Analysis of the relationship between patients’ fear of falling and prescriber acceptance of pharmacists’ recommendations
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Abstract:

Background: Certain medications place older adults at higher risk for experiencing a fall. This is a modifiable risk factor that may be managed by community pharmacists, but requires prescriber acceptance of recommendations. Previous studies indicate that prescriber acceptance of pharmacist recommendations may be impacted by patient-reported concerns.

Objective: To examine the relationship between patient-reported fear of falling and prescriber acceptance of pharmacist recommendations. Methods: A prospective, observational study design was used. Eligible patients were age 65 and older and received care at one of 31 participating pharmacies in North Carolina. Eligible patients were screened for falls risk using three evidence-based questions; patients screening positive were eligible for a comprehensive medication review. Data was collected via a prescriber response form. The primary outcome was the prescriber acceptance of pharmacist recommendations. Results: Five hundred and sixty-two recommendations were communicated to prescribers, with 338 (60%) for patients who worried about falling. There was no significant difference in prescriber acceptance rate between those who worried about falling and those who did not (36.1% vs. 41.1%, X²=0.23).

Conclusion: For patients who indicated they had a fear of falling, prescribers were not more likely to consider a pharmacist’s recommendations regarding medications that contribute to falls risk.

Keywords: community pharmacy services; medication therapy management; interdisciplinary communication; aged; fall
**Introduction:**

Falls in older adults cause a significant burden to both patients and the healthcare system. Globally, 646,000 people die each year from a fatal fall, with those older than the age of 65 at the greatest risk (World Health Organization. Collaborating Centre for Research and Training in Mental Health, 2018). Following a nonfatal fall, patients are more likely to report serious and non-serious physical injury, as well as decline in physical and social activities (Stel, Smit, Pluijm, & Lips, 2004). Furthermore, one estimate placed the total fall-related medical costs at $50 billion for 2015 in the United States alone, making fall injuries one of the most expensive medical conditions (Carroll, Slattum, & Cox, 2005; Florence et al., 2018).

While a wide range of factors may contribute to an individual’s risk of falling, evidence suggests that medication use may play a significant role. A meta-analysis by Woolcott et al. (2009) found a significant relationship between risk of falls and the use of antidepressants, benzodiazepines, sedatives/hypnotics, antipsychotics, and other medication classes. Accordingly, many of these medications are included in the American Geriatrics Society’s Beers’ Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults (Samuel, 2015). These medications are often referred to in the literature as “high-risk medications” due to their increased risk of adverse events, including falls, in older adults. One study shows that medication management services can decrease the risk of falls in older adults by 39% (Pit et al., 2007).

Given their frequent contact with patients and training in medication management, community pharmacists can play an important role in reducing high-risk medication use and falls risk through medication management services (MMS) (Casteel, Blalock, Ferreri, Roth, &
Demby, 2011). A key component of MMS in the community pharmacy setting is the pharmacist-led medication review, which is defined by The Joint Commission of Pharmacy Practitioners as “a spectrum of patient-centered, pharmacist-provided, collaborative services that focus on medication appropriateness, effectiveness, safety, and adherence with the goal of improving health outcomes” (Joint Commission of Pharmacy Practitioners, 2018). MMS performed by pharmacists has been demonstrated to be both economically and clinically beneficial for several disease states, including falls prevention (Doucette et al., 2017; Morrison & MacRae, 2015). Despite evidence of improved outcomes, the overall rates at which prescribers implement pharmacists’ recommendations following medication review vary widely between studies (Casteel et al., 2011; Doucette, McDonough, Klepser, & McCarthy, 2005; Kwint, Bermingham, Faber, Gussekloo, & Bouvy, 2013; Michaels, Jenkins, Pruss, Heidrick, & Ferreri, 2010; Morrison & MacRae, 2015; Roth et al., 2013). Reasons reported for not implementing a pharmacist’s recommendation include lack of willingness of the patient, lack of program engagement by the primary care physician, and information about the patients’ medical histories that was unknown to the pharmacist (Morrison & MacRae, 2015; Rose et al., 2016). These results suggest a need for more in-depth analysis of factors that affect prescriber implementation rates.

