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ABSTRACT

Katherine Aidan Perkins: Middle School Teachers’ Perceptions of Students’ Ability as Predictors of High School Honors Course Enrollment among African American Youth
(Under the direction of Beth Kurtz-Costes)

Teachers’ expectations are related to students’ subsequent academic achievement, a phenomenon known as teacher expectancy effects. I examined the relationships between teachers’ perceptions of students’ ability, as well as expectations for students’ educational attainment, and students’ high school honors math course enrollment among 382 African American students. Middle school teachers’ perceptions of students’ ability and expectations for students’ educational attainment, and high school teachers’ educational attainment expectations, were significant predictors of the number of honors math courses students enrolled in during high school after controlling for middle school math grades and parent education. No gender differences in math honors course enrollment or math grades were found. Teachers held higher expectations for educational attainment of girls than of boys, though they rated girls’ and boys’ math ability comparably. The role of teachers’ perceptions in relation to the formation of African American students’ math identities and implications for social policy are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

According to a survey conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES, 2009), European American and Asian American students are at least twice as likely as African American students to take math classes in high school that are considered academically rigorous. Beginning as early as elementary school, the practice of academic tracking in the United States is one factor that limits students from reaching rigorous courses in their high school years. African American high school students are significantly less likely than European American students to be in a college math track (Ballon, 2008). These disparities are reflected in postsecondary success. For example, in a statewide longitudinal study conducted in Florida beginning when students were in the eighth grade, Honors and AP course enrollment during high school was linked to enrollment in and completion of a 4-year-college education (Long, Conger, & Iatarola, 2012).

Mathematics course taking in particular is a strong predictor of postsecondary educational attainment, as students who enroll and do well in AP and honors math courses in high school are more likely to graduate from college and to pursue careers in science, technology, engineering, or mathematics than students who do not take such courses (Burdman, 2000; Chen 2009). Many factors have been identified as influences on students’ course enrollment choices, including students’ expectancies for success and subjective value of a particular subject of study (Wigfield & Eccles, 2000). Students’ feelings of belonging, defined as student identification as a member of and feeling accepted within the domain, also predict
students’ intent to pursue math (Good, Rattan, & Dweck, 2012). Teachers are in a position to foster and support the development of each of these factors to an extent. The focus of the current investigation is an exploration of the relationship between teachers’ ability perceptions and educational attainment expectations of their students and students’ high school advanced mathematics course enrollment among African American youth.

**Advanced Math Course Opportunities**

Within the educational system in the United States, elementary and middle schools are often points of differentiation for students in mathematics, with some students enrolling in tracks that will lead them to advanced courses, such as calculus, while others may take tracks focused on specific occupational knowledge, and others still may be placed in remedial or slower-paced courses. Tracking criteria vary by school, and some schools do not have formally named tracking systems, but upward mobility is usually difficult if not impossible by the high school years. State high school graduation requirements vary, but most states have some provision for an occupational track, on which students may complete Algebra 1 or Geometry as the highest level of math by Grade 12; a core track on which students complete at least one course above Algebra II by Grade 12; and an advanced track on which students will complete studies in calculus by Grade 12 (Center for Public Education, 2013). Taking algebra in the eighth grade or earlier is positively associated with high school honors math tracking (Ballon, 2008).

The Carnegie unit represents the basic credit system for secondary schools. A Carnegie unit is 36-40 weeks of a specific class that meets 4 or 5 days a week for 40-50 minutes (Martinez & Bray 2002). For students to matriculate into college in the United States, they typically have at minimum 3.75 or more Carnegie units of math, with the highest level of math being calculus, pre-calculus, or trigonometry. On the upper end, college matriculates have accumulated 4.34
units of math, and 94% have reached at least pre-calculus and taken an average of three total AP courses (Adelman, 2006). Content and performance standards for these courses are widely variable and by no means equivalent to any kind of standardized “high” level (Barth & Haycock, 2004). Schools have some discretion in classifying courses as “Honors” or “AP.” These designations serve as an imperfect proxy for course rigor.

