

broad neutralization activity against SARS-CoV-2 variants (Bracken et al., 2021; Cho et al., 2021;
De Gasparo et al., 2021), therefore the generation of bispecific or multi-specific nAbs to target
variants that otherwise evade immune response is a viable therapeutic strategy.

89 In this study, we identify a V_H domain (V_H F6) which shows broad neutralizing activity against SARS-CoV-2 variants including Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, and Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 VOCs. 90 91 V_H F6 binds a relatively conserved portion of the receptor binding motif (RBM), using a unique framework region (FR)-driven paratope. By combining V_H F6 with our previously identified Ab, 92 93 V_H ab8, we developed a biparatopic Ab (F6-ab8-Fc), which exhibits potent neutralizing activity against all tested SARS-CoV-2 variants including the Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 VOCs. 94 Prophylactic dosing with F6-ab8-Fc reduced viral titers in the lungs of a mouse model and high 95 therapeutic doses of F6-ab8-Fc protected against mortality. Our study identifies a novel broadly 96 neutralizing V_H domain Ab with a unique paratope and provides a potent biparatopic Ab (F6-ab8-97 Fc) against all tested SARS-CoV-2 variants, including the presently dominant Omicron BA.1 and 98 99 BA.2 sub-lineages.

100

101 **Results**

Identification of a novel antibody domain (V_H F6) which binds to most prevalent RBD mutants and neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 variants including Omicron BA.1 and BA.2

To identify cross-reactive V_H domains against SARS-CoV-2 VOCs, we adopted a sequential panning strategy to pan our in-house large V_H phage library. We used RBD containing the E484K mutation for the first round of panning, wild type (WT) RBD for the second, and the spike protein S1 domain containing K417N, E484K, and N501Y mutations for the third (**Fig. S1A**). Following

these three rounds of panning, a dominant clone, V_H F6, was identified by ELISA screening. V_H F6 bound to the WT and Beta RBDs with half-maximal binding concentrations (EC₅₀) of 5.1 nM and 7.2 nM respectively (**Fig. S1B**). V_H F6 also bound to the WT, Alpha, and Beta S1 proteins (**Fig. S1C**). To assess the cross-reactivity of V_H F6, we performed ELISA and pseudovirus and live-virus neutralization assays. V_H F6 bound to trimeric spike proteins from multiple SARS-CoV-2 VOCs including Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Kappa, and Delta variants (**Fig. S1D**). Furthermore, we evaluated the ability of V_H F6 to bind RBDs containing single-point mutations at mutational sites commonly observed in currently circulating variants. V_H F6 bound to 35 out of the 37 assayed

RBD mutations, with only F490S and F490L mutants escaping binding (Figure 1A and Fig. S1E).
V_H F6 was able to neutralize ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (WT), Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta spike
pseudotyped viruses with a 50% inhibition concentration (IC₅₀) of 31.08, 40.32, 3.62, 6.23, and
0.86 nM respectively (Figure 1B). Furthermore, V_H F6 neutralized replication-competent SARSCoV-2 live viruses, with IC_{50S} of 129.8, 149, 6.18, 169.9 nM for the parental Wuhan-1, Alpha,
Beta, and Delta variants respectively (Figure 1C). V_H F6 neutralized the Beta variant live virus
more potently than other variants.

The Omicron variant escapes most mAbs that are in clinical use (Cameroni et al., 2022). V_H F6 bound the Omicron BA.1 RBD with an EC₅₀ of 68.6 nM as tested by ELISA, which is consistent with the binding dissociation constant (K_D = 19.8 nM) obtained by BLItz (**Fig. S1F and 1G**). Importantly, V_H F6 neutralized BA.1 pseudovirus with an IC₅₀ of 268.9 nM (**Figure 1B**). V_H F6 neutralized BA.2 more potently than BA.1, with an IC₅₀ of 1.38 nM (**Figure 1B**).

128

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

To gain insights into the broad neutralization exhibited by V_H F6, we solved the cryo-electron 131 microscopy (cryoEM) structure of V_H F6 bound to a prefusion stabilized Beta spike trimer at a 132 global resolution of 2.8 Å (Fig. S2 and Table S1). The Beta variant trimer was chosen for 133 structural analysis as it contains K417N, E484K, and N501Y mutations, different combinations of 134 135 which are present in other variants (Alpha, Gamma, and Omicron). CryoEM reconstruction revealed density for three bound V_H F6 molecules with strong density observed for V_H F6 binding 136 to a "down" RBD, and moderate or weak densities for two V_H F6 molecules binding "up" RBDs 137 (Figure 2A). The strong density for V_H F6 bound to the "down" RBD enabled focused refinement, 138 providing a local resolution density map at 3.0 Å and enabling detailed analysis of the $V_{\rm H}$ F6 139 epitope (Figure 2B). 140

V_H F6 binding spans the RBD "peak" and "valley" regions, with its footprint skewed towards 141 the RBD "outer face" (Figure 2B and 2C). This interface is exposed in both "up" and "down" 142 143 RBD conformations, explaining how V_H F6 binds to both states simultaneously. Interestingly, the 144 framework regions (FRs) of F6 – a heavy-chain (V_H) only Ab – expands the interaction interface beyond the conventional complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) (Figure 2D). Specifically, 145 146 the hydrophobic FR2 residues present a hydrophobic core that associates with hydrophobic RBD 147 residues which line the RBD peak and valley regions. This large FR engagement contributes an 148 interaction area that accounts for up to 36% of the total antibody paratope. Such substantial involvement of FRs causes V_H F6 to adopt an atypical perpendicular binding angle relative to the 149 150 RBD, with its FR2, FR3 and CDR3 wrapping around the RBD peak (Figure 2D). In addition to

FRs, CDR2 and CDR3 also contribute to the RBD binding interaction via hydrogen bonding, π - π stacking and van der Waals interactions (**Fig. S3C-E**). Due to its positioning toward the RBD outer edge, the V_H F6 footprint only slightly overlaps with the hACE2 binding interface, potentially rationalizing its weaker RBD binding competition with hACE2 as compared to ab8 (Li et al., 2020) (**Fig. S3A, S3B and Figure 2E**).

The V_H F6-bound Beta spike protein structure rationalizes the broad activity of V_H F6 against 156 157 various RBD mutants. Residues K417, N501 and E484 – frequently mutated sites in VOCs and imparting escape from several nAbs – are not within the V_H F6 epitope (Figure 2C). The RBD 158 residue Q493, which is mutated in the Omicron variant and induces escape from the clinical Ab 159 160 REGN10933 (Starr et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2021), is located within the V_H F6 epitope and forms hydrogen bonds with the main chain of G101 and S102 in the CDR3 (Fig. S3C). Despite these 161 specific hydrogen bonds, the Q493R/L mutations did not significantly impact V_H F6 binding 162 (Figure 1A), potentially reflecting either the plasticity or small overall contribution of this 163 hydrogen bonding interaction. Residue L452 – which is mutated to L452R in Delta and Kappa 164 variants – is located within the periphery of the V_H F6 epitope and may contribute hydrophobic 165 interactions with the V_H F6 residue F58 (**Fig. S3D**). The peripheral nature of this interaction may 166 explain the marginal sensitivity of V_H F6 binding to the L452R mutation (Figure 1A). In contrast, 167 168 F490L and F490S mutations attenuate and completely abrogate $V_{\rm H}$ F6 binding respectively (Figure 1A), as can be rationalized by the location of F490 within both the FR and CDR3 binding 169 interfaces (Fig. S3E). The lack of significant interactions with VOC mutated residues provides a 170 171 structural basis for the broad activity of F6.

The resolved F6/Beta spike structure may also explain the binding and neutralization of $V_{\rm H}$ F6 172 to Omicron BA.1 and BA.2. According to the resolved F6/Beta RBD, 13 out of 15 omicron RBD 173 mutations are located outside of the F6 epitope (Figure 2F), and the remaining two mutations, 174 G446S and Q493R are in the peripheral region of the F6 footprint. Importantly, our RBD mutants 175 ELISA showed the G446S and Q493R mutations did not significantly disturb F6 binding (Figure 176 177 1A). Structure modeling and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed to examine the interfacial interactions and showed that the complex formed between the Omicron variant RBD 178 and F6 stably retained the same structural features as the cryo-EM resolved F6-Beta RBD complex 179 in triplicate runs of 800 ns. The mutation sites Q493R and Q498R intermittently formed new 180 compensating salt bridges. Simulations and binding energy calculations repeated for the 181 complexes of F6 with Beta and Omicron variants led to respective K_D values of 12.2±3.1 nM and 182 15.5 ± 3.3 nM, which is in line with the BLItz K_D (Fig. S4). The additional BA.2 RBD mutations 183 (T376A, D405N and R408S) are distal from the F6 epitope, likely rationalizing the cross-reactivity 184 of V_H F6 against BA.2. 185

186

187 Generation of a biparatopic antibody with enhanced neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 VOCs

To expand the V_H F6 epitope, with the aim of decreasing the potential of mutational escape, we designed a biparatopic Ab and added V_H ab8, which is a nAb with a distinct and partially overlapping epitope compared to that of F6 (**Fig. S5A and S5B**). While ab8 is escaped by the Beta, Gamma, and Omicron variants (**Fig. S5C**), ab8 is not escaped by the F490S and F490L mutations that ablate V_H F6 binding (**Fig. S5D**). The biparatopic Ab was constructed by linking V_H F6 to V_H ab8 via a 5×(GGGGS) polypeptide linker with the C terminal fused to the human IgG1 fragment crystallizable region (Fc) (**Figure 3A**). Addition of a Fc region extends antibody serum half-life

and enables effector function of the immune system via Fc receptors (Li et al., 2020). The 195 biparatopic Ab, F6-ab8-Fc, bound to SARS-CoV-2 vairant spike trimer proteins (Fig. S6A). 196 197 Additionally, F6-ab8-Fc potently bound to the Omicron BA.1 RBD and Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 spike proteins as measured by ELISA and BLItz (Fig. S6B-6F). F6-ab8-Fc had higher binding 198 affinity to the BA.2 spike relative to the BA.1 spike. F6-ab8-Fc potently neutralized WT, Alpha, 199 200 Beta, and Delta SARS-CoV-2 variants as measured by pseudovirus and live-virus assays (Figure **3B-D**). Importantly, F6-ab8-Fc neutralized Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 sub-lineages with IC₅₀s of 201 10.86 and 0.85 nM, respectively (Figure 3D). The higher neutralization potency against BA.2 202 correlates with the higher binding affinity of F6-ab8-Fc to the BA.2 spike relative to BA.1. While 203 V_H F6 neutralized Omicron BA.1 live-virus with an IC₅₀ of 324.3 nM, F6-ab8-Fc was more potent 204 against Omicron BA.1 and neutralized live virus with an IC₅₀ of 0.92 nM. 205

