Jennifer K. Thompson. Romance in the Stacks: The Prevalence of Romance Fiction in Academic Libraries. A Master's Paper for the M.S. in L.S degree. July, 2010. 49 pages. Advisor: Barbara B. Moran

Prior research has examined the appeal of romance novels for its predominantly female readership. However, there is a lack of research on romance novels in academic library collections. Research studies have proven the relevance of browsing and recreational reading collections in academic libraries; certain studies have even shown that recreational reading for college students helps with academic achievement. Despite these findings, recreational reading promotion in academic libraries has been on the decline for several decades. This study was designed to investigate the catalog holdings of romance titles (which included two lists of classic and contemporary romances) held at the libraries of five North Carolina colleges and universities. The study shows that all five academic institutions hold more than 90% of the classic titles. However, the collections in these libraries do not include many of the contemporary titles. Only one library held more than 20% of those titles.

Headings:

Romance Novels

Academic Libraries

Browsing Collections

Recreational Reading

ROMANCE IN THE STACKS: THE PREVALENCE OF ROMANCE FICTION IN ACADEMIC LIBRARIES

by Jennifer K. Thompson

A Master's paper submitted to the faculty of the School of Information and Library Science of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Library Science.

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

July 2010

Approved by		
Barbara B. Moran	 	

Introduction

Popular fiction or genre fiction, particularly romance novels, has had a long and tumultuous relationship with libraries and its status as a legitimate literary genre has been questioned for several decades (Regis, 2003). It can be surmised that societal views concerning romance novels have compromised their legitimacy and acceptability in libraries, both public and academic. Some issues stem from a belief that the romance novel, as a literary genre, does not provide adequate (if any) intellectual stimulation and therefore carries a pejorative connotation (Regis, 2003); however, some other genre fiction categories, like science fiction and mystery, have had a more acceptable and uncontested presence in library collections (Funderburk, 2004).

In the *Oxford Dictionary of Literary Terms* genre fiction is defined as a broad group of fiction that is classified into genres such as romance, western, science fiction, mystery, thriller, etc. The definition continues by saying that genre fiction has the type of story that offers readers what they would expect on the basis of reading similar works within the genre. Literary fiction, the presumed opposite of genre fiction, is expected to surpass generic boundaries and offer more original imaginative explorations for its readers (Oxford, 2008). The idea that genre fiction, specifically romance novels, do not seem to offer the same attributes as literary fiction has perhaps been a reason that has impeded its acceptance in libraries and, more generally, in our culture.

The "Librarian as gatekeeper" has been a long-lived characteristic associated with the library profession and it may still play a role in how today's libraries collect

popular fiction. In the past couple of decades, scholars have begun to accept romance fiction as a subject worthy of academic consideration (Radway, 1984; Regis, 2003). However, librarians still seem to be hesitant in completely accepting romance novels as "legitimate" literature and, maybe even more so, as being worthy of purchase for library collections. In *Genreflecting* (Herald & Wiegand, 2000), the authors attest that "romance may be the least comfortable of genres for librarians" (p.202). Although there has been some research and discussion considering romance novels and their role in libraries (Adkins, Esser, Velasquez, & Hill, 2008; Charles & Linz, 2005), recent discussion has emerged concerning the benefits of providing services such as contemporary fiction collections and extracurricular reading promotion for college students at academic libraries (Rathe & Blankenship, 2006; Trott & Elliott, 2009).

Although there has been discussion on the appeal of romance novels and their increased popularity in public libraries (Charles & Linz, 2005), there does not appear to be much scholarship concerning whether genre fiction plays a role in browsing collections at academic libraries. A browsing collection consists of recently released fiction and non-fiction books intended to be used for recreational reading. Many academic libraries lease books for browsing collections from vendors. According to Odess-Harnish (2003), the well-publicized debate concerning academic librarians' responsibility for collections of popular culture materials and their relevance in an academic and research setting can be, at least partially, resolved with leased popular literature collections.

Romance novels have a substantial presence in public libraries nationwide and there has been some research on the collection of romance novels in public libraries

(Adkins et al., 2008; Funderburk, 2004). However, in spite of this discussion, there has not been adequate research in the library and information science field that clearly articulates the relationships between the appeal of romance novels and the development of the romance genre in library collections. Previous research seems to offer guidance for public libraries on how they can include the acquisition of romance novels in their collection development policies; however, there is not similar research concerning academic libraries. Furthermore, the irregularity of published research on this subject area is not effectively engaging in the conversations about genre fiction in libraries.

For the past several decades, there has been a growing acceptance in academic library collections for the inclusion of genre fiction materials as popular materials have become more acceptable to researchers (Radway, 1984). There has been some research available focusing on the particular issue of accommodating popular literature collections in academic libraries (Odess-Harnish, 2003). Some articles outline several of the problems that impede academic libraries ability to collect these materials and they offer some recommendations and short-term solutions (Elliott, 2007; Trott &Elliot, 2009); however, only a few articles focus on the genre fiction, such as graphic novels and science fiction titles, that can be found in academic library collections and what that might mean for the users of these libraries. Relying on some of the past research on romance novels and recreational reading promotion in academic libraries, this study will focus on the acceptance and acquisition of romance novels for library collections in five North Carolina academic libraries.

- What romance titles (classic and contemporary) can be found at five North Carolina colleges and universities?
- Are contemporary romance titles available in the general stacks of these libraries? Or are they housed in browsing/recreational reading collections?

Review of the Literature

The appeal of romances: its readership, its relevance

In The Romance Readers' Advisory: the Librarian's Guide to Love in the Stacks (Bouricius, 2000), the author affirms that romance novels are stories emphasizing the relationship between the heroine and hero and these stories always seem to have a happy ending. Their mass appeal lies primarily in their content which includes an enduring relationship between a man and woman and a positive ending (among other elements) (Bouricius, 2000). Romance fiction is composed of a number of subgenres, such as historical, paranormal, regency, and western romances, which appeal to a wide range of readers. These subgenres attract people from many different readerships because they succeed in crossing over into other popular fiction genres like crime and mystery, science fiction and fantasy, westerns, paranormal, and historical fiction. Romance readers know exactly what they want from a romance novel; ultimately, readers are seeking an escape from the chores of their daily lives (Radway, 1984). As several scholars point out, it is believed by many critics that romance novels are patriarchal and that their readers, mainly referring to women, are somehow unintelligent and unsophisticated because they read what is considered lowbrow literature in the form of romance novels (Regis, 2003). In spite of these negative critiques of the genre, romance novel readers wish to believe in certain ideals exalted by authors in this genre such as love at first sight, commitment, passion, and devotion.

In *Dangerous Men and Adventurous Women: Romance Writers on the Appeal of Romance* (Krentz, 1992), the author states that many critics do not approve of romance novels and suggest that the readers of this genre have low education levels and no sophistication (Krentz, 1992). In Elizabeth Lowell's chapter in this book entitled "Love

Conquers All," she questions why readers, especially females, would find romance fiction so appealing when some of these stories feature fierce and formidable male heroes who are oftentimes patriarchal. Lowell wonders whether their appeal is due to the dominance of powerful male figures in many other book genres such as mystery, thrillers, and adventure novels. Why are these book genres not as passionately hailed by female readers as the romance genre? Lowell answers her own inquiry, and presumably many others, in explaining that "only in romances is an enduring, constructive bond—love—between a man and a woman celebrated" (Krentz, 1992, p. 90). So, her answer reveals an interesting paradox; though romance is typically considered male-dominated, women find inspiration and hope in these texts.