Teachers recommend students to math tracks, although parents and students may weigh in on such decisions. School-specific tracking criteria distinctions have been made between the use of “objective” criteria (e.g., achievement and aptitude test scores) and “subjective” criteria such as teacher, parent, or peer nominations. Barab and Plucker (2005) argue that ability is not possessed, but part of an individual-environment transaction. High scores on both “objective” and “subjective” criteria would indicate optimal conditions under which students may actualize their potential. Teachers may recognize a low-performing student as motivated and a good fit for advanced coursework ahead and may alternatively see a high achieving student as lacking in the motivation needed to flourish in a more advanced course. Baldwin (2002) points out that the identification of giftedness requires an understanding of cultural diversity and programming that is inclusive of students of all races, ethnic groups, and family backgrounds. Black boys who begin high school on a high math track take fewer math courses in subsequent years and are more likely to drop to a lower track than White students, even when their test scores indicate they are academically prepared (Riegle-Crumb, 2006). Teachers may be more or less “accurate” in their predictions depending upon whether or not they accurately predict individual-environment fit, rather than accurately predict student ability. For example, cultural background may influence teachers’ perceptions. La Vonne, Audrey, Webb-Johnson, and Bridgest (2003) found that teachers rated Black boys who use African-American culturally stylized movement as
lower in achievement and as higher in aggression than Black boys who did not demonstrate such movement. Such cultural biases may result in fewer referrals to rigorous courses for these students, compared to students who express dominant culture movement styles.

**Teacher Perceptions and Black Students’ Enrollment in Advanced Math Courses**

Much research has examined student competence and performance in relation to math course enrollment and success. Recently, researchers have found that these factors are indirectly related to students’ math identities, through associations with interest and recognition (Cribbs, Hazari, & Sadler, 2015). Recognition is conceptualized as how students perceive others view them in math (Cribbs et al., 2015). Respected adult influences in a student’s life are in a prominent position to provided math recognition. Middle school teachers provide direct math recognition in classrooms, and influencing students’ math identities in middle school may proximally influence high school math course enrollment.

Personal relevance and positive math attitudes are also related to students’ enrollment in advanced math courses (Davis-Keane, 2005; Maltese & Tai, 2011). Students who found utility in math courses and their imagined future roles were more likely to concentrate on STEM domains in high school than students who viewed math as less useful (Maltese & Tai, 2011). The aforementioned research suggests that students who establish a mathematics identity in high school are more likely to pursue math and carry those skills forward into postsecondary education. Because teachers work with students directly, they are called upon to make recommendations for advanced course enrollment. Both formally and informally, teachers’ perceptions of students can be critical for student success.

Teachers’ beliefs about their students’ ability to succeed are related to students’ subsequent actual performance, a phenomenon known as teacher expectancy effects (Jussim &
Harber, 2005; Rosenthal, 2010; Tennenbaum & Ruck, 2007). Effect sizes are generally small but consistent. The relationship between teacher perceptions and student achievement is bi-directional, making it difficult to isolate and measure the effects of teachers’ expectancies on student academic outcomes in a substantive and qualitative manner. Students’ prior achievement and class performance influence teachers’ perceptions, but teachers’ own stereotypes and prejudices also influence students’ achievement progress (Ambady, Shih, Kim, & Pittinsky, 2001; Jussim, Eccles, & Madon, 1996; Reyna, 2008). Investigating the correlates of these expectancy effects conditional on prior success in math may help researchers, principals, and teachers identify goals for fostering the development of math skills for students from underrepresented backgrounds.