The neutralization activity of F6-ab8-Fc was more potent than V_H F6 (Figure 3D). To 206 dissect the neutralization mechanism of F6-ab8-Fc, we designed a set of F6 constructs to compare 207 their neutralization potency to V_H F6 and F6-ab8-Fc against Omicron BA.1. These constructs 208 include F6-F6 (two V_H F6 connected by a tandem polypeptide linker 5×(GGGGS), F6-Fc (a F6 209 fusion with a Fc using the same linker as that in F6-ab8-Fc), F6-F6-Fc (a bivalent F6 connected in 210 211 a tandem manner followed by fusion with a Fc to achieve tetravalency). F6-F6 neutralized with higher potency than F6, and F6-F6-Fc had the highest potency against BA.1 (Fig. S5F), indicating 212 that both avidity and the addition of the Fc region may contribute to the antiviral activity. We also 213 found that F6-Fc was more potent than F6-F6, and while both constructs are bivalent, the bulkier 214 215 Fc may cause increased steric occlusion of hACE2 binding thereby enhancing neutralization activity. Interestingly, while the BA.1 variant is resistant to ab8, F6-ab8-Fc exhibited slightly 216 higher neutralization potency as compared to F6-Fc against BA.1. This enhanced inhibition may 217

be rationalized by ab8 increasing the molecular size and contributing to the steric effect. Additionally, structural modeling (**Fig. S4D**) suggests that ab8 may play a role to modulate the spatial orientation of V_H F6 to facilitate the potential inter-spike crosslinking, contributing to the enhanced neutralization of F6-ab8-Fc. It also should be noted that F6-F6-Fc exhibits higher neutralization potency than F6-ab8-Fc against Omicron BA.1, indicating that avidity effect outperforms the ab8-mediated neutralization enhancement.

In addition, a β -gal reporter gene quantitative cell-to-cell fusion assay (Liu et al., 2020b) 224 showed that F6-ab8-Fc inhibited the fusion of 293T-spike and 293T-hACE2 overexpressing cells, 225 226 and was more potent than V_H F6 (Figure 3E). The exact mechanism of F6-ab8-Fc inhibition of cell-cell fusion is currently unclear but may relate to its blockade of hACE2, and/or potential 227 interference with the conformational change of spike or inactivation of spike before engaging host 228 cells. The capacity of F6-ab8-Fc to inhibit cell-to-cell fusion may constitute another neutralization 229 mechanism that may play an important role in live virus neutralization, in which cell-to-cell viral 230 spread possibly occurs during multi-round replication cycles but probably does not occur in the 231 one-round virion infection in the pseudovirus neutralization assay. This may partially explain the 232 overall high neutralization potency of F6-ab8-Fc against SARS-CoV-2 variant live viruses. 233

Taken together, the avidity, steric blocking of receptor engagement, inhibition of cell-cell fusion, and/or possible cross-linking of inter-spike may collectively contribute to the high neutralization potency of F6-ab8-Fc.

237

F6-ab8-Fc prophylactically and therapeutically reduces disease burden and protects from
 SARS-CoV-2 Beta variant mortality in mice

240	To evaluate the prophylactic and therapeutic efficiency of F6-ab8-Fc in vivo, we used a
241	mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 infection model (Martinez et al., 2021a; Martinez et al., 2021b) The
242	Beta variant was chosen for in vivo protection experiments because it is relatively difficult to
243	neutralize (Collier et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021). Groups containing five mice each were
244	administered a high dose of 800 μ g or a low dose of 50 μ g F6-ab8-Fc twelve hours pre- or twelve
245	hours post-SARS-CoV-2 mouse-adapted 10 (MA10) Beta variant challenge. Mice were monitored
246	for signs of clinical disease and viral titers in the lungs were measured four days after infection
247	(Figure 4A). Mice in the high-dose (800 µg) prophylaxis group were completely protected from
248	mortality (0% mortality). In contrast, 20% mortality was observed in the 800 μ g therapeutic group
249	and 40% mortality was observed in the 50 μ g prophylactic group. 60% mortality was observed in
250	the 50 µg therapeutic and control mAb group (Figure 4B). Thus, F6-ab8-Fc can protect against
251	mortality when given prophylactically at high doses. We observed more than one log reduction in
252	viral titer in the high-dose prophylactic and therapeutic groups after four days (Figure 4C).
253	Additionally, lung congestion scores, which is a gross pathologic score at the time of harvest, were
254	lower in all four F6-ab8-Fc treated groups compared to the mAb control (Figure 4D). Our results
255	indicate that F6-ab8-Fc reduces lung viral replication in vivo, with prophylactic treatment being
256	more effective than therapeutic treatment.

257

258 **Discussion**

The SARS-CoV-2 spike protein has accumulated numerous mutations that retain its ability to engage its receptor (hACE2), while evading neutralizing Abs (Mannar et al., 2022). The RBD is immunodominant and has accumulated several mutations that partially escape FDA-approved

vaccines and the majority of mAbs in clinical use. A recent epitope binning and structural study 262 classifies Ab epitopes across the RBD into six classes, with class 1-3 Abs targeting the top surface 263 RBM region which compete with ACE2, and class 4/5 and class 6/7 Abs binding to the RBD outer 264 and inner surfaces respectively (Hastie et al., 2021). Class 1-3 Abs are most likely to be rendered 265 ineffective by K417N/T, E484K, and N501Y mutations which are found in Alpha, Beta, and 266 267 Gamma variants. Currently, only a few RBM-targeting Abs are reported to neutralize the Omicron variant such as ACE2-mimicking Abs S2K146 (Park et al., 2022) and XGv347 (Wang et al., 2022). 268

In this study, we developed a novel single domain (human V_H) Ab, F6 that can broadly 269 neutralize Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, and Omicron variants. V_H F6 targets a class-4 epitope 270 271 which spans the RBD peak and valley outer-face, and partially overlaps with the hACE2 binding interface. Importantly, the CryoEM structure of V_H F6 in complex with the Beta spike protein 272 revealed that VOC mutations lie either outside of the V_H F6 epitope (K417, E484, N501, N439) 273 or within its periphery (L452, O493, G446). The $V_{\rm H}$ F6 epitope bears a high degree of similarity 274 to the full-length Ab A19-46.1, which can also neutralize the Omicron BA.1 variant (Zhou et al., 275 2022). Unlike A19-46.1, V_H F6 is not affected by the L452R mutation and can bind the RBD in 276 both "up" and "down" conformations, probably due to the lower steric hindrance associating with 277 its small size. The ability of an antibody fragment to bind both "up" and "down" RBD states is an 278 279 attractive property given that the accessibility of its epitope is independent of RBD conformation (Henderson et al., 2020). The resistance to L452R and F486S mutations (Figure 1A) may allow 280 F6 to retain cross-reactivity to the newly emerging Omicron sub-lineages BA.4 and BA.5, which 281 282 contain L452R/F486V mutations. Notably, V_H F6 adopts an uncommon angle of binding relative to the RBD, using its exposed FR regions and CDR3 to present a hydrophobic interaction interface. 283

V_H F6 had increased neutralization activity against Beta and Delta pseudoviruses (Figures 286 **1B** and **3D**), which may be explained by the higher binding affinity of V_H F6 to the Beta and Delta 287 spike than to the ancestral spike (Fig. S1D). The increased potency against the Beta variant may 288 be attributed to spike mutations in Beta that increase V_H F6 binding, although this is currently 289 290 unclear given that the Beta RBD mutations K417N/E484K/N501Y are not in the V_H F6 epitope. The higher binding of V_H F6 to the Delta spike may be explained by the L452R mutation, which 291 is within the V_H F6 epitope, and R452 may impart new intermolecular interactions or enhance the 292 293 electrostatic compatibility between V_H F6 and the Delta RBD. Intriguingly, V_H F6 neutralizes the Beta live virus more potently ($IC_{50} = 6.18$ nM) compared to other VOCs. The reasons for these 294 differences in neutralization potency are unclear but could be related to the different spike 295 mutations in VOCs which may influence spike conformation/processing on the virion surface. 296 Interestingly, V_H F6 exhibits a higher neutralization potency for Omicron sub-lineage BA.2 than 297 BA.1. The increased potency relative to BA.1 may be explained by the unique BA.1 mutation 298 (G446S) within the F6 footprint that could disrupt F6-BA.1 binding. 299

V_H F6 primarily belongs to the class 4 Ab group, which also contains the highly potent and
patient-derived Abs C002 (Barnes et al., 2020) and A19-46.1 (Zhou et al., 2022), and typically
exhibits decreased binding to L452 and E484 mutated RBDs (Greaney et al., 2021). Additionally,
the V_H F6 epitope partially overlaps with the with class 1-2 Abs which contain therapeutic Abs
such as LY-CoV016 and REGN10933 (Greaney et al., 2021) (Figure 2C). The ability of the
SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant to escape class 1 and 2 Abs requires the development of Ab

combinations (either cocktails or bi or multi-specifics) targeting multiple epitopes. In this study, 306 with the aim to target a broader epitope on the RBD, we generated a biparatopic Ab by combining 307 F6 with the previously identified potent class 2 Ab domain V_H ab8 (Li et al., 2020). Although both 308 Beta and Omicron variants were escaped by V_H Ab8, the biparatopic Ab, F6-ab8-Fc, potently 309 neutralized all SARS-CoV-2 variants including Omicron BA.1 and BA.2. F6-ab8-Fc neutralized 310 311 WT and Delta similarly, and neutralization of WT and Delta pseudoviruses was more potent than against other variants (Alpha, Beta and Omicron BA.1) (Figure 3B), which may be ascribed to the 312 synergy between F6 and ab8, since both F6 and ab8 potently neutralize WT and Delta, while ab8 313 is less potent against Alpha, and is completely escaped by Beta and Omicron (Fig. S5C). However, 314 higher neutralization as measured in pseudovirus neutralization assays did not always correlate to 315 higher live virus neutralization (such as V_H F6 against Delta, and F6-ab8-Fc against WT and Delta 316 in Figure 3D). These neutralization potency differences can be affected by various factors. One 317 important factor is the spike distribution, density, pre- or post-fusion conformation and the 318 319 accessibility of neutralizing epitopes in the spike on the surface of virions. These variations can be affected by the different spike mutations in different SARS-CoV-2 VOCs. In addition, the different 320 target cells used in the pseudovirus (293T-ACE2) and live virus (Vero E6) assays have different 321 322 expression levels of hACE2 and cleavage proteases, which can also impact the neutralization potency. Another factor may be that the virus dose is dynamic during multiple replication cycles 323 324 in live-virus neutralization assays, whereas the pseudovirus neutralization assay has a relatively 325 fixed virus dose used in one-round infections. Importantly, in live-virus assays, there may be the 326 cell-to-cell viral spread that is absent in pseudovirus neutralization assays. Cell-cell fusion is 327 typically less sensitive to nAbs neutralization than cell-free virion infection. Thus, higher binding

affinity to spike may not always be directly translatable into higher potency in live virusneutralization assays.