There have also been studies that investigate how romance novels, through building para-social relationships, affect readership populations (Burnett & Beto, 2000). In "Reading Romance Novels: An Application of Parasocial Relationship Theory" (Burnett & Beto, 2000), the authors investigated whether romance novels had an impact in creating and sustaining para-social relationships. They defined para-social relationships as interactions involving individuals who have one-sided relations with people or organizations in the mass media (Burnett & Beto, 2000). For instance, the interaction between a fan of the New York Yankees and the actual players of the baseball team can be considered a para-social relationship. The baseball fan may know everything about the players but these players cannot claim to have the same amount of knowledge of this baseball fan. In regards to romance novels, readers take on the story being told and feel that they are somehow involved in a particular story. The romance genre transcends the page for these persons.

The researchers held three focus groups, each having five participants. The authors noted that there were limitations to their study, one being that fifteen is not an adequate number of participants to yield statistically significant results for this type of study. However, similar comments and responses were collected in all three focus groups which allowed the researchers to generalize and quantify the data collected. During the focus groups, the participants were asked to comment on how their personal/spousal relationships were affected when reading romance novels. The results indicated that women found similar characteristics between their spouses and the heroes in the novels; they determined these women would probably be friends with the characters in the novels because they may have comparable moral values. Reading romance novels then becomes a form of escapism (Burnett & Beto, 2000). This study gives insight into the appeal of the romance genre and the reasoning behind its popularity and the loyalty of its readers in addition to being a valuable resource for librarians.

Author Bouricius retold the story of an avid romance reader; her story is one that should resonate with librarians. The author stated that this particular person had her Ph.D. in Sociology and worked for a state university. This romance reader began reading romances to get through some tough times and since that time, she had not been able to stop reading them. This woman also chose to go to her local library to borrow these books but she found this endeavor to sometimes be more arduous than expected. Her experience has been shared by many other romance readers. This sociologist encouraged librarians to support recreational reading and to be open-minded to all types of genre fiction (Bouricius, 2000, p.32-33). Romance readers, more often than not, do not expect to use the library for their reading needs, instead choosing to buy romance novels or trade

with friends (Bouricius, 2000; Herald & Wiegand, 2000). Although Bouricius states that librarians seem to have an uneasy relationship with romance novels, there is evidence of a growing acceptance of romance, especially in public libraries. In the next section of the literature review, romance fiction in the public library realm will be evaluated.

Romance fiction in public libraries: collection development

In "Romance Novels in American Public Libraries: A Study of Collection

Development Practices," Adkins et al. reported on a national survey conducted in order to see how public libraries acquire romance novels for their collections. Some focal points that the authors considered throughout the study were the size of libraries' romance collections; the manner in which libraries acquired romance novels (by purchase or donation); the budget for romance novels if the materials were acquired through purchase; the subgenres of romance novels that could be found in collections; and the formats of the romance novels collected (Adkins et al., 2008). For the authors, the lack of prior research in this area is a significant factor. Adkins et al. note that the available literature on this topic merely suggests attitudes and practices that librarians should adopt when considering these materials; however, there is little to no research on how personal attitudes and perceptions of librarians may have certain effects on their respective library collections (Adkins et al., 2008).

Adkins et al. sent surveys to 1,020 public library directors within 49 states; the public libraries were selected randomly from state listings. The survey contained 28 questions, which ranged from facts about the library's policies (i.e., acquisitions by purchase or donation) to librarian's personal attitudes toward romance novels. There were also questions that pertained to the romance fiction collections at the libraries. The results

from the survey provide an overall look at how one genre of fiction, romance, is regarded in collection development practices for public libraries. This study has important implications for public libraries and their librarians because the research highlights several issues indicating flaws in the collection development practices and in many librarians' personal perceptions of romance fiction. By asking attitudinal questions on the survey the authors were attempting to find out whether collection development practices were driven by patron demands or the personal attitudes of librarians (Adkins et al., 2008).

In "Romance Collections in North Carolina Public Libraries: Are all Genres Treated Equally," Amy Funderburk focused her research on whether romances are regarded equally with other genres in a fiction collection and if reviewing practices impact their appearance in a collection (Funderburk, 2004). Funderburk drew up a list of 169 titles (western, science fiction and fantasy, romance, mystery, and horror) that were chosen from the winners of awards from five writing associations that give awards for genre fiction books. With these 169 titles Funderburk checked to see if reviews existed for these books, using resources like *Library Journal, Booklist, Publisher's Weekly, Kirkus*, and the *New York Times Review of Books*. Then, she searched for each title in WorldCat to find the North Carolina public libraries that held a paper copy of these titles (audio, video, and large print copies were not included). With this collection of data, Funderburk analyzed the correlation between "the availability of reviews and the number of North Carolina libraries carrying the selected titles" (Funderburk, 2004, p.14).

The results of this analysis showed that only 50% of the romance titles were available at the Durham County Public Library, as compared to 69% of westerns, 56% of

horror, 100% of science fiction and fantasy, and 97% of mystery titles (Funderburk, 2004, p.15-16). Although these results seem to indicate an imbalance within the genre collection, Funderburk established that there could be other explanations and factors that impact her inference. For example, the demand for this genre by the community could be a potential explanation. Through her research, she learned that mainstream reviewing journals only began to review romance novels beginning in 1995. She asserts that there is still an imbalance because romances seem to be reviewed less frequently than other genres. However, Funderburk found that the number of reviews for romance novels had doubled between 1995 and 2003, from 32% to 64%. All things considered, however, romance novels are still the genre with the least amount of reviews. Although reviews of romance novels have increased, they are still not frequently reviewed especially in comparison to other genres.

The results of these two papers seem to indicate that the collection development practices of librarians are influenced by a derisive view of romance novels. Adkins et al. encourage library and information professionals to view romance novels as a legitimate topic of research and asks them to consider personal perceptions towards certain types of literature when making decisions for collections. Librarians must be aware of any biases which may help or hinder the development of their respective genre collections; in many cases, they are hindering the romance collections because they may hold a poor opinion of the romance genre. Funderburk states that romance novels are at a distinct disadvantage compared to other genres because librarians rely greatly on reviews and there are not as many for romances. She believes that librarians who rely heavily on the reviewing process may have to switch gears and use other resources to determine which

romance novels to acquire for their collections.

Recreational reading collections in academic libraries: readers' advisory and the academic librarian

In "Do they read for pleasure? Recreational reading habits of college students,"

Jude D. Gallik asks whether academic achievement is impacted at all by the time undergraduate students spend reading for pleasure. The purpose of this study was to determine whether "a positive relationship exists between the amount of time spent in recreational reading and academic achievement" (Gallik, 1999, 480). In the study, Gallik conducted a survey; 151 surveys were administered and 139 usable surveys were received. The respondents were students enrolled in four sections of the first-year composition and three upper level writing classes at a small liberal arts college in central Texas. The survey consisted of questions that asked about age, gender, student classification, and cumulative grade point average; whether the students participated in an honors program or a comprehensive program for those with learning disabilities; and how much time was spent on recreational reading during the school year and vacations.