Achievement outcomes in the teacher expectancy literature have described changes in school grades or standardized test scores (e.g., McKown & Weinstein, 2008; Raudenbush, 1984). Beyond actual math achievement on a test or in a class, a teacher’s expectations may also be related to course enrollment decisions. As previously mentioned, teacher expectations may predict student achievement outcomes because they are based on students’ prior performance, so researchers control for prior achievement in attempts to separate expectations based on observed achievement from expectations based on other factors, such as stereotypes (which might lead a teacher to have higher expectations of a White boy than a White girl, for example) or teachers’ perceptions of students’ motivation and potential (which might lead a teacher to have high expectations of a low-achieving student). If a teacher’s expectation for a student is based on a social stereotype and the student’s performance is affected (positively or negatively) by that expectation, it is known as a teacher expectancy effect, a self-fulfilling influence, or a self-fulfilling prophesy.
Teacher expectations are deemed to create a self-fulfilling prophesy only if a student’s actual achievement is changed. A review on teacher expectancy effects by Jussim and Harber (2005) highlights that stigmatized social groups in particular may experience self-fulfilling prophecies. Overall teacher expectancy effect sizes are $d = .1$ to .2, while effect sizes among African American students range $d = .4$ to .6 (Jussim, Eccles, & Madon, 1996). Follow up analyses revealed that differences in teachers’ perceptions of groups mirrored actual group differences in achievement (Madon, Jussim, Keiper, Eccles, Smith, & Palumbo, 1998). Jussim and Harber (2005) conclude that expectancy effects tend to be small and tend to dissipate over time.

The mechanisms through which teachers’ expectations and perceptions may be communicated to students on a day to day basis have been studied through observational methods. A recent meta-analysis revealed that teacher behaviors differ according to student race, with teachers directing more positive speech to European American students than toward African American students (Tenenbaum & Ruck, 2007). Even though teachers’ negative speech was generally equal across racial groups, a lower frequency of positive statements may influence students’ perceptions of their own ability and belonging in an advanced math class, as well as their investment in the coursework. The effects of teachers’ perceptions and expectations may be subtle and unintentional but ubiquitous in students’ educational experiences and of great consequence while students are making enrollment decisions. Given the evidence for differential teacher expectancy effects, teachers’ beliefs and perceptions are implicated in relation to African American students’ advanced course enrollment.

**Gender Differences in Teacher Perceptions of Math Ability**
Teachers’ perceptions of students show stereotyped patterns. Data from a national longitudinal study of students from kindergarten through Grade 5 indicate that teachers tend to rate boys as better at math than girls after accounting for both achievement and classroom behavior (Robinson-Cimpian, Lubienski, Ganley & Copur-Gencturk, 2014). However, in a nationally representative study of high school students, Reigle-Crumb and Humphries (2012) found different results in teachers’ biases at the intersection of race and gender. Students on three separate math tracks were rated by teachers as being in a class that ranged from “too easy” for the student to “too difficult” for the student. Disparities in teacher perceptions of ability tended to favor European American males over minority students of both genders, with teachers tending to believe that math is easier for European American males than other groups. When controlling for prior achievement, teachers’ ratings of European American males were better than for European American females at all math levels. These gender effects disappeared among African American and Hispanic students, though members self-identified as such were far less likely to be in the advanced math track (Reigle-Crumb & Humphries, 2012).

Among African American youth, gender differences in achievement tend to favor girls, and teachers tend to hold more positive views toward African American female students compared to African American male students (Kesner, 2002). Although some researchers suggest that girls may be more vulnerable to negative expectations based on their negatively stereotyped status in math considering both race and gender (Tang, 1997), others suggest that Black boys tend to be perceived as less attentive in the classroom than are Black girls (Matthews, Kizzie, Rowley, & Cortina, 2010; Rowley et al., 2014). McCray et al. (2003) found that teachers are less likely to view Black boys as capable in mathematics compared to their Black female peers and to their White peers. In the present study, I investigated differences in teachers’
perceptions of boys and of girls, as well as the extent to which teachers’ perceptions were linked to subsequent math course enrollment for both boys and girls.