Importantly, F6-ab8-Fc also reduced lung viral titers in mice infected with the Beta variant and
protected against mortality when administered prophylactically. In addition to viral neutralization,
Fc-effector functions are important for Ab protection in vivo (Ullah et al., 2021; Winkler et al.,
2021). Our ELISA data showed that F6-Ab8-Fc binds to human CD64, CD32, and CD16A
similarly as compared to human IgG1-Fc. F6-ab8-Fc shows high binding to CD64 and moderate
binding to CD32A and CD16A (Fig. S5E).

In summary, we have identified a broadly neutralizing antibody domain (V_H F6) with a unique paratope and epitope, and which neutralized all SARS-CoV-2 variants tested. The F6 epitope may be targeted to elicit broadly neutralizing Abs and vaccines against circulating SARS-CoV-2 variants. The biparatopic bispecific Ab, F6-ab8-Fc, with its broad neutralization activity and *in vivo* activity presents a new Ab therapeutics against current SARS-CoV-2 VOCs.

341 Limitations of this study

While we identify and characterize a potent biparatopic molecule, our study has limitations. The strong binding to CD64 could suggest that this molecule has cell-mediated phagocytosis (ADCP) activity, and binding to CD16A may help to mobilize ADCC killing of infected cells. However, Fc receptor binding may also have the potential to contribute to the immunopathology of SARS-CoV-2 (via antibody-dependent enhancement). The detailed role of Fc effector function for F6-ab8-Fc in protection of mice from lethal SARS-CoV-2 challenge needs to be further investigated by future studies. Moreover, it is possible that future VOCs may evade F6-ab8-Fc,

and thus screening and testing of this molecule should continue as new VOCs emerge. On ab8resistant variant Omicron BA.1, the higher neutralization potency of F6-F6-Fc than F6-ab8-Fc
highlights the more important role of avidity compared to biparatopicity. It remains to be seen
whether F6-F6-Fc outperforms F6-ab8-Fc (thus monoparatopic avidity outweighs biparatopicity)
on other SARS-CoV-2 VOCs such as Alpha and Delta.

354

355 Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the Center for Antibody Therapeutics Dr. Jelev Dontcho, Yejin Kim, 356 Du-San Baek and Xiaojie Chu for their helpful discussions. This work was supported by the 357 University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. David R. Martinez is funded by a Hanna H. Gray 358 359 Fellowship from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and a Burroughs Wellcome Fund Postdoctoral Enrichment Program Award. RSB is supported by grants from the NIH AI132178 360 and AI108197. Work in the Subramaniam laboratory is supported by a Canada Excellence 361 Research Chair Award and a grant from Genome BC, Canada. Ivet Bahar is funded by NIH awards 362 P41GM103712 and R01GM139297. Simon M. Barratt-Boyes is funded by NIH R21AI47017. 363

364

365 Author contributions

366 W.L., D.R.M., S.S., D.S.D., I.B., R.S.B., J.W.M., and S.M.B. conceived and designed the research;

367 WL and CC identified and characterized antibodies; W.L. made the V_H phage-display libraries.

368 C.C., A.K., X.L., C.A., M.G.H. and Z.S. characterized antibodies and made the stable cell lines.

369 W.C. provided Omicron RBD proteins. A.S. and D.R.M. performed the in vivo evaluation of

370 inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 beta variant. J.W.S., D.M., X.Z. and A.M.B. resolved the cryoEM

structure and performed pseudovirus neutralization assays. M.D.S., N.E., K.D.M., and J.L.J.
performed the neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus. M.M.M., B.R.T., P.M.D., S.C.
performed the live virus neutralization assays. M.H.C., A.B., and I.B. carried out the molecular
dynamic simulation of V_H F6 binding to Omicron RBD. D.S.D., W.L., D.R.M. C.C., J.W.S.,
M.H.C. and I.B. wrote the first draft of the article, and all authors discussed the results and
contributed to the manuscript.

377

378 **Declaration of Interests**

W.L, C.C, J.W.M. and D.S.D, are co-inventors of a patent, filed on January 06, 2022 by the University of Pittsburgh, related to V_H F6 and F6-ab8-Fc described in this paper. S.S. is founder and CEO of Gandeeva Therapeutics Inc.

382

383 Figure legends

Figure 1. V_H F6 binds to prevalent RBD mutants and neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 VOCs
 including Omicron BA.1 and BA.2.

A. Heat map of V_H F6 binding to circulating RBD mutants. The binding of V_H F6 to RBD mutants was detected by ELISA and normalized by comparing area under the curves (AUCs) between

mutant and wild type RBD. B. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 WT, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta,

- and Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 variants pseudovirus neutralization assays by V_H F6. Experiments
- 390 were repeated at least twice with triplicate and error bars denote \pm SD, n=3. C. Neutralization of

393

Figure 2. CryoEM structure of V_H F6 in complex with the SARS-CoV-2 Beta variant spike protein.

396 A. Global cryoEM map of the Beta variant spike protein in complex with V_H F6. Density corresponding to the Beta variant trimer is colored in shades of grey and violet while density 397 398 corresponding to V_H F6 molecules is colored in orange. **B.** Left: Focus refined density map of the Beta variant RBD - V_H F6 complex with docked atomic model. Right: Molecular surface 399 representation of the epitope of V_H F6 on the Beta variant RBD. The side chains of residues within 400 the binding footprint of V_H F6 are displayed and colored orange. C. Footprints (i.e. surface binding 401 areas/regions) of class 1 Abs (green), class 2 Abs (purple), and V_H F6 (orange) on the molecular 402 surface of the SARS-CoV-2 RBD. Commonly mutated and antibody-evading mutations are 403 colored in red. **D.** Focused view of the atomic model at the V_H F6 - RBD interface. The side chains 404 of discussed residues are shown, with the scaffold colored in orange, CDR1 green, CDR2 blue, 405 CDR3 magenta and the RBD gray. E. Superposition of V_H F6-RBD (orange) and ACE2-RBD 406 (cyan) complex atomic models. The RBD is shown in grey and the ACE2-RBD model was derived 407 from PBD ID: 6m0j. F. Mapping the Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 mutations onto the RBD structure 408 409 with comparison to the F6 epitope. The green surface region represents the F6 footprint/epitopes on RBD, while the blue spots stand for the BA.1 mutations. The additional BA.2 mutations T376A, 410 D405N and R408S mutational sites are colored by the magenta. 411

412

Figure 3. Construction of a biparatopic antibody (F6-ab8-Fc) that neutralizes various SARS-413 CoV-2 VOCs including Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 as measured by pseudovirus and live virus 414 415 neutralization, and cell-cell fusion assays.

416 A. The scheme of the biparatopic antibody F6-ab8-Fc containing a tandem VH (F6-ab8) at the N terminal of the human IgG1 Fc. B-D. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 WT, Alpha, Beta, Delta and 417 418 Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 variants pseudoviruses (B) and live viruses (C) by F6-ab8-Fc. Experiments were repeated at least twice in triplicate and error bars denote mean ± 1 SD, n=3. **D**. 419 Comparisons of virus neutralization IC₅₀s of V_H F6 and F6-ab8-Fc by both pseudovirus and live 420 virus neutralization assays. **E**. Inhibition of cell-cell fusion by F6-ab8-Fc as tested by a β-gal 421 reporter gene assay, in which 293T-Spike cells infected with vaccinia virus expressing T7 422 polymerase were incubated with 293T-ACE2 cells infected with vaccinia virus encoding the T7 423 promotor-controlled β -galactosidase. The cell-to-cell fusion signal was monitored by the β -424 galactosidase activity. The incubation of 293T-spike with 293T-ACE2 cells without additions of 425 426 Abs is the positive control, while incubation of 293T-spike with 293T (without expressing ACE2) was set as the negative controls. Experiments were performed in triplicate, and the data was 427 presented as mean ± 1 SD, n=3. The paired *Student t* test was used to evaluate statistical differences. 428 429 *p <0.05, **p <0.01.

430

431 Figure 4. Evaluation of prophylactic and therapeutic efficacy of F6-b8-Fc in a mouse ACE2adapted model. 432

A. The overview of study design for evaluating F6-ab8-Fc efficacy in a SARS-CoV-2 mouse 433 model. B. Percent survival curves for each F6-ab8-Fc treatment group as indicated. C. Lung viral 434

- titers (PFUs) in lung tissue for the F6-ab8-Fc treatment groups. The limit of detection (LoD) is
- 436 100 PFU/lobe. **D.** Lung hemorrhage scores of live mice. *T* tests were used to evaluate statistical
- 437 differences. *p <0.05, **p <0.01, ***p < 0.001, ns. no significance.

438

439

440 STAR*METHODS

- 441 Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:
- 442 KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
- 444 o Lead Contact
- o Materials Availability
- o Data and Code Availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
- 448oCells and virus
- o Recombinant proteins
- 450 o Monoclonal antibodies
- 451 o Mouse experiments
- 452 METHOD DETAILS
- 453 Antigen expression and phage panning
- 454 o Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)
- 455 o Biolayer interferometry (BLItz)
- 456 Electron Microscopy Sample Preparation and Data Collection
- 457 o Image Processing

458 • Model Building and Refinement

- 459 Molecular dynamics simulations of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron RBD complexed with F6,
- 460 and evaluation of binding energies.
- 461 Pseudovirus Neutralization Assay
- 462 Authentic SARS-CoV-2 Plaque Reduction Neutralization Assay
- 463 Cell-Cell Fusion Inhibition Assay
- 64 Evaluation of F6-ab8-Fc Prophylactic and Therapeutic Efficacy with SARS-CoV-2
- 465 mouse Models.
- 466 QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

467

468 KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Phage display library		
VH phage library	(Li et al., 2020)	N/A
Antibodies		
VH F6	This paper	N/A
VH ab8	(Li et al., 2020)	N/A
F6-F6	This paper	N/A
F6-ab8	This paper	N/A
F6-Fc	This paper	N/A
VH-Fc ab8	(Li et al., 2020)	N/A
F6-ab8-Fc	This paper	N/A
Anti-FLAG-HRP	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# A8592-1MG
lgG1 m336	(Ying et al., 2014)	N/A
anti-Human Fc-HRP	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# A0170-1ML
Bacterial and virus strains		
TG1	Lucigen	Cat# 60502-1
DH5a	Lucigen	Cat# 60602-1
vaccinia virus VTF7.3	NIH	Cat# 356
vaccinia virus VCB21R	NIH	Cat# 3365
SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus WT (+D614G)	This paper	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus Alpha	This paper	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus Beta	This paper	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus Gamma	This paper	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus Delta	This paper	N/A

SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus Omicron BA.1	This paper	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus Omicron BA.2	This paper	N/A
SARS-CoV-2 variant WT	BEI Resources	Cat# NR-52281
SARS-CoV-2 variant Alpha	BEI Resources	Cat# NR-54011
SARS-CoV-2 variant Beta	BEI Resources	Cat# NR-54008
SARS-CoV-2 variant Delta	BEI Resources	Cat# NR-55611,
SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron BA.1	BEI Resources	Cat# NR-56461
SARS-CoV-2 mouse-adapted 10 (MA10) Beta variant	(Martinez et al.,	N/A
	2021a)	
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
SARS2 RBD WT	(Li et al., 2020)	N/A
SARS2 RBD Beta	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H85
SARS2 RBD Omicron BA.1	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-
		V08H121
SARS2 RBD F342L	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H6
SARS2 RBD N354D	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H2
SARS2 RBD N354D/D364Y	Acrobiosystems	Cat# SPD-S52H3
SARS2 RBD V367F	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H1
SARS2 RBD R408I	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H10
SARS2 RBD Q414R	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H44
SARS2 RBD K417N	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H59
SARS2 RBD W436R	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H9
SARS2 RBD N439K	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H14
SARS2 RBD N440K	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H55
SARS2 RBD K444R	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H54
SARS2 RBD K444N	This paper	N/A
SARS2 RBD G446V	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H51
SARS2 RBD G446S	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H76
SARS2 RBD L452R	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H28
SARS2 RBD Y453F	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H80
SARS2 RBD K458R	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H7
SARS2 RBD A475V	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H50
SARS2 RBD S477N	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H46
SARS2 RBD T478I	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H30
SARS2 RBD P479S	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H57
SARS2 RBD V483A	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H5
SARS2 RBD E484K	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H84
SARS2 RBD E484Q	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H81
SARS2 RBD E484D	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-
	g	V08H104
SARS2 RBD F486S	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H74
SARS2 RBD N487R	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H75
SARS2 RBD F490L	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H83
SARS2 RBD F490S	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H41
SARS2 RBD Q493R	This paper	N/A
SARS2 RBD Q493L	This paper	N/A
SARS2 RBD S494P	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H18
SARS2 RBD N501Y	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-V08H82

SARS2 RBD K417N/E484K/N501Y	Sino Biological	Cat# 40592-
SADS2 S1 K417N E494K and NE01V	Sino Dialogical	
SARS2 ST R417N, E404R, and NS011	Sino Biological	Cat# 40591-V00H10
SARS2 ST MI	Sino Biological	Cal# 40591-V06B1
SARS2 ST Alpha	Sino Biological	Cal# 40591-V0007
SARS2 ST Dela	Sino biological	V08H10-B
SARS2 S trimer Alpha	Sino Biological	Cat# 40589-V08H12
SARS2 S trimer Beta	Sino Biological	Cat# 40589-V08H13
SARS2 S trimer Gamma	Sino Biological	Cat# 40589-V08H23
SARS2 S trimer Kappa	Sino Biological	Cat# 40589-V08H11
SARS2 S trimer Delta	Sino Biological	Cat# 40589-V08H10
SARS2 S trimer Omicron BA.1	Acrobiosystems	Cat# SPN-C5224
SARS2 S trimer Omicron BA.2	Acrobiosystems	Cat# SPN-C5223
hACE2-mFc (mouse Fc)	Sino Biological	Cat# 10108-H05H
RBD-Fc	(Li et al., 2020)	N/A
Recombinant FcyRIA	Sino Biological	Cat# 10256-H08H
Recombinant FcyRIIA	Sino Biological	Cat# 10374-H08H
Recombinant FcyRIIIA	Sino Biological	Cat# 10389-H08H1
Critical commercial assays		
Blitz Protein A sensor	ForteBio	Cat# 18-5010
Blitz Streptavidin sensor	ForteBio	Cat# 18-5019
QuikChange II XL Kit	Agilent	Cat# 200521
β-galactosidase assay kit	G-Biosciences	Cat# 786-651
ONE-Glo™ EX Luciferase Assay System	Promega	Cat# E8110
Nano-Glo Assay System	Promega	Cat# N1110
Lenti-X™ GoStix™ Plus	TaKaRa	Cat# 631280
BirA biotin-protein ligase standard reaction kit	Avidity,	Cat# BirA500
Deposited data		
F6 antibody sequence	GENEBANK	ID: ON855352
F6/Beta spike CryoEM map	EMDB	EMD-27438 and EMD-27439
F6/Beta spike CrvoEM structure	PDB	ID: 8DI5
Experimental models: Cell lines		
293T	ATCC	ATCC® CRL-3216
293T-S (WT)	(Li et al., 2020)	N/A
293T-hACE2	(Li et al., 2020)	N/A
Expi293F	ThermoFisher	Cat# A14527
Vero-E6	ATCC	ATCC® CRL-1586
HEK293T-ACE2-TMPRSS2 cells	BEI Resources	Cat# NR-55293
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
BALB/c mice	Envigo	Cat# 047
Recombinant DNA		
Plasmid: pcDNA3.1-spike-D614G	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pcDNA3.1-spike-Alpha	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pcDNA3.1-spike-Beta	This paper	N/A

Plasmid: pcDNA3.1-spike-Gamma	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pcDNA3.1-spike-Delta	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pcDNA3.1-spike-Omicron BA.1	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pcDNA3.1-spike-Omicron BA.2	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pcDNA3.1-RBD-mutant K444N	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pcDNA3.1-RBD-mutant Q493R	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pcDNA3.1-RBD-mutant Q493L	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pIW-Zeo-F6-F6-His	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pIW-Zeo-F6-F6-Fc	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pIW-Zeo-F6-ab8-His	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: pIW-Zeo-F6-ab8-Fc	This paper	N/A
Software and algorithms		
GraphPad Prism	GraphPad 9.0	https://www.graphpa
		d.com/scientific-
		software/prism/
Snapgene	GSL Biotech LLC	https://www.snapgen
		e.com/
PyMoL	Schrodinger	https://pymol.org/2/
FlowJ	FlowJo,V10, LLC	https://www.flowjo.co
		m/solutions/liowjo/do
EPU automated acquisition	ThermoFisher	https://www.thermofi
	Scientific	sher.com/us/en/hom
		e/electron-
		microscopy/products
		/software-em-3d-
		vis/epu-
	(Dettergen et el	software.html
UCSF Chimera V.1.15	(Pettersen et al., 2004)	https://www.cgi.ucsi. edu/chimera/
crvoSPARC v.3.2	(Puniani et al., 2017)	https://crvosparc.co
	(m/live
COOT v.0.9.3	(Emsley et al., 2010)	https://www2.mrc-
		Imb.cam.ac.uk/perso
		nal/pemsley/coot/bin
Dhaniyy 4.40	(Afoning at al. 2010)	aries/release/
Phenix V.1.19	(Alonine et al., 2018)	nttps://pnenix-
MolProbity	(Chen et al. 2010)	http://molprobity.bioc
	(011011 01 01.1, 2010)	hem.duke.edu/
ChimeraX v.1.1.1	(Goddard et al., 2018)	https://www.cgl.ucsf.
	`	edu/chimerax/
NAMD (version 2.13)	(Phillips et al., 2005)	https://www.ks.uiuc.
	(F 's second O , ", 00000)	edu/Research/namd/
Modeller	(Fiser and Sali, 2003)	nttps://salilab.org/mo
PRODICY	(Xue et al. 2016)	https://wenmr.scienc
	(Aue et al., 2010)	e uu nl/prodiav/

470 471

472 **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

473 Lead Contact

474 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be475 fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Wei Li (LIWEI171@pitt.edu).

476 Materials Availability

477 All requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead

478 Contact author. This includes antibodies, viruses, plasmids and proteins. All reagents will be made

479 available on request after completion of a Material Transfer Agreement.

480 Data and Code Availability

Antibody nucleotide sequence has been deposited to GenBank. Accession number is listed in
 the key resources table. The F6/Beta spike Cryo-EM map has been uploaded to EMDB.
 Accession ID are listed in the key resources table. The F6/Beta spike Cryo-EM structure has
 been uploaded to PDB. Accession ID is listed in the key resources table. The antibody is only
 allowed for non-commercial use.

- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available
 from the lead contact upon request.

489 EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

490 Cells and virus

Vero E6 (CRL-1586, American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and 293T (ATCC) were cultured 491 at 37°C in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine 492 serum (FBS), 10 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 100 U/mL of penicillin-493 streptomycin. 293T was cultured in DMEM medium. 293T-Spike and 293T-ACE2 were cultured 494 in DMEM medium containing 100 µg/ml Zeocin. Expi293F was maintained in Expi293TM 495 496 Expression Medium (ThermoFisher, Cat# A1435103). The SARS-CoV-2 spike pseudotyped HIV-1 backboned virus were packaged in 293T cells after transfecting pNL4-3.luc.RE and pcDNA3.1-497 spike plasmids (WT, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1 and Omicron B.2). The SARS-498 499 CoV-2 live virus variants (WT, Alpha, Beta, Delta, Omicron BA.1) ordered from BEI Resources and propagated VeroE6 cells. The mouse ACE2 adapted SAR-CoV-2 virus (Beta variants) gene 500 recovered by the reverse genetics was produced in VeroE6 cells. All work with infectious SARS-501 CoV-2 was performed in Institutional Biosafety Committee approved BSL3 facilities using 502 appropriate positive pressure air respirators and protective equipment. 503

504 **Recombinant proteins**

The recombinant proteins RBD mutants (K444N, Q439R and Q439L) and RBD-Fc were subcloned into pcDNA3.1 or pIW-Zeo expression plasmids, and expressed in Expi293F cells. Proteins with his tag were purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography and protein with Fc tag purified by protein A chromatography. Protein purity was estimated as >95% by SDS-PAGE and protein concentration was measured spectrophotometrically (NanoVue, GE Healthcare).

510 Monoclonal antibodies

V_H F6 antibody was identified by panning of the phage library. VH ab8 was previously identified by our lab. F6-F6, F6-Fc, F6-ab8-Fc, F6-F6-Fc were cloned into pIW-Zeo expression plasmids, and expressed in Expi293F cells. MERS-CoV-specific IgG1 m336 sequences cloned into the pDR12 plasmid and expressed in Expi293F cells. V_H ab8 and V_H F6 (in a phagemid pComb3x with a Flag tag) was expressed in HB2151 *E. coli*. Antibodies with his tag were purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography and antibodies with Fc tag purified by protein A chromatography.