The items that were considered recreational reading were newspapers, magazines, comic books, poetry, letters/email/chat rooms, internet, novels, and nonfiction books. For novels, the students were asked to specify what genre of literature they preferred and to name their favorite author(s). During the school year, the majority of students seemed engaged in recreational reading from 1-2 hours a week and during vacations, students seemed to be more likely to read anywhere between 1-2 hours per week and 3-5 hours per week. For reading interests, the results were varied but the most popular (voted: read frequently) were magazines which showed 75 out of the 139 respondents favored them;

there were 65 for letters/email/chat rooms, 55 for the internet, 54 for newspapers, and 46 for novels. The author inferred from the results that there was a positive link between cumulative grade point averages for students and their recreational reading habits.

The article "Recreational Reading Collections in Academic Libraries," examines whether there is a role for browsing or recreational reading collections in academic libraries, particularly looking at the University of Northern Colorado (UNC) libraries (Rathe & Blankenship, 2006). The authors argue that, although a library collection at an academic institution must reflect the mission of that institution, the foundation of a browsing collection supports the academic excellence of its students and the general excellence of the institution. Allowing access to browsing collections is a necessary service that libraries should provide if they are to fulfill the educational need of its users. The researchers conducted a survey and the surveys were placed in all of the books in the browsing collection; surveys were also placed in a box in the vicinity of the browsing area; and a web version of the survey was put up and publicized on the library's website (Rathe & Blankenship, 2006). There were fifty-five surveys completed and they were filled out by undergraduate students (25), graduate students (8), faculty, staff members, and friends of the library and community members (Rathe & Blankenship, 2006).

Although there were a small number of respondents to the survey, the researchers believed that they had sufficient information to analyze users' perceptions of the browsing collection. They found that the library's current recreational reading collection received positive feedback. There were questions in the survey and a comment section where respondents could answer with short responses. The short responses section is another place that researchers found positive feedback and input. Through the research

and input of the survey respondents, Rathe and Blankenship confirmed that browsing collections have some valuable benefits, such as alleviating stress, providing access to bestselling novels and nonfiction books, ease of browsing, and the ambiance of the browsing area which promotes comfort, to name a few (Rathe & Blankenship, 2006). Having a browsing collection that it easy to locate and use, and which has the most recent bestselling works of fiction and nonfiction seems to be very important to the users of an academic library.

In "Giving Pleasure its Due: Collection Promotion and Readers' Advisory in Academic Libraries," (2008) Rochelle Smith and Nancy J. Young appear to agree with the conclusions found in the Rathe and Blankenship (2006) and Gallik (1999) articles. This article advocates for readers' advisory and collection promotion in academic libraries. The difference between these two phrases is that collection promotion highlights "the materials that a library owns. It brings the collection to the attention of library users" and readers' advisory "is more individual and on-the-spot, at the point of need for a patron" (Smith & Young, 2008, p.522). Smith and Young state that librarians are usually not prepared to answer questions about tastes or preferences; they are readily prepared, however, to answer the usual reference questions. The authors suggest that when a student or patron comes to the reference desk with questions about genre books or browsing this is an opportune moment for the librarians to highlight the items in the collection as well as foster a stronger relationship between the librarians and the library's users. These articles focus on browsing and recreational reading collections in academic libraries and their important role in the academic environment in addition to the role of the librarian in promoting these collections. The researchers in these articles discovered a

connection between browsing collections and academic excellence at the college or university level and suggest that librarians have the potential to foster recreational reading habits which would positively impact student performance.

Although there is some research on the romance genre in public libraries (Adkins et al., 2008; Funderburk, 2004) and the relevance of browsing collections, which include fiction and nonfiction titles in academic libraries (Gallik, 1999; Rathe & Blankenship, 2006; Smith & Young, 2008), analysis of permanent collections containing genre fiction, particularly romance novels, should be considered further. Studies should analyze library promotional trends which may directly or indirectly encourage increased romance novel readership in public and private libraries. This final section of the review of literature examines some barriers in collecting and housing recreational reading collections and other contemporary fiction material that exist for many academic libraries.

Challenges in collecting and housing contemporary fiction in academic library collections

For many years, academic libraries, and libraries in general, have had to struggle with the ever-growing changes affecting how they serve their community users. With the increasing demands for information and technology in libraries some services have been cast aside. One such service appears to be browsing/recreational reading collections in academic libraries. The author of "Making Sense of Leased Popular Literature Collections," (Odess-Harnish, 2003) writes that "budget constraints, space issues, and the idea that these materials are not of a high enough caliber to belong in a research library, are all widely documented concerns as to why popular culture materials—especially popular literature titles—are still not making their way into an academic library's permanent collection" (p.56). Odess-Harnish suggests the use of leased collections,

offering several hundred current fiction and nonfiction mass-market titles, as a possible solution to at least temporarily resolve the issue of creating access to popular literature in academic libraries.

In "Academic Libraries and Extracurricular Reading Promotion," (2007) Julie Elliot agrees with Odess-Harnish by saying that "the same three culprits that hamper just about every project in our profession: budget, staff time, and space" (p.35) creates distinct barriers for promoting this type of readers' advisory service. In this article, Elliot considered the history of extracurricular reading promotion in academic libraries and examines the current practices in academic libraries by surveying academic library practitioners. This author also indicated that "perhaps the largest issue in the decline is something academic librarians of today can also relate to—ever-increasing demands on one's professional time and library resources" (p.36). Elliot also noted that browsing rooms were once the main source of extracurricular reading promotion in academic libraries but since this service has continued to be neglected, it is in danger of disappearing altogether (p.36). Browsing rooms have been, and some may argue still are, an ideal location for showcasing new material as well as its potential for programming opportunities in the libraries.

In order to find out what academic libraries were doing currently to promote extracurricular reading Elliot created a sixteen question survey and sent it to several discussion lists across the United States as well as library directors of the 111 academic libraries belonging to the Association of Research Libraries. The survey was answered by 270 people but not all respondents answered every question. Her findings show that 71.4% of those surveyed reported that their library had a browsing area; out of those who

reported having a browsing area, 66.2% of these browsing areas are kept in a separate room. According to her findings, some methods for providing extracurricular reading have had more success than others. Some respondents reported that blogging about titles in their popular reading collection played a role in increasing circulation. Other libraries reported that collaborating with local public libraries such as a paperback exchange program provided some positive and long-lasting results. And other libraries have been fortunate because there has been a strong tradition of recreational reading supported by students at their institutions. These are only just a few examples that were gleaned from Elliot's survey findings.

In a later article Elliot and a co-author, (Trott & Elliot, 2009) discuss the results from several studies that show the decline of literacy rates for college-level students. To help reverse the slide in literacy rates, library directors as well as other educators would like to see an increase in the promotion of leisure reading but there are other priorities that intrude on this endeavor. As stated above, a common reason for the decline in promoting extracurricular reading in academic libraries is budgetary constraints. As one library manager writes, "my library does not have sufficient funding to acquire everything we should to support teaching, learning, and research. I would not be a good manager of my budget if I used some of my insufficient funding to acquire materials that are available at the public library" (p.341). Again, the authors provide suggestions that are relatively low-cost options for academic libraries who want to begin or restart promoting this service. Examples of these suggestions in the promotion of popular titles in the collection that support the curriculum are new title displays, paperback exchange programs, and collaborating with local public libraries.