The Current Study

The present study was guided by two goals. The first goal was to examine teachers’ perceptions of students’ ability and expectations for student educational attainment as predictors of students’ subsequent enrollment in high school honors math courses. I tested the hypothesis that teachers’ expectations and perceptions would significantly predict later course enrollment above and beyond students’ prior math achievement. This hypothesis is consistent with research on teacher expectancy effects and with research suggesting that student-perceived adult recognition of math talent plays a role in the development of students’ mathematics identity and subsequent pursuit of math courses in high school. The second goal was to examine gender differences in the relationships between teachers’ perceptions and later honors math course enrollment. I expected teachers to have more positive views of girls’ math ability than of boys. Gender differences in teacher expectancy effects have not been examined among African American youth. Because African American girls outperform African American boys in academic domains, I expected girls to enroll in more honors math courses than boys. Teachers’ expectations and students’ course enrollment were expected to be related to advanced math course enrollment above and beyond students’ prior math achievement for students of both genders, and when using both middle school (Grade 7) and high school (Grade 10) math teachers’ rating in predicting students’ high school math enrollment choices.
METHOD

Participants

Data for the current study were drawn from the Youth Identity Project, a longitudinal study focused on the development of achievement motivation among African American youth. The project began when students were in Grade 5, with additional waves of data collected in Grades 7, 10, and 12. Families were recruited from 7 urban, majority-Black elementary schools (60-97% Black student population) in the southeast United States. Fifth grade data were collected in three cohorts, in the 2002-2003, 2003-2004, and 2004-2005 school years. Data for the present study were drawn from Wave 2, at which time students were attending 17 urban or suburban middle schools (ranging 27-98% Black student population), and from Wave 3, at which time students were attending 21 urban or suburban high schools (27-93% Black student population). High school transcripts were collected from schools after Wave 4.

Students, their parents, and their teachers were all recruited to participate. Students and teachers reported on their own race/ethnicity, and they were considered to be African-American if they self-identified as such. Seventy-eight percent of African American fifth graders in the seven participating schools returned signed consent forms, and of those, 97% agreed to participate. The student retention rate from Wave 1 to Wave 2 was 85%. Teacher data from students’ math teachers were obtained for 70% of students ($N = 265$) at Wave 2 and 67% ($N = 214$) at Wave 3. High school transcripts were available for 189 of these youth (60 boys, 129 girls). As might be expected, youth retained in the sample differed from Grade 5 participants on
parent income. Average income was $30,000-40,000 for Grade 5 participants compared to $40,000-50,000 at Grade 12, \( F(2, 84) = 9.96, \ p < .05 \). Average parent education for the entire sample was some technical school beyond high school and did not differ for students retained compared to students in Grade 5.

Wave 2 included 61 teachers, reporting 1 to 37 years of experience teaching, and Wave 3 included 72 teachers (40 women, 32 men) reporting 1 to 34 years of experience. Thirty-five percent of students had math teachers reporting three or fewer years of teaching experience when they were in Grade 7, and 29% of students had math teachers reporting three or fewer years of teaching when they were in Grade 10. In both Grades 7 and 10, 39% of students had math teachers who self-identified as Black or African American, 59% of students had teachers who self-identified as White or European American, and 2% of students had teachers who self-identified as any other race.

**Measures**

**Teachers’ perceptions of students’ ability.** Math teachers rated each student’s competence in mathematics compared to other students in the same age group on two items (“In Math this student is:”; “In terms of smartness/general intelligence, this student is:”). Response options ranged from 1 (far below average) to 7 (far above average). A third item was included in the calculation of teachers’ perceptions on a scale from 1 = very difficult, 4 = average to 7 = very easy (“This student finds math:”). The average of the three responses was calculated to create a single score to reflect teachers’ perceptions of each student’s math competence. Alpha reliabilities for this scale in the present sample were .90 at Wave 2 and .95 at Wave 3.