517 Mouse experiments

For the mouse model, BALB/c mice purchased from Envigo (BALB/cAnNHsd, stock# 047, 518 immunocompetent, 11-12 months of age, female) were used for all experiments. They are drug/test 519 naïve and negative for pathogens. Animals were not involved in any previous studies. Animals 520 521 were housed in groups of 5 animals per cage and fed standard chow diet. The study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations for care and use of animals by the Office of 522 523 Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW), National Institutes of Health and the Institutional Animal Care. All mouse studies were performed at the University of North Carolina (Animal Welfare 524 525 Assurance #A3410-01) using protocols (19-168) approved by the UNC Institutional Animal Care 526 and Use Committee (IACUC) and all virus studies were performed in ABSL3 facilities at UNC. Virus inoculations were performed under anesthesia and all efforts were made to minimize animal 527 528 suffering. For evaluating prophylactic efficacy of F6-ab8-Fc, mice were intraperitoneally treated 529 (12 hours before infection) with different doses of F6-ab8-Fc followed by intranasal challenge with 10⁵ PFU of mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 Beta variant. For evaluating prophylactic efficacy 530 of F6-ab8-Fc, mice were intraperitoneally treated (12 hours before infection) with 800 µg or 50 531 µg of F6-ab8-Fc followed by intranasal challenge with 10⁵ PFU of mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 532

Beta variant. For evaluating the therapeutic efficacy of F6-ab8-Fc, mice were intraperitoneal injection with $800 \mu g$ or $50 \mu g$ of F6-ab8-Fc 12 hours following infection. Four days post infection, mice were sacrificed and perfused with 10 ml PBS. Then lung was harvested for viral titer as determined by the plaque assay.

537 METHOD DETAILS

538 Antigen expression and phage panning

The SARS-CoV-2 RBD, S1 and S trimer mutants were ordered from Sino Biological (USA). The VH F6 and VH ab8 were expression in HB2151 bacteria cells as previously described (Chen et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2020). F6-F6, F6-Fc, F6-ab8-Fc, F6-F6-Fc, and RBD-Fc were expressed with Expi293 cells as previously described (Li et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020). Expressed protein purity was estimated as >95% by SDS-PAGE (Invitrogen) and protein concentration was measured spectrophotometrically (NanoVue, GE Healthcare). The panning process was described in detail in our previous protocol (Chen et al., 2021).

546 ELISA

Ninety-six-well ELISA plates (Corning 3690) were coated with the RBD, S1 mutants or S trimer variants at a concentration of 5 μ g /mL (diluted with 1xPBS) and incubated at 4 °C overnight (50 μ L per well). The next day, plates were blocked with 150 μ L 5% milk (Bio-Rad) in DPBS solution at room temperature for 2 hours. Primary antibodies were diluted with the same 5% milk blocking buffer and 1:10 or 1:3 serial dilution series were conducted, with 1 μ M as the highest concentration. After 2 hours of blocking, the primary antibodies were added (50 μ L per well) and incubated at room temperature for 2 hours. After 2 hours incubation, the plates were washed 4 times with 0.05%

Tween 1xPBS (PBST) solution using a plate washer (BioTek). Secondary antibodies (anti-Flag-554 HRP or anti-Human Fc-HRP) were prepared with the same 5% milk at a dilution of 1:1000. 50 µL 555 556 of secondary antibody was added into each well and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. To test F6-ab8-Fc binding to human FcyRs, F6-ab8-Fc was coated on plates followed by addition of 557 the recombinant human FcyR protein in gradient concentrations. After washing, the binding was 558 559 detected by HRP conjugated anti-His tag Ab. For testing binding of VH F6 and F6-ab8-Fc to Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 RBD and spike proteins, the RBD or spike were coated, and binding 560 were detected by using HRP anti-FLAG tag for VH F6 and the HRP anti-human Fc Ab for F6-561

ab8-Fc. After 1 hour incubation, the plates were washed 5 times with PBST. Fifty μ L of TMB substrate (Sigma) was added into each well, allowed 1-2 minutes to develop color, then stopped with 50 μ L H2SO4 (1M, Sigma) and the plate scanned at 450 nm absorbance. The ELISA results were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 9.0.2.

566 BLItz

Antibody affinities were measured by biolayer interferometry BLItz (ForteBio, Menlo Park, CA). 567 568 For VH F6 affinity determination, VH F6 was biotinylated with BirA biotin-protein ligase standard 569 reaction kit (BirA500, Avidity, USA). Streptavidin biosensors (ForteBio: 18–5019) were used for biotinylated VH F6 immobilization. For F6-ab8-Fc affinity determination, Protein A biosensors 570 (ForteBio: 18-5010) were used for immobilization. Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) 571 572 (pH = 7.4) was used for baseline and dissociation collection. The detection conditions used were: 573 (I) baseline 30s; (II) loading 120 s; (III) baseline 30 s; (IV) association 120 s with a series of concentrations (1000 nM, 500 nM, 250 nM for VH F6; 500 nM, 250 nM, 125 nM for F6-ab8-Fc); 574 (V) dissociation 240 s. The Ka and Kd rates were measured by BLItz software and KD was 575

calculated for each antibody by the Kd /Ka ratio. For VH F6 - VH ab8 competition, Protein A
biosensors (ForteBio: 18-5010) were used for RBD-Fc immobilization. The detection conditions
used were (I) baseline 30s; (II) loading 120 s; (III) baseline 30 s; (IV) association 120 s with VH
ab8; (V) association 120 s with VH F6.

580 Electron Microscopy Sample Preparation and Data Collection

For cryo-EM, SARS-CoV-2 S trimer Beta mutant were deposited on grids at a final concentration 581 of 2 mg/ml. Complexes were prepared by incubating S trimer Beta mutant with VH F6 at a molar 582 ratio of 1:10. Grids were cleaned with H2/O2 gas mixture for 15 s in PELCO easiGlow glow 583 discharge unit (Ted Pella) and 1.8 µl of protein suspension was applied to the surface of the grid. 584 Using a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific), the sample was applied to either Quantifoil 585 Holey Carbon R1.2/1.3 copper 300 mesh grids or UltrAuFoil Holey Gold 300 mesh grids at a 586 chamber temperature of 10°C with a relative humidity level of 100%, and then vitrified in liquid 587 588 ethane after blotting for 12 s with a blot force of -10. All cryo-EM grids were screened using a 200-kV Glacios (Thermo Fisher Scientific) TEM equipped with a Falcon4 direct electron detector 589 590 and data were collection on a 300-kV Titan Krios G4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) TEM equipped 591 with a Falcon4 direct electron detector in electron event registration (EER) mode. Movies were collected at 155,000× magnification (physical pixel size 0.5 Å) over a defocus range of $-3 \mu m$ to 592 $-0.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ with a total dose of 40 e -/Å2 using EPU automated acquisition software (Thermo Fisher). 593

594 Image Processing

595 A detailed workflow for the data processing is summarized in Supplementary Figure S2. All data 596 processing was performed in cryoSPARC v.3.2 (Punjani et al., 2017). On-the-fly data pre-

processing including patch mode motion correction (EER upsampling factor 1, EER number of 597 fractions 40), patch mode CTF estimation, reference free particle picking, and particle extraction 598 599 were carried out in cryoSPARC live. Next, particles were subjected to 2D classification (just for evaluation of the data quality) and 3 rounds of 3D heterogeneous classification. The global 3D 600 refinement was performed with per particle CTF estimation and high-order aberration correction. 601 602 Focused refinement was performed with a soft mask covering the down RBD and its bound VH F6. Resolutions of both global and local refinements were determined according to the gold 603 standard FSC (Bell et al., 2016). 604

605 Model Building and Refinement

Initial models either from published coordinates (PDB code 7MJI) or from homology modeling
(V_H F6)(Waterhouse et al., 2018) were docked into the focused refinement maps or global
refinement maps using UCSF Chimera v.1.15 (Pettersen et al., 2004). Then, mutation and manual
adjustment were performed with COOT v.0.9.3 (Emsley et al., 2010), followed by iterative rounds
of refinement in COOT and Phenix v.1.19 (Afonine et al., 2018). Model validation was performed
using MolProbity (Chen et al., 2010). Figures were prepared using UCSF Chimera, UCSF
ChimeraX v.1.1.1 (Goddard et al., 2018), and PyMOL (v.2.2 Schrodinger, LLC).

Molecular dynamics simulations of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron RBD complexed with F6, and evaluation of binding energies.

We constructed a structural model for the Omicron RBD complexed with F6 using the cryo-EM structure of F6/Beta RBD complex as template, and constructed the system for molecular dynamics simulations of this complex using the CHARMM-GUI Solution Builder module (Jo et

al., 2008). The resolved N-linked glycans and disulphides were included in the model, along with 618 explicit water molecules to cover a distance 10 Å away from protein edges. Sodium and chloride 619 ions corresponding to 0.15 M NaCl were included. This resulted in a simulation box of 94×94×94 620 Å3. CHARMM36 force field with CMAP corrections was used for the protein, water, and glycan 621 molecules (Guvench et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2017). All MD simulations were performed using 622 623 NAMD (version 2.13) (Phillips et al., 2005) with the protocol adopted from earlier work. Simulations were performed in triplicates with 100 ns each for the Omicron RBDs complexed with 624 F6. Binding free energies Δ Gbinding were evaluated using PRODIGY(Xue et al., 2016), and 625 binding dissociation constants, KD, using $KD = exp(\Delta Gbinding/RT) \times 109$ (in nM) with RT = 0.6626 kcal/mol at T = 300K. Δ Gbinding histograms were generated based on 800 snapshots evenly 627 collected during the MD simulation time interval $20 < t \le 100$ ns for each run. The F6-ab8-Fc 628

structure was modeled by using Modeller (Fiser and Sali, 2003) based on homology modeling using multiple templates. VH F6 and ab8 moieties were based on the experimental resolved cryoEM structure (Zhu et al., 2021), while the Fc fragment was modeled based the structure of full-length antibody (Scapin et al., 2015). The distance of the two VH F6 moiety can be varied (between 7-16 nm) by loop refinement of the linker conformations using Modeller.