Another factor that may contribute to an individual’s risk of falling is having a fear of falling, with several studies finding that patients who fear falling are at an increased risk of falling than those who do not (Allali, Ayers, Holtzer, & Verghese, 2017; Bruce, Hunter, Peters, Davis, & Davis, 2015; Enderlin et al., 2015; Landers, Oscar, Sasaoka, & Vaughn, 2016). The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) has developed a toolkit that addresses risk factors such as
fear of falling and high-risk medication use. The Stopping Elderly Accidents, Deaths, and Injuries (STEADI) toolkit is a comprehensive resource guide for healthcare professionals to assess and address falls risk in their patients aged 65 and older (Stevens & Phelan, 2013). This toolkit provides resources and tools that can be applied across a wide variety of practice settings, including community pharmacies.

While several studies have demonstrated that communication strategies and relationships between pharmacists and prescribers may influence implementation rates (Kwint et al., 2013), literature regarding clinical factors that contribute to the implementation of pharmacists’ recommendations by prescribers is lacking. One study by Hanus et al. (2016) analyzed pharmacists’ interventions using the anticholinergic risk scale (ARS), but found that neither baseline ARS score nor baseline number of medications were predictors of implementation rate. This suggests that even robust clinical surrogates may not influence prescribers’ willingness to alter medication therapy. Boesen et al. (2011) analyzed prescribers’ implementation of pharmacist recommendations by type of medication therapy problem (MTP) (i.e. guideline adherence, safety, cost-saving) and found that prescribers were more willing to accept recommendations regarding cost-savings as opposed to guideline adherence or safety. Since patients report being less likely to take higher-cost medications (Dolovich et al., 2008), this result suggests that prescribers may be more likely to address MTPs that reflect patient concerns. As stated previously, patients who fear falling are at a higher risk for falling. This fear also has a negative impact on their quality of life (Patil, Uusi-Rasi, Kannus, Karinkanta, & Sievänen, 2014). Therefore, we hypothesized that fear of falling may be a patient concern that increases prescriber acceptance of pharmacist recommendations intended to mitigate this risk.
Methods

Patient Population and Study Design

Participants in this study were a subset of patients from a randomized-controlled trial currently being conducted by investigators at the University of North Carolina Eshelman School of Pharmacy with funding from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Cooperative Agreement Number, 5 U01 CE002769-01]. A total of sixty-five pharmacies, all located in North Carolina, participated in the parent study. Thirty-one of these pharmacies were assigned to the intervention group. Participants included in this paper were limited to those served by one of the thirty-one intervention-group pharmacies.

Patients were included in the parent study if within the three months prior to the study, they: (1) were age ≥ 65 years, (2) filled ≥ 80% of their prescriptions at a participating pharmacy, and (3) took ≥ 4 chronic medications of any kind or ≥ 1 high-risk medications. Medication use was determined using information from prescription claims and dispensing records. For the purpose of this study, high-risk medications included antidepressants, benzodiazepines, opioid analgesics, antipsychotics, sedative hypnotics, anticonvulsants, skeletal muscle relaxants, antihypertensives, and strongly anticholinergic medications.

A list of patients who met the eligibility criteria described above was provided to each participating pharmacy. Pharmacy staff screened eligible patients for falls risk by asking the following three questions from the STEADI toolkit: “Have you fallen in the past year?”, “Do you feel unsteady when standing or walking?”, and “Do you worry about falling?” If a patient responded “yes,” to at least one these questions, they were eligible to receive a comprehensive medication review (CMR).
During the CMR, pharmacists evaluated the patient’s medication regimen for high-risk medications and used evidence-based algorithms developed by the study team to recommend medication changes aimed at reducing the patient’s risk of falling. Pharmacists then used a prescriber communication form (Appendix A) to inform the patient’s prescriber(s) of any MTPs identified and corresponding recommendations. The prescriber communication form also provided space to document patient responses to the three STEADI screening questions.

Upon receiving a recommendation from a pharmacist, prescribers were asked complete a prescriber response form (Appendix B) that included the following two statements: (1) “I plan to discuss medication information with the patient at their next visit” and (2) “I plan to make changes to this patient’s medication regimen based on this information.” For each statement, prescribers were requested to select either “yes,” “no,” or “unsure”. Pharmacists were required to reach out to prescribers a minimum of two times to demonstrate a good faith effort to obtain a completed response form back from each prescriber.