**Teacher’s educational attainment expectations.** Math teachers’ expectations for students’ future educational attainment were assessed with a single item: “How far do you expect
this student to go in school?” Response options were: 1 = some high school, 2 = finish high school, 3 = some college, 4 = finish community college, 5 = finish a 4-year degree, 6 = master’s degree, 7 = doctoral degree. Comparable single-item measures are frequently used to assess parental educational attainment expectations (e.g. MADICS; Eccles, 1997).

**Students’ enrollment in high school honors math courses.** The summer after students graduated from high school, participating schools provided high school transcripts of participating students to the research team. These transcripts were used to identify the number of honors (e.g., Honors Algebra), Advanced Placement (e.g., AP Calculus), and International Baccalaureate (e.g., Mathematics HL) math courses students enrolled in and completed during their high school years. Student grades were also collected for data analyses.

**Students’ math achievement.** Because students’ concurrent academic achievement is an established correlate of teacher expectations for students’ future attainment, students’ Grade 7 and Grade 10 end-of-year math grades were obtained from middle school and high school transcripts and were included as covariates in the analyses. When students took more than one math course in a given year, the grades, which were on a scale from zero to 100, were averaged.

**Parent Education.** Parent education was included as a covariate, as an established correlate of student enrollment in advanced courses. For the 301 student participants at Wave 2, 258 parents responded to survey measures. Parents reported their level of education completed at the time of the survey on a 9-point scale (1 = less than high school; 9 = doctoral degree such as PhD, MD, or JD).

**Procedure**

Participants were recruited by African American and European American research assistants who visited students’ fifth grade classes. All students were invited to participate, and
parent permission was required for participation. Students returned signed permission forms to the research team through the school. Parents were given the option to decline participation, consent to participate and for their child to participate, or consent for their child to participate without participating themselves. In addition to permitting their children to complete survey measures, parents were also given the option to allow or decline access to the research team for transcript records for their child. Students assented and parents gave permission in each wave of the study. In Grades 7 and 10, students provided the names of their math teachers. Teachers were contacted by the research team and provided informed consent for their participation.

Students completed survey measures in small group settings at school such as the library or the cafeteria. Once students completed surveys, parent surveys were mailed to parents and guardians (one per household). Teachers and parents completed surveys at times that were convenient to them and returned completed surveys to the research team by mail. As a thank you gesture for participating, students received $5 gift cards to a local eatery in Grade 7 and a $10 gift card in Grade 10. Parents were given a $20-$30 honorarium, and teachers were given a $10-$25 honorarium depending on the number of students for whom they responded. Students, teachers, and parents completed additional measures that are not included in this report.
RESULTS

Separate multiple regression models were estimated to test the relationships between teachers’ perceptions of students’ ability within the domain of mathematics in Grade 7 and Grade 10 and subsequent high school honors math course enrollment. Similar multiple regression models were estimated to test the relationship between teachers’ educational attainment expectations of students in Grade 7 and Grade 10 and students’ high school honors math course enrollment. The number of honors, AP, and IB math courses that students took in high school was used as the dependent variable in these analyses. Students had graduated from high school when transcript records were obtained, and therefore course information included data from students’ four completed years of high school. The range of honors course enrollment was zero to six courses. A frequency distribution is displayed in Figure 1 and a summary of means and standard deviations appears in Table 1.