634 **Pseudovirus Neutralization Assay**

SARS-CoV-2 spike Wuhan-Hu-1 (+D614G), Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, and Omicron protein
genes were synthesized and inserted into pcDNA3.1 (GeneArt Gene Synthesis, Thermo Fisher
Scientific). HEK293T cells (ATCC, cat#CRL-3216) were used to produce pseudotyped retroviral
particles as described previously (Crawford et al., 2020). 60 hours post transfection, pseudoviruses
were harvested and filtered with a 0.45 µm PES filter. HEK293T-ACE2-TMPRSS2 cells (BEI

Resources cat# NR-55293) were seeded in 384-well plates at 20 000 cells for neutralization assays. 24 hours later, normalized amounts of pseudovirus preparations (Lenti- X^{TM} GoStixTM Plus) were incubated with dilutions of the indicated antibodies or media alone for 1 h at 37°C prior to addition to cells and incubation for 48 h. Cells were lysed and luciferase activity assessed using the ONE-GloTM EX Luciferase Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's specifications. Detection of relative luciferase units (RLUs) was measured using a Varioskan Lux plate reader (Thermo Fisher).

647 Authentic SARS-CoV-2 Plaque Reduction Neutralization Assay

Neutralization assays were performed using Vero E6 cells (ATCC CRL-1586). One day before the 648 assay, the Vero E6 cells (3 \times 10⁵ cells) were seeded in 24-well tissue culture plates per well. 649 Antibodies (VH F6 and F6-ab8-Fc) were serially diluted by two-fold with a starting concentration 650 ranging from $4 \mu g/mL$ to $40 \mu g/mL$ (depending on the antibody being tested) and mixed with equal 651 652 volume of 30-50 plaque forming units (pfu) of SARS-CoV-2. The following SARS-CoV-2 variants were used: isolate USA-WA1/2020 (NR-52281, BEI Resources); isolate hCoV-19/South 653 654 Africa/KRISP-EC-K005321/2020 (NR-54008, BEI Resources); Alpha isolate 655 USA/CA_CDC_5574/2020 (NR-54011, BEI Resources); Delta isolate hCoV-19/USA/PHC658/2021 (NR-55611, BEI Resources); Omicron BA.1 isolate hCoV-19/USA/MD-656 HP20874/2021 (NR-56461, BEI Resources). The antibody-virus mixture was then incubated at 657 658 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator for 1 hour before adding to the Vero E6 cell seeded monolayers. The 659 experiments were performed in duplicate. Following 1 h incubation at 37 °C, an overlay media containing 1% agarose (2x Minimal Essential Medium, 7.5% bovine albumin serum, 10 mM 660 661 HEPES, 100 µg/mL penicillin G and 100 U/mL streptomycin) was added into the monolayers. The

plates were then incubated for 48-72 hours and then cells were fixed with formaldehyde for 2 hours.
Following fixation, agar plugs were removed, and cells were stained with crystal violet. To
precisely titrate the input virus, a viral back-titration was performed using culture medium as a
replacement for the antibodies. To estimate the neutralizing capability of each antibody, IC50 was
calculated by non-linear regression using the sigmoidal dose response equation in GraphPad Prism
9. All assays were performed in the University of Pittsburgh Regional Biocontainment Laboratory
BSL-3 facility.

669 Cell-Cell Fusion Inhibition Assay

A β -gal reporter gene based quantitative cell fusion assay (Liu et al., 2020b) was used to test the 670 cell-cell fusion inhibitory activity of F6-ab8-Fc. Briefly, 293T-S (WT) cells were infected with 671 vaccinia virus expressing T7 polymerase (vTF7-3, obtained from NIH), while 293T-ACE2 cells 672 were infected with vaccinia virus (vCB21R Lac-Z) encoding the T7 promotor-controlled β-673 674 galactosidase. 293T-S cells were pre-mixed with 1 µM Abs at 37°C for 1h followed by incubation with 293T-ACE2 cells at a 1:1 ratio for 3h at 37°C. Then cells were then lysed, and the β -gal 675 676 activity was measured using β -galactosidase assay kit (substrate CPRG, G-Biosciences, St. Louis, 677 MO) following the manufacturer's protocols. The incubation of 293T-S with 293T-ACE2 cells 678 without additions of Abs, and incubation of 293T-S with 293T (without expressing ACE2) were 679 set as positive and negative controls, respectively.

Evaluation of F6-ab8-Fc Prophylactic and Therapeutic Efficacy with SARS-CoV-2 mouse Models.

Eleven to twelve-month old female immunocompetent BALB/c mice (Envigo, stock# 047) were 682 used for SARS-CoV-2 in vivo Prophylactic and Therapeutic experiments as described previously 683 (Martinez et al., 2021a; Martinez et al., 2021b) Each group contains five mice and five mice per 684 cage (contain one mouse from each group) and fed standard chow diet. To evaluate the 685 prophylactic efficacy of F6-ab8-Fc, mice were intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection with 800 μ g or 50 μ g 686 of F6-ab8-Fc 12 hours prior virus infection. Mice were infected intranasally with 10⁵ plaque-687 forming units (PFU) of mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 B.1.351 MA10. For evaluating the 688 therapeutic efficacy of F6-ab8-Fc, mice were intraperitoneal injection with 800 µg of or 50 µg of 689 F6-ab8-Fc12 hours following infection. 4 days after virus infection, mice were sacrificed, and 690 lungs were harvested for viral titer by plaque assays. The caudal lobe of the right lung was 691 homogenized in PBS. The homogenate was 10-fold serial-diluted and inoculated with confluent 692 monolayers of Vero E6 cells at 37°C, 5% CO2 for 1 hour. After incubation, 1 mL of a viscous 693 overlay (1:1 2X DMEM and 1.2% methylcellulose) is added into each well. Plates are incubated 694 695 for 4 days at 37°C, 5% CO2. Then, the plates are fixation, staining, washing and dried. Plaques of each plate are counted to determined virus titer. The study was carried out in accordance with the 696 recommendations for care and use of animals by the Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare 697 698 (OLAW), National Institutes of Health and the Institutional Animal Care. All mouse studies were performed at the University of North Carolina (Animal Welfare Assurance #A3410-01) using 699 700 protocols (19-168) approved by the UNC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) 701 and all mouse studies were performed in a BSL3 facility at UNC.

702 QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

For ELISA, all the experiments were performed in duplicate and error bars denote \pm SD, n=2. For pseudovirus neutralization, all experiments were repeated at least twice in triplicate and error bars

705	denote mean \pm 1 SD, n=3. For live virus neutralization, all experiments were repeated at least twice
706	in triplicate and error bars denote mean \pm 1 SD, n=3. For the comparisons of F6-ab8-Fc and $V_{\rm H}$
707	F6 mediated inhibition of cell-to-cell fusion in the β -gal reporter assay, experiments were
708	performed in triplicate. The paired Student t test was used to evaluate statistical differences. *p
709	<0.05, **p <0.01. For the mouse model, the statistical significance of difference between F6-ab8-
710	Fc treated and control mice lung virus titers was determined by the two-tailed, unpaired, student t
711	test calculated using GraphPad Prism 9.0. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant. ns: $p > 0.05$,
712	*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

713

714 **References**

- 715 Afonine, P.V., Poon, B.K., Read, R.J., Sobolev, O.V., Terwilliger, T.C., Urzhumtsev, A., and Adams, P.D.
- 716 (2018). Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. Acta crystallographica Section
- 717 D, Structural biology *74*, 531-544.
- Andreano, E., Piccini, G., Licastro, D., Casalino, L., Johnson, N.V., Paciello, I., Dal Monego, S., Pantano, E.,
- 719 Manganaro, N., Manenti, A., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 escape from a highly neutralizing COVID-19
- 720 convalescent plasma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118.
- 721 Barnes, C.O., Jette, C.A., Abernathy, M.E., Dam, K.-M.A., Esswein, S.R., Gristick, H.B., Malyutin, A.G.,
- Sharaf, N.G., Huey-Tubman, K.E., Lee, Y.E., *et al.* (2020). SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody structures
 inform therapeutic strategies. Nature *588*, 682-687.
- 724 Baum, A., Fulton, B.O., Wloga, E., Copin, R., Pascal, K.E., Russo, V., Giordano, S., Lanza, K., Negron, N., Ni,
- 725 M., *et al.* (2020). Antibody cocktail to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein prevents rapid mutational escape seen
- with individual antibodies. Science *369*, 1014-1018.
- Bell, J.M., Chen, M., Baldwin, P.R., and Ludtke, S.J. (2016). High resolution single particle refinement in
 EMAN2.1. Methods (San Diego, Calif) *100*, 25-34.
- 729 Bracken, C.J., Lim, S.A., Solomon, P., Rettko, N.J., Nguyen, D.P., Zha, B.S., Schaefer, K., Byrnes, J.R., Zhou,
- 730 J., Lui, I., et al. (2021). Bi-paratopic and multivalent VH domains block ACE2 binding and neutralize SARS-
- 731 CoV-2. Nat Chem Biol *17*, 113-121.
- 732 Callaway, E. (2021). Heavily mutated Omicron variant puts scientists on alert. Nature 600, 21.
- 733 Cameroni, E., Bowen, J.E., Rosen, L.E., Saliba, C., Zepeda, S.K., Culap, K., Pinto, D., VanBlargan, L.A., De
- 734 Marco, A., di Iulio, J., *et al.* (2022). Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron
- antigenic shift. Nature *602*, 664-670.
- 736 Cao, L., Goreshnik, I., Coventry, B., Case, J.B., Miller, L., Kozodoy, L., Chen, R.E., Carter, L., Walls, A.C.,
- Park, Y.J., et al. (2020a). De novo design of picomolar SARS-CoV-2 miniprotein inhibitors. Science 370,
- 738 426-431.