This literature review provides some data and evidence that genre fiction such as like romance novels has a place in public and academic library collections. In *Popular Fiction* (1998), Gary Hoppenstand writes that "despite these impressive sales figures, [168 million copies of romance novels sold in 1979 alone], romance fiction is perhaps the least critically regarded category of popular fiction (p.127). The author goes on to say that there appears to be a discord between romance fiction's popularity and its lack of positive critical review because the genre is viewed by an uninformed academic and literary cluster of people (Hoppenstand, 1998). Although more and more libraries are accommodating the collection of popular culture materials, especially in browsing/recreational reading rooms, some of these items are still not finding a place in academic libraries' permanent collections. It is this author's purpose to investigate the prevalence of classic and contemporary romance title holdings in five North Carolina colleges and universities.

Methodology

In this study involving academic library holdings of romance novels it was decided to include both romance fiction titles categorized as classic and contemporary to account for the diversity of materials in the genre. The author identified two lists of romance titles: one list that identified classic titles and another list with contemporary titles. The classic romance titles were taken from a reference source *Classic Love and Romance Literature: an encyclopedia of works, characters, authors and themes* by Virginia Brackett. The 69 titles in this reference source were selected by Brackett due to their "direct ties to traditional romance." She added that "generally, this focus directly reflects the influence of the classical and medieval romance genre, either in its use of

prototypical characters, themes, symbols, or plot elements or in its self-conscious subversion of those very elements" (xi). As the title suggests, *Classic Love and Romance Literature: an encyclopedia of works, characters, authors and themes* is a reference work that seeks to highlight and discuss a selective group of titles that represent the romance tradition.

Finding an appropriate contemporary list of romance titles proved to be a more difficult task due to the increasing number of romance genre blogs and polls. In the preliminary search, the Romance Writers of America website had several current lists but none of these lists had a large enough number of titles. Since the titles in the first list were gathered for an authoritative reference source, it seemed important to find a contemporary list that was equally authoritative and reflected the favorite titles of romance readers. The second list, which includes 100 contemporary romance titles, was identified in the "All About Romance 2007 Top 100 Romances Poll."

All About Romance is a website dedicated to those who read and write romance novels; they refer to themselves as "the back fence for lovers of romance novels." All About Romance seems to conduct a Top 100 Romances Poll every few years, with their previous poll happening in 2004. There were 4,975 separate titles appearing on the 2007 poll ballots, which was more than double the amount in the All About Romance 2004 Poll (2,150 titles). The list includes one hundred titles including authors such as Jane Austen, Charlotte Bronte, Diana Gabaldon, Julie Garwood, Linda Howard, and Nora Roberts, to name a few. It includes romance subgenres like classic, European historical, futuristic, medieval, and paranormal, among others. These two lists (Appendices A and B) are by no means comprehensive of the romance genre. They were primarily chosen for their

classic/contemporary coverage, although there are a few titles that are on both lists.

The one hundred and sixty-nine titles from these two lists were searched for in online catalogs at five academic libraries in North Carolina (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Duke University, Wake Forest University, North Carolina State University, and University of North Carolina at Greensboro). The five academic institutions were chosen due to the institutional status (public or private) and the size of the library collection (mid-size or large). All of the academic libraries, except North Carolina State University (NCSU), have browsing collections or reading room areas that offer recreational reading materials; however, NCSU does offer popular reading material in e-book format through the use of Kindles. Each library containing browsing collections held varied genres including romance and its subgenres. They are all North Carolina academic libraries which helped to determine how romance is viewed in the academic community in North Carolina as well as help to ascertain how romance is collected and promoted in these libraries.

The study investigated whether holdings for these 169 titles were found in the permanent collections (a place in the stacks) at these institutions' main libraries or in browsing/recreational reading collections; special and departmental libraries at the institutions were excluded. For the most part, title-only searches were conducted in each of the five academic library catalogs. However, when there were too many search results a keyword search using both the title and author was performed to decrease the number of unrelated results. Once catalog records for the titles were located, it was ascertained where the physical book was located (stacks, browsing/reading room). When titles that had catalog records but were in other formats (audio books, e-books, online, etc.) and/or

locations (library service center, lost/missing, special/rare book collections), the catalog records of these titles were only given consideration; since the books could not be found in the permanent stacks or a browsing collection. The findings from this collection of data showed some interesting results.

Results

From the first list (classic romance titles, see Table 1) 64 out of the 69 titles were held at all five North Carolina academic libraries. Out of these 64 titles, only 60 of them had a permanent location in stacks; the other titles were either being housed in an off-site storage facility, housed in rare book collections, or the status of these titles was lost or missing. Only 17 titles were found to be in a browsing/reading room; the books in these areas could be circulating or non-circulating. These findings show that Brackett's estimation about these titles was mostly correct; their designation as classics increases their representation in academic libraries.

Results showing availability of classic romance titles at the five North Carolina academic institutions

Parameters	Number of classic romance titles	Percentages (%)
Titles with catalog records at all five institutions	64 out of 69 titles	92.8% (Rounded from 92.75%)
Titles with catalog records that are in the stacks at all five institutions	60 out of 69 titles	87% (Rounded from 86.95%)
Titles with catalog records that are in a browsing/recreational reading area in at least one library	17 out of 69 titles	24.6% (Rounded from 24.63%)

Table 1

From the second list of contemporary romance titles, findings (see Table 2) show that the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill holds a larger number of contemporary titles than any of the other academic institutions. The libraries at UNC-CH currently have records for 21 out of the 100 titles, with 20 titles having a permanent place in the stacks. A close second to UNC-CH's holdings is Wake Forest University; the library holds 13 of the 100 contemporary romance titles. One of these titles is located in a browsing collection/reading room and 12 of the 13 titles are located in the stacks. Duke University holds 8 out of the 100 romance titles, 3 of which are housed in a browsing collection/reading room and 7 of the 8 titles can be found in the stacks. The University of North Carolina at Greensboro holds 6 of the 100 titles, 3 of which are in a browsing collection/reading room, and 4 of the titles can be found in the stacks. As for North Carolina State University, they have catalog records for 4 of the 100 titles, none of which are in browsing areas but all of them can be found in the stacks.

Although these results show that the classic romance subgenre is considered to be of the kind of caliber to be housed in academic libraries, the list of the classic romance titles includes a number of titles that are considered classic literature. The findings for the contemporary romance titles are less impressive; only one institution held more than 20% of the titles. However, it can be said that certain institutions are more accommodating to popular culture materials more than others.

Results showing availability of contemporary romance titles at the five North Carolina academic institutions

Institution	Contemporary romance titles in catalog	•	
UNC-Chapel Hill	21 out of 100 titles (21%)	N/A	20 out of the 21 titles (95.2%)
Duke University	8 out of 100 titles (8%)	3 out of the 8 titles (37.5%)	7 out of the 8 titles (87.5%)
Wake Forest University	13 out of 100 titles (13%)	1 out of the 13 titles (7.7%)	12 out of the13 titles (92.3%)
NC State University	4 out of 100 titles (4%)	N/A	4 out of the 4 titles (100%)
UNC-Greensboro	6 out of 100 titles (6%)	3 out of the 6 titles (50%)	4 out of the 6 titles (66.7%)

Table 2

Further Study

The place of romance novels in academic library collections is an important topic to study. However, there are some people who argue that there is not a relevant place for romance novels in academic libraries. Since studies show that browsing and recreational reading collections in academic libraries are beneficial for college students, it seems that an argument against attention on romance novels in academic library collections is more of a preferential opinion.