Teachers’ Perceptions of Students’ Math Ability and Students’ Subsequent Math Course Enrollment

To test hypotheses regarding the relationship between teachers’ perceptions of students’ math ability and students’ later math course enrollment, regression models were run separately using perceptions of students’ Grade 7 and Grade 10 math teachers. Each model included controls for Grade 7 or Grade 10 math achievement, parent education, as well as a Gender x Teacher Perception interaction term to investigate hypotheses regarding gender differences in the relationship between teacher perceptions and students’ later course enrollment.
The model predicting high school honors math course enrollment was significant for the regression using Grade 7 math teacher perceptions $F(2, 81) = 12.83, p < .001$ (see Table 2). Grade 7 math grades and Grade 7 math teachers’ perceptions were significantly related to honors math course enrollment in high school. The Gender by Teacher Perception interaction was not significant, indicating that Grade 7 teachers’ perceptions were equally important for boys’ and for girls’ honors math course enrollment. For the regression using Grade 10 math teacher perceptions, the overall model predicting high school honors math course enrollment was significant, $F(2, 85) = 7.07, p < .001$ (see Table 3). However, the only significant predictor variable was Grade 10 math grades.

**Teachers’ Educational Attainment Expectations and Students’ Course Enrollment**

Multivariate regression analyses were run to test hypotheses regarding the relationship between the teachers’ educational expectations and students’ honors math course enrollment in high school. The model was significant for the model using Grade 7 math teachers’ expectations $F(2, 79) = 14.64, p < .001$ (see Table 4). Middle school math grades were a significant predictor of high school honors math course enrollment, as well as seventh grade teachers’ educational attainment expectations, explaining 45% of the variance in honors math course enrollment. The overall model predicting high school honors math course enrollment was also significant for the model using Grade 10 math teacher expectations $F(2, 85) = 7.06, p < .001$ (see Table 5). High school teachers’ expectations and high school grades were significant predictors of high school honors course enrollment. No significant Gender by Teacher Expectation interaction was present.

Analysis of variance showed that using an alpha level of .05, average Grade 7 and Grade 10 math grades were not significantly different for boys and girls $F(1, 252) = 3.31, p = .07$;
however, gender differences approached significance, favoring girls. If students were enrolled in multiple math courses in a single year, grades attained in a single year were averaged. Boys and girls did not differ in the number of honors math courses taken in high school. Contrary to expectations, neither middle school nor high school math teachers’ perceptions of students’ math competence differed by student gender; however, math teachers’ expectations for educational attainment were significantly higher for girls than for boys in both Grade 7 and Grade 10, $F(1,252) = 5.62, p = .02$ and $F(1,252) = 7.70, p = .001$.

**Summary**

Hypotheses were partially supported, and no gender differences were indicated. Grade 7 math teachers’ perceptions of students’ ability were a significant predictor of honors math course enrollment in high school when controlling for students’ Grade 7 math grades. Grade 10 math teachers’ perceptions were not a significant predictor of honors course enrollment in high school. Teachers’ educational attainment expectations in Grades 7 and 10 as well as seventh and tenth grade math grades significantly predicted students’ honors math course enrollment in high school.
DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between math teachers’ perceptions of students’ ability and African American students’ math course taking in high school. Drawing upon the literature on teacher expectancy effects, I hypothesized that teachers’ perceptions of students’ ability and educational expectations for students would significantly predict high school honors math course enrollment above and beyond prior student achievement among African American youth. This hypothesis was supported for Grade 7 teachers’ perceptions of ability and for both Grade 7 and Grade 10 teachers’ educational attainment expectations. Teachers’ perceptions of students’ math ability did not differ for girls compared to boys, although teachers held higher educational expectations for girls than for boys in both Grade 7 and Grade 10. No gender differences were found in the strength of the relationship between teacher perceptions and subsequent math course enrollment. These results raise questions about the accuracy of teachers’ perceptions as well as effects of teachers’ perceptions on student motivation on a micro-level. Students make small decisions each day reciprocally influenced by the ways in which teachers communicate their own expectations and perceptions. Findings related to gender differences and future directions for research will also be discussed.

The Accuracy of Teacher Perceptions

Teacher perceptions predicted course enrollment above and beyond students’ prior performance, suggesting that teachers may be able to accurately identify students who would flourish in such classes. This may mean that teachers are accurate in their appraisals of student
ability; another interpretation is that teachers may accurately predict student-environment fit for success. The findings presented here are correlational, and further research is needed to investigate the mechanisms through which teachers’ perceptions and expectations could causally influence student motivation and behaviors, including their choices about advanced course enrollment.