Participants included in this paper were limited to those who screened positive for falls risk (i.e., answered “yes” to at least one of the three STEADI screening questions), received a CMR, and had at least one specific medication regimen change recommendation recorded on the prescriber communication form. Regimen changes could include a change in dose, agent, administration or other change as determined by investigators.

**Primary outcome variable**

The primary outcome was prescriber acceptance of medication recommendations. A recommendation was coded as accepted if the prescriber checked “yes” to either “I will discuss
medication information with the patient at their next visit” or “I will make changes to the patient’s medication regimen based on this information.” Recommendations for which follow-up from the prescriber was not obtained were considered not accepted.

*Predictor variable*

The primary predictor variable was participant response to the question “Do you worry about falling?” (Y/N), administered during the screening process.

*Data analysis*

Data were analyzed using SAS University Edition software (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina). A chi-square test was performed to determine if the proportion of pharmacist recommendations accepted by prescribers differed between patients who reported being worried about falling compared to those who reported that they were not worried about falling. This relationship was also examined using logistic regression to control for age, gender, and pharmacy. Statistical significance was evaluated with Type I error set at p < 0.05.
Figure 1. Study design. Abbreviation used: CMR, comprehensive medication review.
Results

Participants were primarily female (73%) with a mean age of 75.7 ± 7.9 years. This was similar between cohorts. Medication recommendations were communicated to prescribers on 562 occasions for 550 unique patients. Individual patients may have been included more than once if medication recommendations were sent to multiple prescribers. Qualifying medication recommendations were collected from 28 of the 31 participating pharmacies. One pharmacy did not complete any CMRs, and two others did not make any medication recommendations as part of their completed CMRs and were therefore not included in the final analysis. As shown in Figure 1, 338 (60%) recommendations were for patients who indicated that they worried about falling.

Prescriber Acceptance

Overall, 214 (38.1%) recommendations were accepted by prescribers. The difference in prescriber acceptance rate between patients who reported worrying about falling and those who did not was not statistically significant (36.1% vs. 41.1%, respectively, \(X^2=0.23\)). This difference remained nonsignificant after controlling for age, gender, and pharmacy (\(p=0.83\)).

Prescriber Acceptance by Pharmacy

The median acceptance rate across the 28 pharmacies that made qualifying recommendations was 33%, with acceptance rates for individual pharmacies ranging from 0% to 100%. Of the 28 included pharmacies, 22 (79%) had acceptance rates of less than or equal to 50%. An exploratory logistic regression analysis was performed to analyze the effect of the
individual pharmacy on prescriber acceptance rate, controlling for patients’ worry about falling, age, and gender. This analysis showed a statistically significant difference in acceptance rates (p=0.047) across the individual pharmacies. **Figure 2** shows the distribution of acceptance rates by pharmacy.

![Figure 2. Recommendation acceptance rate by pharmacy.](image)

**Discussion:**

Community pharmacists have the ability to identify patients at an increased risk for falls and leverage their expertise in medication therapy to reduce this risk. This study and others show, however, that acceptance of falls-related medication recommendations by prescribers is highly variable.

The results of this study suggest that knowledge related to patient concerns about falling does not influence prescribers’ decision to accept a falls-related medication
recommendation from a community pharmacist. Consequently, more research examining clinical factors that may be leveraged to encourage collaboration between pharmacists and their patients’ prescribers is needed. That being said, it is widely acknowledged that a productive relationship between pharmacists and prescribers is multifactorial and the fact that this study demonstrated substantial variability in acceptance rates between individual pharmacies supports this concept.