As with many topics of study, there is research available but this research is limited and much more must be done to cover the wide range of aspects affecting the romance genre in academic library collections. Future research on romance novels in public and academic libraries can provide better understanding for the topic by librarians

and readers alike. Since there is belief that some librarians' biases impede the collection of romance fiction, more research written by colleagues in the LIS profession may help eliminate any negative perceptions and educate librarians. This research may also have positive implications for both readers of the romance genre, library patrons, and our society.

Library and information science researchers should continue to investigate how romance novels in public and academic library collections are perceived by library professionals and how these perceptions may have positive or negative influence on the library environments. Finally, in *Reading and the Reference Librarian* (2004), it was suggested that librarians who engage in reading "general-interest" (Dilevko & Gottlieb, 2004) materials, such as newspapers, magazines, fiction, and nonfiction, will have the ability to serve the broad range of information needs for their communities. The idea of increasing the awareness of library professionals, regarding the relevance of romance novels in their institutions' collections, is important to investigate further.

Conclusion

Although there is a large romance novel readership, libraries are not the primary access point for readers (Charles & Linz, 2005; Bouricius, 2000). Readers of romance usually borrow novels or buy them. Public libraries have made great strides in providing all forms of literature in response to the varied reading interests of their communities. The inclusion of romance novels in public library collections seems relevant and necessary; however, this expectation is not the same for academic libraries. Some academic librarians seem to disregard the romance genre and be uneasy with its subject matter and prevalent themes. Personal biases on the part of the librarian seems to be the

most significant factor in the importance given to showcasing and acquiring romance literature for academic library users. There seems to be a presumption that romance novels are beneath the academic level of those attending college. However, recreational reading in all forms has been proven to positively impact student achievement in higher education. By not providing popular fiction, librarians may actually be contributing in some way to the decline in recreational reading by ignoring the popularity of these novels.

This study investigated the prevalence of the romance genre, both classic and contemporary titles, in the library collections of five North Carolina universities. Results showed that all five North Carolina libraries had catalog holdings for the majority of the classic romance titles and some of the titles could also be found in reading rooms. However, the contemporary romance titles were less prevalent in these library collections. Only one of these academic libraries held more than 20% of the contemporary romance titles. While only a few of these contemporary titles were found in browsing collections, the majority of them were housed in the permanent stacks. Although it appears that some popular culture materials are making it into the permanent collections, more strides should be made to increase the access of these materials in academic libraries.

Bibliography

- Adkins, D., Esser, L., Velasquez, D., & Hill, H. L. (2008). Romance novels in American public libraries: A study of collection development practices. *Library Collections, Acquisitions & Technical Services*, 32, 59-67.
- Alsop, J. (2007). Bridget Jones Meets Mr. Darcy: Challenges of Contemporary Fiction. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 33(5), 581-585.
- Bouricius, A. (2000). *The Romance Readers' Advisory: The Librarian's guide to Love in the Stacks.* Chicago; London: American Library Association.
- Brackett, V. (c1999). Classic love & romance literature: An encyclopedia of works, characters, authors, & themes. Santa Barbara, Calif.: ABC-CLIO.
- Burnett, A., & Beto, R. R. (2000). Reading Romance Novels: An Application of Parasocial Relationship Theory. *North Dakota Journal of Speech and Theatre*, *13*, 28-39.
- Charles, J., & Linz, C. (2005). Romancing your readers: how public libraries can become more romance-reader friendly. *Public Libraries*, 44 (1), 43-48.
- Dilevko, J., & Gottlieb, L. (c2004). *Reading and the reference librarian: The importance to library service of staff reading habits.* Jefferson, N.C.: McFarland & Co.
- Elliott, J. (2007). Academic Libraries and Extracurricular Reading Promotion. *Reference & User Services Quarterly*, 46(3), 34-43.
- Funderburk, A. (2004, April). Romance Collections in North Carolina Public Libraries: Are All Genres Treated Equally? [Master's Paper]. Chapel Hill, North Carolina: UNC.
- Gallik, J. D. (1999). Do they read for pleasure? Recreational reading habits of college students. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 42 (6), 480-488.
- "Genre fiction" The Oxford Dictionary of Literary Terms. Chris Baldick. Oxford University Press, 2008. Oxford Reference Online. Oxford University Press. University of North Carolina Chapel Hill. 6 October 2009

 http://www.oxfordreference.com/views/ENTRY.html?subview=Main&entry=t56.e
 494

- Herald, D. T., & Wiegand, W. A. (2006). *Genreflecting: A guide to popular reading interests*. Westport, Connecticut: Libraries Unlimited.
- Hoppenstand, G. (1998). Popular Fiction: An Anthology. New York: Longman.
- Krentz, J. A. (1992). *Dangerous men & adventurous women: Romance writers on the appeal of romance novels.* Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Odess-Harnish, Kerri (2003). Making Sense of Leased Popular Literature Collections. *Collection Management*, 27 (2), 55-74.
- Radway, J. A. (1984). *Reading the romance: Women, patriarchy, and popular literature.* Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Rathe, B., & Blankenship, L. (2006). Recreational Reading Collections in Academic Libraries. *Collection Management*, 30 (2), 73-85.
- Regis, P. (2003). *A natural Hist.ory of the romance novel*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Smith, R., & Young, N. J. (2008). Giving Pleasure Its Due: Collection Promotion and Readers' Advisory in Academic Libraries. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 34 (6), 520-526.
- Trott, B., & Elliott, J. (2009). Barriers to Extracurricular Reading Promotion in Academic Libraries. *Reference & User Services Quarterly*, 48(4), 340-346.

Appendix A

List of classic romance titles and results of the data collection

Title	Author and Year	Name of	Record in	Found in	Found in
		University	Catalog?	Browsing Collection?	General Stack?
The	Anne Tyler, 1985				
Accidental		UNC-CH			
Tourist			Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	N
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The African	C.S. Forester,				
Queen	1935	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Age of	Edith Wharton,				
Innocence	1920	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
An American	Theodore Dreiser,				
Tragedy	1925	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
•	I T 1 . 1077	UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Anna	Leo Tolstoy, 1875-	IDIO CH	***	N	**
Karenina	1877	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU UNG G	Y	N	Y
The	Vota Chanin 1900	UNC-G	I	N	1
The Awakening	Kate Chopin, 1899	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Awakening		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
		ONC-G			
Beloved	Toni Morrison, 1987	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y

		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Count of	Alayandra Dumas	UNC-G	1	IN	1
Monte Cristo	Alexandre Dumas, 1844	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Monte Cristo	1044	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
			Y		Y
D.: M:11	II	UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Daisy Miller	Henry James, 1879	UNC-CH	v	N	v
	18/9	Duke	Y	N N	Y
					Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
D 1 1 1	z and ard	UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Daphnis and	Longus, 2 nd or 3 rd	IDIG GH	**		***
Chloe	A.D.	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Doctor	Boris Pasternak,				
Zhivago	1957	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Emma	Jane Austen, 1815				
		UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Enemies: A	Isaac Bashevis				
Love Story	Singer, 1972	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Ethan Frome	Edith Wharton,				
	1911	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
A Farewell to	Ernest				
Arms	Hemingway, 1929	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
<u> </u>	<i>B</i> · · · · <i>J</i> · · · · · ·	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Duke	1 1	1 1 1	1