- 739 Cao, Y., Su, B., Guo, X., Sun, W., Deng, Y., Bao, L., Zhu, Q., Zhang, X., Zheng, Y., Geng, C., et al. (2020b).
- Potent Neutralizing Antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 Identified by High-Throughput Single-Cell Sequencing
 of Convalescent Patients' B Cells. Cell *182*, 73-84 e16.
- 742 Cao, Y., Yisimayi, A., Jian, F., Song, W., Xiao, T., Wang, L., Du, S., Wang, J., Li, Q., Chen, X., et al. (2022).
- 743 BA.2.12.1, BA.4 and BA.5 escape antibodies elicited by Omicron infection. Nature.
- Chan, K.K., Dorosky, D., Sharma, P., Abbasi, S.A., Dye, J.M., Kranz, D.M., Herbert, A.S., and Procko, E.
- (2020). Engineering human ACE2 to optimize binding to the spike protein of SARS coronavirus 2. Science*369*, 1261-1265.
- 747 Chen, C., Sun, Z., Liu, X., Li, W., and Dimitrov, D.S. (2021). Protocol for constructing large size human
- antibody heavy chain variable domain (VH) library and selection of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody
- domains. STAR Protoc 2, 100617.
 Chen, V.B., Arendall, W.B., 3rd, Headd, J.J., Keedy, D.A., Immormino, R.M., Kapral, G.J., Murray, L.W.,
- 751 Richardson, J.S., and Richardson, D.C. (2010). MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for
- 752 macromolecular crystallography. Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography *66*, 12-21.
- 753 Cho, H., Gonzales-Wartz, K.K., Huang, D., Yuan, M., Peterson, M., Liang, J., Beutler, N., Torres, J.L., Cong,
- 754 Y., Postnikova, E., et al. (2021). Bispecific antibodies targeting distinct regions of the spike protein
- potently neutralize SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. Sci Transl Med *13*, eabj5413.
- 756 Collier, D.A., De Marco, A., Ferreira, I., Meng, B., Datir, R.P., Walls, A.C., Kemp, S.A., Bassi, J., Pinto, D.,
- 757 Silacci-Fregni, C., et al. (2021). Sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 to mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies.
- 758 Nature *593*, 136-141.
- 759 Crawford, K.H.D., Eguia, R., Dingens, A.S., Loes, A.N., Malone, K.D., Wolf, C.R., Chu, H.Y., Tortorici, M.A.,
- 760 Veesler, D., Murphy, M., et al. (2020). Protocol and Reagents for Pseudotyping Lentiviral Particles with
- 761 SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein for Neutralization Assays. Viruses *12*, 513.
- Cui, J., Li, F., and Shi, Z.L. (2019). Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol
 17, 181-192.
- 764 De Gasparo, R., Pedotti, M., Simonelli, L., Nickl, P., Muecksch, F., Cassaniti, I., Percivalle, E., Lorenzi,
- 765 J.C.C., Mazzola, F., Magri, D., et al. (2021). Bispecific IgG neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 variants and prevents
- 766 escape in mice. Nature *593*, 424-428.
- Dong, E., Du, H., and Gardner, L. (2020). An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real
 time. Lancet Infect Dis 20, 533-534.
- 769 Dong, J., Zost, S.J., Greaney, A.J., Starr, T.N., Dingens, A.S., Chen, E.C., Chen, R.E., Case, J.B., Sutton, R.E.,
- Gilchuk, P., *et al.* (2021). Genetic and structural basis for SARS-CoV-2 variant neutralization by a two antibody cocktail. Nat Microbiol *6*, 1233-1244.
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of Coot. Acta
- crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography *66*, 486-501.
- Fiser, A., and Sali, A. (2003). Modeller: generation and refinement of homology-based protein structure
 models. Methods Enzymol *374*, 461-491.
- 776 Geers, D., Shamier, M.C., Bogers, S., den Hartog, G., Gommers, L., Nieuwkoop, N.N., Schmitz, K.S.,
- 777 Rijsbergen, L.C., van Osch, J.A.T., Dijkhuizen, E., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern partially
- escape humoral but not T-cell responses in COVID-19 convalescent donors and vaccinees. Sci Immunol 6.
- Glasgow, A., Glasgow, J., Limonta, D., Solomon, P., Lui, I., Zhang, Y., Nix, M.A., Rettko, N.J., Zha, S.,
- Yamin, R., *et al.* (2020). Engineered ACE2 receptor traps potently neutralize SARS-CoV-2. Proc Natl Acad
 Sci U S A *117*, 28046-28055.
- 782 Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., Pettersen, E.F., Couch, G.S., Morris, J.H., and Ferrin, T.E. (2018).
- UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. Protein science : a publication
 of the Protein Society *27*, 14-25.
- 785 Grabowski, F., Kochańczyk, M., and Lipniacki, T. (2022). The Spread of SARS-CoV-2 Variant Omicron with
- a Doubling Time of 2.0– 3.3 Days Can Be Explained by Immune Evasion. Viruses 14, 294.

- 787 Greaney, A.J., Starr, T.N., Barnes, C.O., Weisblum, Y., Schmidt, F., Caskey, M., Gaebler, C., Cho, A.,
- Agudelo, M., Finkin, S., *et al.* (2021). Mapping mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 RBD that escape binding by
 different classes of antibodies. Nature Communications *12*, 4196.
- 790 Grein, J., Ohmagari, N., Shin, D., Diaz, G., Asperges, E., Castagna, A., Feldt, T., Green, G., Green, M.L.,
- Lescure, F.X., et al. (2020). Compassionate Use of Remdesivir for Patients with Severe Covid-19. N Engl J
 Med 382, 2327-2336.
- 793 Guvench, O., Mallajosyula, S.S., Raman, E.P., Hatcher, E., Vanommeslaeghe, K., Foster, T.J., Jamison,
- 794 F.W., and MacKerell, A.D. (2011). CHARMM Additive All-Atom Force Field for Carbohydrate Derivatives
- and Its Utility in Polysaccharide and Carbohydrate–Protein Modeling. Journal of Chemical Theory and

796 Computation *7*, 3162-3180.

- Hansen, J., Baum, A., Pascal, K.E., Russo, V., Giordano, S., Wloga, E., Fulton, B.O., Yan, Y., Koon, K., Patel,
- K., et al. (2020). Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody
 cocktail. Science 369, 1010-1014.
- Hastie, K.M., Li, H., Bedinger, D., Schendel, S.L., Dennison, S.M., Li, K., Rayaprolu, V., Yu, X., Mann, C.,
- 801 Zandonatti, M., et al. (2021). Defining variant-resistant epitopes targeted by SARS-CoV-2 antibodies: A
- 802 global consortium study. Science *374*, 472-478.
- Henderson, R., Edwards, R.J., Mansouri, K., Janowska, K., Stalls, V., Gobeil, S.M.C., Kopp, M., Li, D., Parks,
- 804 R., Hsu, A.L., et al. (2020). Controlling the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein conformation. Nature
- 805 Structural & Molecular Biology *27*, 925-933.
- 806 Ho, D., Wang, P., Liu, L., Iketani, S., Luo, Y., Guo, Y., Wang, M., Yu, J., Zhang, B., Kwong, P., *et al.* (2021).
- 807 Increased Resistance of SARS-CoV-2 Variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7 to Antibody Neutralization. Res Sq.
- 808 Huang, J., Rauscher, S., Nawrocki, G., Ran, T., Feig, M., de Groot, B.L., Grubmüller, H., and MacKerell,
- A.D. (2017). CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered proteins.
 Nature methods 14, 71-73.
- 811 Jiang, W., Wang, J., Jiao, S., Gu, C., Xu, W., Chen, B., Wang, R., Chen, H., Xie, Y., Wang, A., et al. (2021).
- 812 Characterization of MW06, a human monoclonal antibody with cross-neutralization activity against both 813 SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. MAbs *13*, 1953683.
- Jo, S., Kim, T., Iyer, V.G., and Im, W. (2008). CHARMM-GUI: a web-based graphical user interface for
- 815 CHARMM. J Comput Chem 29, 1859-1865.
- 816 Kim, C., Ryu, D.K., Lee, J., Kim, Y.I., Seo, J.M., Kim, Y.G., Jeong, J.H., Kim, M., Kim, J.I., Kim, P., et al.
- 817 (2021). A therapeutic neutralizing antibody targeting receptor binding domain of SARS-CoV-2 spike
 818 protein. Nat Commun *12*, 288.
- 819 Klassen, S.A., Senefeld, J.W., Johnson, P.W., Carter, R.E., Wiggins, C.C., Shoham, S., Grossman, B.J.,
- 820 Henderson, J.P., Musser, J., Salazar, E., et al. (2021). The Effect of Convalescent Plasma Therapy on
- Mortality Among Patients With COVID-19: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Mayo Clin Proc *96*,
 1262-1275.
- 823 Kuba, K., Imai, Y., Rao, S., Gao, H., Guo, F., Guan, B., Huan, Y., Yang, P., Zhang, Y., Deng, W., *et al.* (2005).
- A crucial role of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in SARS coronavirus-induced lung injury. Nat
- 825 Med *11*, 875-879.
- 826 Kumar, S., Karuppanan, K., and Subramaniam, G. (2022). Omicron (BA.1) and sub-variants (BA.1.1, BA.2,
- and BA.3) of SARS-CoV-2 spike infectivity and pathogenicity: A comparative sequence and structural-
- 828 based computational assessment. J Med Virol.
- Lazarevic, I., Pravica, V., Miljanovic, D., and Cupic, M. (2021). Immune Evasion of SARS-CoV-2 Emerging
- 830 Variants: What Have We Learnt So Far? Viruses 13, 1192.
- Li, W., Schäfer, A., Kulkarni, S.S., Liu, X., Martinez, D.R., Chen, C., Sun, Z., Leist, S.R., Drelich, A., Zhang, L.,
- et al. (2020). High Potency of a Bivalent Human VH Domain in SARS-CoV-2 Animal Models. Cell 183, 429-
- 833 441.e416.