Black boys are least likely of all race and gender groups to take advanced placement (AP) courses for which they are academically qualified. Only about 25% of Black boys who are qualified to take an AP course actually enroll in the relevant course offered at their school (College Board, 2014). Teachers’ knowledge of a student’s social group is related to teacher’s perceptions, which is in turn related to teachers’ recommendations for advanced course enrollment. For example, high achieving male students are more likely to be nominated by their teachers as “high achievers” if their friends also value academics, and students of both genders are more likely to be nominated if their friends are less socially-oriented than other students (Barber & Torney-Purta, 2008). When examining race differences in a nationally representative sample, Barber and Torney-Purta (2008) found that high achieving African American students were less likely to be nominated by teachers for advanced courses than European American students (with African American students being only 32% as likely as European American students to be nominated). From the perspective of instructors, research indicates that teachers are not as confident in their advanced course recommendations for ethnic minority student as they are for European American students. An experimental study with in-service and preservice teachers shows that teachers tend to both over- and under-estimate ethnic minority students’ skills, particularly grades (Glock, Krolak-Schwerdt, & Pit-ten Cate, 2014).
Research examining the intersection of student identities, specifically race, gender, and socioeconomic status, indicates that fostering students’ strengths requires identifying diverse types of social and cultural capital (Strayhorn, 2010). For example, Strayhorn (2010) found that pre-college outreach programs and involvement in leadership activities in high school was highly related to African American boys’ academic success in college. The effects were greatest for boys from a low SES background. Schools may better ensure student success by recognizing and addressing diverse needs and strengths of students from many backgrounds.

**Gender Differences in Teacher Expectations**

No gender differences in the relationship between teachers’ perceptions and later honors math course enrollment were found, indicating that both boys’ and girls’ decisions are comparably related to teachers’ perceptions. Teachers also had comparable views of girls’ and boys’ math ability, contrary to the gender discrepancy held in educational expectations. The implications of this finding raise questions about teachers’ reasoning regarding ratings of student ability and educational expectations. Shedding light on why teachers see their students as equally capable in math yet rate girls as more likely to advance farther in school than boys would be an important future direction to pursue to uncover the causes and consequences of gendered expectations among teachers of African American students. The present study did not focus on teachers’ perceptions of verbal abilities, which may contribute to the gendered difference in attainment expectations that favor girls.

Teachers’ lower educational attainment expectations for African American boys compared to African American girls could have significant implications for the ways in which teachers view and treat these students, resulting in meaningful differences in educational outcomes. Rowley et al. (2014) point out that cultural narratives framing Black boys as a social
problem are a particularly concerning barrier to academic success. Research indicates teachers tend to misunderstand or misinterpret Black boys’ classroom behaviors, indicating fewer competencies and more stereotypically negative qualities for Black students than for White students (Pigott & Cowen, 2000). Classroom emotional expression related to coping is another potentially important narrative index related to academic success. For example, teachers tend to negatively frame Black boys’ anger (Thomas, Coard, Stevenson, Bentley, & Zamel, 2009). Long-term teacher expectation effects may compound educational disparities. Just as the effects of teachers’ expectations may perpetuate disparities, teachers’ high expectations could have a positive effect for students. Further qualitative and quantitative work would be beneficial to understanding why and how these expectations are shaped, from the perspectives of both students and teachers. Understanding these factors could help illuminate the mechanisms related to achievement motivation consequences such as course enrollment.