	1	NCCLI	V	N	1 37
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
E 1171 -1		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
For Whom the	Ernest	INC CH	37	N	37
Bell Tolls	Hemingway, 1940	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
) / C1 11	UNC-G	Y	N	Y
P 1	Mary Shelley,	Inia au	**		**
Frankenstein	1818	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The French		, n. c. c. r.			
Lieutenant's	T. 1 T. 1 10.00	UNC-CH	**		**
Woman	John Fowles, 1969	- 1	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Gone with the	Margaret Mitchell,				
Wind	1936	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Good					
Earth	Pearl Buck, 1931	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Great	F. Scott Fitzgerald,				
Gatsby	1925	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
A Handful of	Evelyn Waugh,	UNC-CH			
Dust	1934		Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y

Heroes	Festus Iyayi, 1986	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Heroes	1 estas 1yayı, 1700	Duke	Y	N	N
		Wake Forest	N	N	N
		NCSU NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	N	N	N
The Horseman		UNC-G	IN	IN	IN
on the Roof	Jean Giono, 1951	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
on the Root	Jean Giono, 1931	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y		Y
The Henry	T1-1 A111	UNC-G	Y	N	1
The House of	Isabel Allende,	LING CH	V	N	V
the Spirits	1982	UNC-CH	Y	N Y	Y
		Duke			
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The					
Hunchback of		UNC-CH			
Notre Dame	Victor Hugo, 1831		Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
	Sir Walter Scott,				
Ivanhoe	1819	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
	Charlotte Bronte,				
Jane Eyre	1847	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	Y	Y
The Journey	Jiro Osaragi, 1960	UNC-CH	Y	N	N
<u> </u>		Duke	Y	N	N
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	N
The Kitchen		2-1-5	_		1
God's Wife	Amy Tan, 1991	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
2222 1122	, 1000, 1//1	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
		UNC-U	1	11	1

The Lead of	T E	INC CH	Y	N.	W
The Last of the Mohicans	James Fenimore, Cooper 1826	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
the Monicans	Cooper 1620	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Les		UNC-G	1	11	1
Miserables	Victor Hugo, 1862	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Wilscrables	victor riugo, 1802	Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Like Water for	Laura Esquivel,	UNC-G	1	11	1
Chocolate	1989	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Chocolate	1707	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Love in the		UNC-G	1	11	1
Time of	Gabriel Garcia	UNC-CH			
Cholera	Marquez, 1985	UNC-CII	Y	N	Y
Choicia	Marquez, 1765	Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
	Jamaica Kincaid,	UNC-G	1	IN .	1
Lucy	1990	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Lucy	1990	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Madame	Custava Elsubant	UNC-G	I	IN .	1
	Gustave Flaubert, 1857	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Bovary	1037	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	I I	IN .	Y
Much Ado	William	UNC-CH			
about Nothing	Shakespeare, 1598	UNC-CI1	Y	N	Y
about Nothing	Shakespeare, 1396	Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			Y		Y
		NCSU UNC C		N	ı ı
		UNC-G	Y	N	
Mr. Antonio	Wille Cother 1019	LING CH	V	N	V
My Antonia	Willa Cather, 1918	UNC-CH	Y	N Y	Y
		Duke	Y	Y	Y

		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Of Human	W. Somerset				
Bondage	Maugham, 1915	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
	, , , , , ,	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Of Love and	Ernest J. Gaines,				
Dust	1967	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
	Tabitha King,				
One on One	1993	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	N
		Wake Forest	N	N	N
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	N	N	N
The Portrait of	Henry James,				
a Lady	1881	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Pride and					
Prejudice	Jane Austen, 1813	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
3		Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Prince of					
Tides	Pat Conroy, 1986	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
	•	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
	George Bernard				
Pygmalion	Shaw, 1913	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
, -		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
	Daphne du				
Rebecca	Maurier, 1938	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y

		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Robber	Margaret Atwood,	CITE C	1		1
Bride	1993	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
	William	ONC G	1	11	1
Romeo and	Shakespeare,	UNC-CH			
Juliet	1595?	orve err	Y	N	Y
- Carret	10,0.	Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
A Room of	Virginia Woolf,	0110 0	1	11	1
One's Own	1929	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Olics Owli	1)2)	Duke Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
A Room with		UNC-G	<u> </u>	IN	1
	EM Forestor 1009	UNC-CH	V	N	v
a View	E.M. Forster, 1908	Duke	Y	N N	Y
					Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
TRI G 1	NY -1 - 1 - 1	UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Scarlet	Nathaniel	IDIO CH	***	N T	***
Letter	Hawthorne, 1850	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Sense and					
Sensibility	Jane Austen, 1811	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Shadow					
Bride	Roy Heath, 1988	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	N
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	N	N	N
The Sky is	Giuseppe Berto,				
Red	1948	UNC-CH	Y	N	N
		Duke	Y	N	N
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y

		NCSU	N	N	N
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Sons and	D.H. Lawrence,	0110-0	1	11	1
Lovers	1913	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Lovers	1713	Duke Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Sophie's	William Styron,	UNC-U	1	11	1
Choice	1979	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Choice	1717	Duke Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	Y	Y
The Sound of	Yukio Mishima,	UNC-G	1	1	1
Waves	1956	UNC-CH	Y	N	N
waves	1730	Duke	Y	N	N
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Suffrage		UNC-U	1	11	1
of Elvira	V.S. Naipaul 1958	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
OI LIVII a	v.s. Naipaul 1936	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Sun Also	Ernest	UNC-G	1	IN	I
Rises	Hemingway, 1926	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Kises	Tienningway, 1920	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
A Tolo of Tour	Charles Distrans	UNC-G	1	IN	I
A Tale of Two Cities	Charles Dickens, 1859	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Cities	1039	Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
T	T1 I I 1	UNC-G	I	IN	I
Tess of the d'Urbervilles	Thomas Hardy, 1891	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
d Orbervilles	1891	Duke	Y	N Y	Y
		Wake Forest NCSU	Y	N N	Y Y
7DI : E		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Their Eyes	7 and Maril	LING CH			
Were	Zora Neale	UNC-CH	37	N	N/
Watching God	Hurston, 1937	D 1	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y

		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
To Know a		ONC-G	1	11	1
Woman	Amos Oz, 1989	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Wollian	Timos Oz, 1909	Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
			Y		Y
TE C: W/4	F1 1D	UNC-G	Y	N	Y
To Sir, With	Edward R.	IDIC CII	3.7	N	37
Love	Braithwaite, 1959	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	N	N	N
		UNC-G	N	N	N
	Henry Fielding,				
Tom Jones	1749	UNC-CH	Y	N	N
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
The Unbearable Lightness of	Milan Kundera,	UNC-CH	***	N	V
Being	1984		Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Vanity Fair	William Makepeace Thackeray, 1847- 1848	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	N	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Wuthering Heights	Emily Bronte, 1847	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
		Duke	Y	Y	Y
		Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