- Liu, L., Wang, P., Nair, M.S., Yu, J., Rapp, M., Wang, Q., Luo, Y., Chan, J.F., Sahi, V., Figueroa, A., et al.
- (2020a). Potent neutralizing antibodies against multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike. Nature *584*, 450456.
- Liu, X., Drelich, A., Li, W., Chen, C., Sun, Z., Shi, M., Adams, C., Mellors, J.W., Tseng, C.T., and Dimitrov,
- 838 D.S. (2020b). Enhanced elicitation of potent neutralizing antibodies by the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor
- binding domain Fc fusion protein in mice. Vaccine *38*, 7205-7212.
- 840 Lv, Z., Deng, Y.Q., Ye, Q., Cao, L., Sun, C.Y., Fan, C., Huang, W., Sun, S., Sun, Y., Zhu, L., et al. (2020).
- Structural basis for neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV by a potent therapeutic antibody.
 Science *369*, 1505-1509.
- 843 Mannar, D., Saville, J.W., Zhu, X., Srivastava, S.S., Berezuk, A.M., Tuttle, K.S., Marquez, A.C., Sekirov, I.,
- 844 and Subramaniam, S. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant: Antibody evasion and cryo-EM structure of 845 spike protein-ACE2 complex. Science *375*, 760-764.
- 846 Martinez, D.R., Schafer, A., Gobeil, S., Li, D., De la Cruz, G., Parks, R., Lu, X., Barr, M., Stalls, V., Janowska,
- K., et al. (2022). A broadly cross-reactive antibody neutralizes and protects against sarbecovirus
 challenge in mice. Sci Transl Med 14, eabj7125.
- 849 Martinez, D.R., Schafer, A., Leist, S.R., De la Cruz, G., West, A., Atochina-Vasserman, E.N., Lindesmith,
- L.C., Pardi, N., Parks, R., Barr, M., et al. (2021a). Chimeric spike mRNA vaccines protect against
- 851 Sarbecovirus challenge in mice. Science *373*, 991-998.
- Martinez, D.R., Schafer, A., Leist, S.R., Li, D., Gully, K., Yount, B., Feng, J.Y., Bunyan, E., Porter, D.P.,
- Cihlar, T., et al. (2021b). Prevention and therapy of SARS-CoV-2 and the B.1.351 variant in mice. Cell Rep
 36, 109450.
- 855 Miao, X., Luo, Y., Huang, X., Lee, S.M.Y., Yuan, Z., Tang, Y., Chen, L., Wang, C., Wu, F., Xu, Y., et al. (2020).
- A novel biparatopic hybrid antibody-ACE2 fusion that blocks SARS-CoV-2 infection: implications for therapy. MAbs *12*, 1804241.
- 858 Monteil, V., Kwon, H., Prado, P., Hagelkruys, A., Wimmer, R.A., Stahl, M., Leopoldi, A., Garreta, E.,
- Hurtado Del Pozo, C., Prosper, F., *et al.* (2020). Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Engineered Human
 Tissues Using Clinical-Grade Soluble Human ACE2. Cell *181*, 905-913 e907.
- 861 Noy-Porat, T., Makdasi, E., Alcalay, R., Mechaly, A., Levy, Y., Bercovich-Kinori, A., Zauberman, A., Tamir,
- H., Yahalom-Ronen, Y., Israeli, M., *et al.* (2020). A panel of human neutralizing mAbs targeting SARS-CoV2 spike at multiple epitopes. Nat Commun *11*, 4303.
- 864 Park, Y.J., De Marco, A., Starr, T.N., Liu, Z., Pinto, D., Walls, A.C., Zatta, F., Zepeda, S.K., Bowen, J.E.,
- 865 Sprouse, K.R., *et al.* (2022). Antibody-mediated broad sarbecovirus neutralization through ACE2
- 866 molecular mimicry. Science *375*, 449-454.
- 867 Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and Ferrin, T.E.
- 868 (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. Journal of 869 computational chemistry *25*, 1605-1612.
- 870 Phillips, J.C., Braun, R., Wang, W., Gumbart, J., Tajkhorshid, E., Villa, E., Chipot, C., Skeel, R.D., Kalé, L.,
- and Schulten, K. (2005). Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. Journal of computational chemistry
 26, 1781-1802.
- 873 Pinto, D., Park, Y.J., Beltramello, M., Walls, A.C., Tortorici, M.A., Bianchi, S., Jaconi, S., Culap, K., Zatta, F.,
- 874 De Marco, A., *et al.* (2020). Cross-neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV
- 875 antibody. Nature *583*, 290-295.
- 876 Prevost, J., and Finzi, A. (2021). The great escape? SARS-CoV-2 variants evading neutralizing responses.
- 877 Cell Host Microbe 29, 322-324.
- Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J.L., Fleet, D.J., and Brubaker, M.A. (2017). cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid
- unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. Nature methods *14*, 290-296.

- 880 Robbiani, D.F., Gaebler, C., Muecksch, F., Lorenzi, J.C.C., Wang, Z., Cho, A., Agudelo, M., Barnes, C.O.,
- Gazumyan, A., Finkin, S., et al. (2020). Convergent antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent
 individuals. Nature 584, 437-442.
- Scapin, G., Yang, X., Prosise, W.W., McCoy, M., Reichert, P., Johnston, J.M., Kashi, R.S., and Strickland, C.
- 884 (2015). Structure of full-length human anti-PD1 therapeutic IgG4 antibody pembrolizumab. Nat Struct
- 885 Mol Biol 22, 953-958.
- Schoof, M., Faust, B., Saunders, R.A., Sangwan, S., Rezelj, V., Hoppe, N., Boone, M., Billesbolle, C.B.,
- Puchades, C., Azumaya, C.M., *et al.* (2020). An ultrapotent synthetic nanobody neutralizes SARS-CoV-2
 by stabilizing inactive Spike. Science *370*, 1473-1479.
- Stanfield, R.L., Dooley, H., Verdino, P., Flajnik, M.F., and Wilson, I.A. (2007). Maturation of Shark Single-
- domain (IgNAR) Antibodies: Evidence for Induced-fit Binding. Journal of Molecular Biology *367*, 358-372.
- Starr, T.N., Greaney, A.J., Addetia, A., Hannon, W.W., Choudhary, M.C., Dingens, A.S., Li, J.Z., and Bloom,
- J.D. (2021). Prospective mapping of viral mutations that escape antibodies used to treat COVID-19.
 Science *371*, 850-854.
- Sun, Z., Chen, C., Li, W., Martinez, D.R., Drelich, A., Baek, D.S., Liu, X., Mellors, J.W., Tseng, C.T., Baric,
- 895 R.S., *et al.* (2020). Potent neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by human antibody heavy-chain variable domains 896 isolated from a large library with a new stable scaffold. MAbs *12*, 1778435.
- Ullah, I., Prevost, J., Ladinsky, M.S., Stone, H., Lu, M., Anand, S.P., Beaudoin-Bussieres, G., Symmes, K.,
- 898 Benlarbi, M., Ding, S., *et al.* (2021). Live imaging of SARS-CoV-2 infection in mice reveals that neutralizing 899 antibodies require Fc function for optimal efficacy. Immunity *54*, 2143-2158 e2115.
- 900 Van Egeren, D., Novokhodko, A., Stoddard, M., Tran, U., Zetter, B., Rogers, M., Pentelute, B.L., Carlson,
- J.M., Hixon, M., Joseph-McCarthy, D., et al. (2021). Risk of rapid evolutionary escape from biomedical
 interventions targeting SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. PLoS One 16, e0250780.
- 903 Wang, K., Jia, Z., Bao, L., Wang, L., Cao, L., Chi, H., Hu, Y., Li, Q., Zhou, Y., Jiang, Y., et al. (2022). Memory
- 904 B cell repertoire from triple vaccinees against diverse SARS-CoV-2 variants. Nature *603*, 919-925.
- Wang, P., Nair, M.S., Liu, L., Iketani, S., Luo, Y., Guo, Y., Wang, M., Yu, J., Zhang, B., Kwong, P.D., *et al.*(2021). Antibody resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7. Nature *593*, 130-135.
- 906 (2021). Antibody resistance of SARS-COV-2 variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7. Nature 593, 130-135.
- 907 Waterhouse, A., Bertoni, M., Bienert, S., Studer, G., Tauriello, G., Gumienny, R., Heer, F.T., de Beer,
- T.A P., Rempfer, C., Bordoli, L., *et al.* (2018). SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures
 and complexes. Nucleic acids research *46*, W296-W303.
- 910 Weisblum, Y., Schmidt, F., Zhang, F., DaSilva, J., Poston, D., Lorenzi, J.C.C., Muecksch, F., Rutkowska, M.,
- Hoffmann, H.-H., Michailidis, E., *et al.* (2020). Escape from neutralizing antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 spike
- 912 protein variants. eLife *9*, e61312.
- 913 Wibmer, C.K., Ayres, F., Hermanus, T., Madzivhandila, M., Kgagudi, P., Oosthuysen, B., Lambson, B.E., de
- Oliveira, T., Vermeulen, M., van der Berg, K., *et al.* (2021). SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 escapes neutralization by
 South African COVID-19 donor plasma. Nat Med *27*, 622-625.
- 916 Winkler, E.S., Gilchuk, P., Yu, J., Bailey, A.L., Chen, R.E., Chong, Z., Zost, S.J., Jang, H., Huang, Y., Allen,
- 917 J.D., *et al.* (2021). Human neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 require intact Fc effector functions
- 918 for optimal therapeutic protection. Cell *184*, 1804-1820 e1816.
- Xue, L.C., Rodrigues, J.P., Kastritis, P.L., Bonvin, A.M., and Vangone, A. (2016). PRODIGY: a web server for
 predicting the binding affinity of protein-protein complexes. Bioinformatics *32*, 3676-3678.
- 921 Ying, T., Du, L., Ju, T.W., Prabakaran, P., Lau, C.C., Lu, L., Liu, Q., Wang, L., Feng, Y., Wang, Y., et al.
- 922 (2014). Exceptionally potent neutralization of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus by human
 923 monoclonal antibodies. J Virol *88*, 7796-7805.
- 924 Yuan, M., Wu, N.C., Zhu, X., Lee, C.D., So, R.T.Y., Lv, H., Mok, C.K.P., and Wilson, I.A. (2020). A highly
- 925 conserved cryptic epitope in the receptor binding domains of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Science *368*,
- 926 630-633.

- 927 Zhou, D., Dejnirattisai, W., Supasa, P., Liu, C., Mentzer, A.J., Ginn, H.M., Zhao, Y., Duyvesteyn, H.M.E.,
- Tuekprakhon, A., Nutalai, R., *et al.* (2021). Evidence of escape of SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.351 from
- natural and vaccine-induced sera. Cell 184, 2348-2361 e2346.
- 230 Zhou, T., Wang, L., Misasi, J., Pegu, A., Zhang, Y., Harris, D.R., Olia, A.S., Talana, C.A., Yang, E.S., Chen, M.,
- 931 et al. (2022). Structural basis for potent antibody neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 variants including
- 932 B.1.1.529. Science *376*, eabn8897.
- 933 Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., Zhao, X., Huang, B., Shi, W., Lu, R., et al. (2020). A
- Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. New Engl J Med *382*, 727-733.
- 235 Zhu, X., Mannar, D., Srivastava, S.S., Berezuk, A.M., Demers, J.P., Saville, J.W., Leopold, K., Li, W.,
- Dimitrov, D.S., Tuttle, K.S., et al. (2021). Cryo-electron microscopy structures of the N501Y SARS-CoV-2
- 937 spike protein in complex with ACE2 and 2 potent neutralizing antibodies. PLoS Biol 19, e3001237.
- 938

Journal Prevenoo







D

Е

	VH F6		F6-ab8-Fc	
SARS-CoV-2 VOCs	Pseudoviruses (IC50, nM)	Live virus (IC50, nM)	Pseudoviruses (IC50, nM)	Live virus (IC50, nM)
WT	31.08	129.8	0.06	0.26
Alpha	40.32	149	6.72	0.21
Beta	3.62	6.18	1.05	1.47
Delta	0.86	169.9	0.02	0.51
Omicron BA.1	268.9	324.3	10.86	0.92
Omicron BA.2	1.38	n.d.	0.85	n.d.





- Identification of a human V_H with broad neutralization against SARS-CoV-2 VOCs
- CryoEM reveals an unique binding paratope of F6 involving the framework region
- The biparatopic antibody (F6-ab8-Fc) enhances the neutralization potency
- F6-ab8-Fc reduces disease burden and protects mice from the Beta variant mortality

Journal Prevention