In spite of the gender discrepancy in teachers’ expectations for educational attainment, boys and girls enrolled in a comparable number of honors math courses in high school, and math course grades were not significantly different for boys and girls in Grade 7 or Grade 10. These results are contrary to national norms showing that African American girls outperform African American boys on many academic indices (NCES, 2009). Further research is needed to understand the conditions under which disparities occur to a greater or lesser extent. Students in this study were enrolled in 17 urban and suburban schools. Although I was not able to run analyses to investigate school-level effects due to unbalanced sample sizes per school, multilevel modelling techniques of large scale datasets could help determine if these effects tend to differ by school-level factors such as school urbanity, school race and gender composition, school-wide programming differences, and neighborhood socioeconomic status, to name a few.
Individual-Environment Fit and Teacher Recognition

Individual differences in students’ responses to differential treatment are also important to consider as a mechanism through which teachers’ expectations are related to student achievement. Among middle school students, prior research indicates that how students perceive that their parents and teachers view them in relation to math influences their math competence and performance (Cribbs, Hazari, & Sadler, 2015). Teacher recognition may manifest as positive feedback, while students’ perceptions and attitudes toward that feedback influences their behavior. Educators interested in equity face a great challenge. Educational policies aimed at structuring learning environments and providing feedback, activities, and curriculum materials that attend to the need for a cultural shift in thinking may be needed in order for more students from underrepresented groups to develop academic identities counter to the stereotypes and cultural narratives most readily available. From a developmental perspective, middle school teachers’ communication of positive academic perceptions and expectations may be of particular importance, given the timing of students’ transition into the mathematics tracking system and entry into adolescence.
Table 1

*Number of Honors Math Courses in High School, Teacher Perceptions, and Teacher Expectations for Boys and Girls*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Honors Math Courses</td>
<td>1.37 (1.94)</td>
<td>1.38 (1.65)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 Teacher Perceptions</td>
<td>4.23 (1.31)</td>
<td>4.23 (1.37)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 Teacher Expectations*</td>
<td>3.59 (1.82)</td>
<td>4.11 (1.69)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 10 Teacher Perceptions</td>
<td>3.66 (1.41)</td>
<td>3.94 (1.31)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 10 Teacher Expectations**</td>
<td>3.39 (1.63)</td>
<td>3.98 (1.42)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 Math Grades</td>
<td>75.07 (12.73)</td>
<td>81.92 (10.34)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 10 Math Grades</td>
<td>73.07 (12.73)</td>
<td>76.97 (11.81)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td>5.39 (2.21)</td>
<td>5.44 (2.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Boys and girls differed at \(p<.05\)

**Boys and girls differed at \(p<.001\)
Table 2

Regression Predicting Honors Math Course Enrollment From Seventh Grade Math Teachers’ Perceptions of Students’ Ability (N = 99)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 Math Teacher’s Perception</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.43**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 Math Grade</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.33**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 Teacher Perception x Gender</td>
<td>-.29</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>-.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R^2 = .45$

*p< .05

**p<.001
Table 3

Regression Predicting Honors Math Course Enrollment Using Grade 10 Math Teachers’ Perceptions of Students’ Math Ability (N = 124)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 10 Math Teacher Perception</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 10 Math Grade</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.41**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-1.09</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>-.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 10 Teacher Perception x Gender</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R² = .299

*p< .05

**p<.001
Table 4

Regression Predicting Honors Math Course Enrollment from Grade 7 Math Teachers’ Educational Attainment Expectations (N=87)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 Math Teacher’s Educational Expectation for Student</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.39**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 Math Grade</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.36**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Gender</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 math teacher expectation x gender</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R² = .49

*p< .05

**p<.001
Table 5

*Regression Predicting Students’ Honors Math Course Enrollment Using Grade 10 Teachers’ Educational Attainment Expectations (N=107)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>$SE\ B$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 10 Math Teacher’s Educational Expectation</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.37**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for Student</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 10 Math Grade</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.34**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 10 math teacher expectation by gender</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>-.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R^2 = .37$

*p<.05

**p<.001
Figure 1. Frequency distribution for the number of honors math courses students took in high school.
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