This study was novel in its attempt to probe the impact of the patient-concerning factor of fear of falling in the CMR process. Importantly, it is unreasonable to expect most patients to understand the psychologic and physiologic relationships between their worries about falling, their medication regimen, and the risk of falling. Given the results of the study and the limited impact that fear of falling seems to have on prescriber acceptance of pharmacist recommendations related to high-risk medications, it may be reasonable to expect that a patient who does not understand the relationship between their medications and fall risk may not feel empowered to make changes to their medication regimen. In this study, data were not collected regarding whether recommendations were also communicated to the patient, nor if the patient was accepting of the recommendation. Although patients may not initially make the connection between a medication they are taking and their risk of falls, explaining this information to them during a CMR and documenting a willingness to make medication changes may be useful information to provide to their prescriber. A pilot study by Mott et al. implemented a similar falls prevention program to the one described here, but with a key difference: changes in over-the-counter (OTC) product use were included in their analysis. (Mott et al., 2016) This study showed a relatively high overall recommendation acceptance rate of
75%, speculated to be largely due to the inclusion of OTC products, which importantly, can be changed by the patient without prescriber consent (Mott et al., 2016). This result suggests that patients are willing to make informed decisions about their medication therapy when empowered, and that perhaps by more directly involving patients in the decision-making process, it may result in improved outcomes. Despite significant advancements in the role of pharmacists as members of the healthcare team, more research is required into the pharmacist’s role in patient-centered care, particularly as it relates to patient engagement.

**Limitations:**

There are several limitations to this study. First, the study was conducted in independent community pharmacies in North Carolina that provide established clinical services, which may limit generalizability to other geographic areas and pharmacies with less well-established clinical services. In addition, the definition of prescriber acceptance for the purposes of this study was indirect, as the prescribers’ indication of plans to discuss or change medications does not necessarily mean that the changes were implemented. Ideally, a study would use claims data to determine if the recommended medication changes were actually implemented and maintained over a period of time. Furthermore, as previously mentioned, there was a broad distribution of acceptance rates between participating pharmacies suggesting that additional variables might play a more significant role in prescriber acceptance. Further research is warranted to determine those aspects of individual pharmacies and pharmacists that may influence prescriber acceptance of community pharmacists’ recommendations.
Conclusion:

This study found no difference in the rate of prescriber acceptance of pharmacists’ recommendations between those patients who worry about falling and those who do not. Pharmacists have the knowledge and tools to address potentially inappropriate medication use in their older adult patients to reduce their fall risk. However, traditional barriers to implementation and success of clinical services in the community pharmacy setting remain. This study indicates the importance of continued research on strategies for effective collaboration between patients, community pharmacists, and prescribers.
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Appendix A. Prescriber communication form.

Your patient is at increased risk for falls based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) STEADI Protocol. After a review of the patient’s current medications and a discussion with the patient, we identified medication problems that may increase the patient’s risk for falls. Please consider the medication recommendation(s) to decrease the patient’s risk for falls.

The CDC STEADI Protocol recommends a physician perform an evaluation of gait, balance, and strength.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FALLS RISK FACTOR(S) IDENTIFIED</th>
<th>FACTOR PRESENT?</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any falls in the past year?</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worries about falling?</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feels unsteady when standing or walking?</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms of lightheadedness or dizziness from lying to standing?</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking 4+ medications?</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking 1+ high-risk medication(s)?</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please indicate the action taken by your practice on the prescriber response form and fax it back to the pharmacy with any new prescriptions.

Pharmacist Signature: __________________________

Pharmacy Name: ____________________________

Fax Number: ____________________________ Phone Number: ____________________________ Available: ____________________________

*Original forms have been updated and are available for download at the Center for Medication Optimization, UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Chapel Hill, North Carolina. https://pharmacy.unc.edu/research/centers/cmo/resources/
Appendix B. Prescriber response form.

**PRESCRIBER RESPONSE FORM**

**Patient:** ____________________________  **DOB:** _______________________

Please complete this form and **return it to the pharmacy**. Remember: If making medication changes please send a separate **new prescription**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action on Recommendation(s) Received</th>
<th>Prescriber Response</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acknowledge fax received</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I found this information useful</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No □ Unsure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I plan to perform an evaluation of</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No □ Unsure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gait, balance, and strength with the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patient at their next visit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I plan to discuss medication</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No □ Unsure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information with the patient at their</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>next visit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I plan to make changes to this</td>
<td>□ Yes □ No □ Unsure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patient's medication regimen based</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on this information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Notes:**

**Prescriber Signature:** ____________________________  **Date:** _____________

*Original forms have been updated and are available for download at the Center for Medication Optimization, UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina. [https://pharmacy.unc.edu/research/centers/cmo/resources/](https://pharmacy.unc.edu/research/centers/cmo/resources/)