Appendix B

List of contemporary romance titles and results of the data collection

Title	Author	Genre and	Name of	Record	Found in	Found
		Year	University	in	Browsing	in
				Catalog?	Collection?	General
						Stack?
Lord of	Loretta	Historical,				
Scoundrels	Chase	1995	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Dreaming of	Lisa	Historical,				
You	Kleypas	1994	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
D:1		GI.	UNC-G	N	N	N
Pride and	T	Classic,	IDIC CII	***	N	***
Prejudice	Jane Austen	1813	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
			Duke	Y	Y	Y
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU	Y	N	Y
ъ.	Diama	T:	UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Outlander	Diana Gabaldon	Time-	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Outrander	Gabaldoli	travel, 1991	Duke	Y	N	Y
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Flowers			UNC-G	11	IN .	11
from the	Laura	Historical,	UNC-CH			
Storm	Kinsale	1992	ONC-CII	N	N	N
Storm	Trinsure	1772	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Slightly	Mary	Historical,	51.00		- ,	1
Dangerous	Balogh	2004	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
	<u> </u>		Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Devil in	Lisa	Historical,				
Winter	Kleypas	2006	UNC-CH	N	N	N
	-		Duke	N	N	N
-			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N

			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Jennifer	Contemp.,				
Bet Me	Crusie	2004	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	Y	Y	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Welcome to	Jennifer	Contemp.,				
Temptation	Crusie	2000	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
The						
Viscount			UNC-CH			
Who Loved		Historical,				
Me	Julia Quinn	2000		N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Lover		Paranormal,				
Awakened	J.R. Ward	2006	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Mr.	Loretta	Historical,				
Impossible	Chase	2005	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
The Duke	India Onima	Historical,	LING CH	N	N	N
and I	Julia Quinn	2000	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke Wales Facest	N	N N	N N
			Wake Forest NCSU	N		N
				N	N	
	C		UNC-G	N	N	N
It Had to be	Susan Elizabeth	Contoma	LINC CII			
It Had to be You	Phillips	Contemp. 1994	UNC-CH	N	N	N
100	riiiiips	1774	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
					N	N
	Lomotto	Historical	UNC-G	N	1N	IN
Lord Perfect	Loretta Chase	2006	UNC-CH	N	N	N
Lord Perfect	Chase	2000				
			Duke	N	N	N

			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Romancing			01100	11		11
Mr.		Historical,	UNC-CH			
Bridgerton	Julia Quinn	2002		N	N	N
<u> </u>			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Julia	Medieval,				
The Bride	Garwood	1989	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Linda	Contemp.				
Mr. Perfect	Howard	2000	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Naked in		Futuristic,				
Death	J.D. Robb	1995	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
A Summer						
to	Mary	Historical,	UNC-CH			
Remember	Balogh	2002		N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Nobody's	Susan					
Baby But	Elizabeth	Contemp.,	UNC-CH			
Mine	Phillips	1997		N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
		Romantic				
	Linda	Suspense,	UNC-CH			
Dream Man	Howard	1995		Y	N	Y
	<u> </u>		Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N

The Raven	Elizabeth	Historical,				
Prince	Hoyt	2006	UNC-CH	N	N	N
Timee	Hoyt	2000	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Judith	Contemp.,	0110-0	11	11	11
Paradise	McNaught	1991	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
As You	Connie	Historical,				
Desire	Brockway	1997	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
MacKenzie's	Linda	Contemp.,				
Mountain	Howard	1989	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
		Paranormal,	0110	1		- 1
Dark Lover	J.R. Ward	2005	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Julie	Medieval,	CINC G	11	11	11
The Secret	Garwood	1992	UNC-CH	N	N	N
The Secret	Guiwoou	1772	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Son of the	Linda	Time-	0110-0	11	11	11
Morning	Howard	travel, 1997	UNC-CH	N	N	N
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Lover		Paranormal,	01,00	1	- 1	1
Eternal	J.R. Ward	2006	UNC-CH	N	N	N
2011101	J.It. Hala	2000	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	ļ		0110-0	11	Τ.Α.	14

Devil's	Stephanie	European	UNC-CH	N	N	N
Bride	Laurens	Hist., 1998	0110 011	1		1
		,	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
A Knight in						
Shining	Jude	Time-	UNC-CH			
Armor	Deveraux	travel, 1989		N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Susan					
Heaven,	Elizabeth	Contemp.,	UNC-CH			
Texas	Phillips	1995		N	N	N
	•		Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
To Have and	Patricia	European				
To Hold	Gaffney	Hist., 1995	UNC-CH	N	N	N
	,	,	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Almost	Judith	European				
Heaven	McNaught	Hist., 1990	UNC-CH	N	N	N
	<u> </u>		Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Susan			·		
Match Me If	Elizabeth	Contemp.,	UNC-CH			
You Can	Phillips	2005		Y	N	Y
	•		Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	Y	Y	N
The Shadow	Laura	Historical,				
and The Star	Kinsale	1991	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Cry No	Linda	Contemp.,	32.20			
More	Howard	2003	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
-			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y

			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
A Kingdom	Judith	Medieval,	0110	- 1	- 1	- 1
of Dream	McNaught	1989	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Over the	Suzanne	Contemp.,				
Edge	Brockmann	2001	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Nora	Contemp.,				
Sea Swept	Roberts	1998	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Amanda	European				
Ravished	Quick	Hist., 1993	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Then Came	Lisa	European				
You	Kleypas	Hist., 1993	UNC-CH	N	N	N
	71	,	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Linda	Contemp.,				
To Die For	Howard	2005	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Something	Judith	European				
Wonderful	McNaught	Hist., 1988	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Susan					
This Heart	Elizabeth	Contemp.,	UNC-CH			
of Mine	Phillips	2001		Y	N	Y
	1		Duke	Y	N	Y
			Wake Forest	N	N	N

			NCSU	N	N	N
_			UNC-G	Y	Y	N
The Serpent	Elizabeth	European				
Prince	Hoyt	Hist., 2007	UNC-CH	N	N	N
	•		Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Suddenly	Lisa	European				
You	Kleypas	Hist., 2001	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
The		European				
Proposition	Judith Ivory	Hist. 1999	UNC-CH	N	N	N
•	•		Duke	N	N	N
_			Wake Forest	N	N	N
_			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Honors	Julie	Medieval,				
Splendor	Garwood	1987	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Saving	Julie	Medieval,		·		·
Grace	Garwood	1993	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	Y	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Charlotte	Classic,		·		·
Jane Eyre	Bronte	1847	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
,			Duke	Y	Y	Y
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU	Y	N	Y
			UNC-G	Y	Y	Y
When He		European				
Was Wicked	Julia Quinn	Hist., 2004	UNC-CH	N	N	N
		,	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
		Classic,				
Persuasion	Jane Austen	1818	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
			Duke	Y	Y	Y
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU	Y	N	Y

			UNC-G	Y	N	Y
All Through	Connie	European				
The Night	Brockway	Hist. 1997	UNC-CH	N	N	N
_			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
It Happened	Lisa	European				
One Autumn	Kleypas	Hist., 2005	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Nora	Contemp.,				
Born In Fire	Roberts	1994	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Thunder and	Mary Jo	European				
Roses	Putney	Hist., 1993	UNC-CH	N	N	N
	-		Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Kiss An Angel	Susan Elizabeth Phillips	Contemp.,	UNC-CH	N	N	N
Aligei	rininps	1990	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Out of	Suzanne	Contemp.,	UNC-G	11	IN	11
Control	Brockmann	2002	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
After the	Linda	Contemp.,	1			
Night	Howard	1995	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Lady Sophia's	Lisa	European	UNC-CH			
Lover	Kleypas	Hist., 2002	D 1	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N

			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
The Secret	Mary	European	0110	- 1		
Pearl	Balogh	Hist., 1991	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Once and	Judith	European				
Always	McNaught	Hist., 1987	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
More Than a	Mary	European				
Mistress	Balogh	Hist., 2000	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Untie My		European				
Heart	Judith Ivory	Hist., 2002	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
See Jane	Rachel	Contemp.,				
Score	Gibson	2003	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Mary Jo	European				
The Rake	Putney	Hist., 1998	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Dragonfly in	Diana	Time-				
Amber	Gabaldon	travel, 1992	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
			Duke	Y	N	Y
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Judith	Contemp.,				
Perfect	McNaught	1993	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N

			UNC-G	N	N	N
Whitney,	Judith	European				
My Love	McNaught	Hist., 1985	UNC-CH	N	N	N
•			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Gaelen	European				
The Duke	Foley	Hist., 2000	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
One Perfect	Mary Jo	European				
Rose	Putney	Hist., 1997	UNC-CH	N	N	N
	ĺ		Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Shattered	Mary Jo	European				
Rainbows	Putney	Hist., 1997	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
The	Laura	Hist./Pirate,				
Windflower	London	1984	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Susan					
Dream A	Elizabeth	Contemp.,	UNC-CH			
Little Dream	Phillips	1998		Y	N	N
	•		Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Georgette	Classic,				
Frederica	Heyer	1965	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
		European				
Passion	Lisa Valdez	Hist., 2005	UNC-CH	N	N	N
		-	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N

			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Diana	Time-				
Voyager	Gabaldon	travel, 1994	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	Y	N	Y
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
The Lady's	Robin	European	00.00			
Tutor	Schone	Hist., 1999	UNC-CH	N	N	N
10001	Sensite	11150, 1999	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Morning	Lavyrle	American	CIVE G		11	11
Glory	Spencer	Hist., 1990	UNC-CH	N	N	N
Glory	Spelicer	11130., 1990	Duke	N	N	N
		1	Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
			UNC-G	11	11	11
Worth Any	Lisa	European	UNC-CH			
Price	Kleypas	Hist., 2003	ONC-CII	N	N	N
Price	Kieypas	11181., 2003	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
				N		N
****	A 1 1	Г	UNC-G	IN	N	IN
Winter	Adele	European	IING CH	N	NT	NY
Garden	Ashworth	Hist., 2000	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
		_	UNC-G	N	N	N
My Dearest	Connie	European	, n, c, c, r			
Enemy	Brockway	Hist., 1998	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Where						
Dreams	Lisa	European	UNC-CH			
Begin	Kleypas	Hist., 2000		N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Georgette	Classic,				
Devil's Club	Heyer	1932	UNC-CH	N	N	N
			Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N

			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Gone Too	Suzanne	Contomn	UNC-G	11	IN	IN
Far	Brockmann	Contemp., 2003	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
T til	Brockmann	2003	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Anyone But	Jennifer	Contemp.,	ONC G	11	11	11
You	Crusie	1996	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
100	010,510	1333	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
For My	Laura	Medieval,	one d	11	11	11
Lady's Heart	Kinsale	1993	UNC-CH	N	N	N
<u> </u>	Timsure	1333	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Nora	Contemp.,	0110-0	11	11	11
Rising Tides	Roberts	1998	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Kishig Hues	Roberts	1770	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Lover		Paranormal,	UNC-G	11	11	11
Revealed	J.R. Ward	2007	UNC-CH	N	N	N
Revealed	J.K. Walu	2007	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
Open	Linda	Contomp	UNC-G	11	IN .	11
Season	Howard	Contemp., 2001	UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Beason	Howard	2001	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	Y	N	Y
			NCSU NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Nora	Contemp.,	UNC-G	11	11	11
Born in Ice	Roberts	1996	UNC-CH	N	N	N
Born in icc	Roberts	1770	Duke	N	N	N
			Wake Forest	N	N	N
			NCSU	N	N	N
			UNC-G	N	N	N
	Julie	Medieval,	UNC-U	11	14	11
D			UNC-CH	Y	N	Y
Ransom	(tarwood	1 1999				1
Ransom	Garwood	1999				N
Kansom	Garwood	1999	Duke Wake Forest	N N	N N	N N

		UNC-G	N	N	N
Georgette	Classic,				
Venetia Heyer	1958	UNC-CH	N	N	N
		Duke	N	N	N
		Wake Forest	N	N	N
		NCSU	Y	N	Y
		UNC-G	Y	N	Y
Loretta	European				
Wonderful Chase	Hist., 2004	UNC-CH	N	N	N
		Duke	N	N	N
		Wake Forest	N	N	N
		NCSU	N	N	N
		UNC-G	N	N	N
Susan Elizabeth	Contemp.,	UNC-CH	v	N	Y
Sweet Phillips	2004	D 1			
					N
					N
					N
		UNC-G	N	IN	N
Mary	European	UNC-CH	N	N	N
	H1st., 1992	D 1			N
					N
					N
					N N
	Madiana	UNC-G	IN	IN	IN
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LINC CII	N	N	N
The Prize Garwood	1771				N N
					N
					N
Nolin:	Doron orms 1	UNC-G	IN	11	N
		LINC CH	N	N	N
Sensation Singh	2000		1		N
					N
					N
			I IN		1 134
	Loretta Chase Susan Elizabeth Phillips	Heyer 1958 Loretta European Hist., 2004 Susan Elizabeth Phillips 2004 Mary European Hist., 1992 Julie Garwood 1991 Nalini Paranormal,	Georgette Heyer 1958 UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-G Loretta Chase European Hist., 2004 UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-G Susan Elizabeth Phillips Contemp., Phillips UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-G Wake Forest NCSU UNC-G Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-G Julie Balogh Hist., 1992 Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-G NCSU UNC-G NCSU UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-G NCSU UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest NCSU UNC-CH Duke Wake Forest	Georgette Heyer 1958 UNC-CH N Duke N Wake Forest N NCSU Y UNC-G Y Loretta Chase Hist., 2004 UNC-CH N Duke N Wake Forest N NCSU N Wake Forest N NCSU N UNC-G N Susan Elizabeth Elizabeth Phillips 2004 UNC-CH Blizabeth Vake Forest N NCSU N UNC-G N Susan Elizabeth Phillips UNC-CH UNC-CH UNC-CH UNC-CH UNC-CH UNC-CH UNC-G N Susan Elizabeth Phillips UNC-CH UNC-G N UNC-G N Wake Forest N NCSU N UNC-G N Mary Balogh Hist., 1992 N Duke N Wake Forest N NCSU N UNC-G N UNC-CH UNC-CH N UNC-CH N Wake Forest N NCSU N UNC-G N UNC-CH UNC-	Georgette Heyer