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ABSTRACT

Maura Helen Partrick: Changes in Periodontal Status after Removal of Symptomatic Third Molars
(Under the direction of Dr. Raymond P. White, Jr., Dr. Ceib Phillips and Dr. William Proffit)

Subjects and Methods: Healthy subjects (ASA I, II) with minor pericoronitis symptoms affecting mandibular 3rd molars excluding those who used tobacco or antibiotics, were enrolled in an IRB-approved study. Full mouth periodontal probing was conducted at 6 sites per tooth at 2 time points: enrollment and post-surgery. A periodontal probing depth (PD) ≥ 4mm was considered an indicator variable for periodontal inflammatory disease. Patients at enrollment were stratified into two groups based on at least one distal of 2nd molar (D2M) PD ≥ 4mm or none, and at least one PD ≥ 4mm on probing sites on teeth more anterior in the mouth, the principal explanatory variables for these analyses at both the subject and jaw levels. Outcome variables post-surgery were the frequency of subjects detected with at least one PD ≥ 4mm or none, and the number and the extent (% possible probing sites) of PD ≥ 4mm on D2M and on teeth more anterior in the mouth.

Results: Median age for 39 subjects was 22 years (IQ 20.2-25.8). Fifty-nine percent subjects were male; 62% were Caucasian. Significantly fewer subjects post-surgery, 20%, had at least one D2M PD ≥ 4mm as compared to 92% subjects at enrollment (P<0.001). Removal of 3rd molars reduced the extent (% possible) of subjects total number of probing sites ≥ 4mm, 4.4 to 0.5, and the median number of PD ≥ 4mm for the D2M, 2 (IQR 2-3) to 0 (IQR 0-0), and for teeth more anterior in the mouth, 2 (IQR 0-5) to 0 (IQR 0-1).

Conclusion: Removal of 3rd molars in subjects with minor pericoronitis symptoms may improve periodontal health on the distal of 2nd molars and on teeth more anterior in the mouth.
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SECTION I

Literature Review

Definition of Pericoronitis

Pericoronitis is a chronic painful inflammatory condition of the periodontal tissues that most often is found around mandibular third molars (M3M) which are either partially or fully erupted(1-3). Rarely are the maxillary third molars affected. A more descriptive term for pericoronitis is symptomatic periodontal inflammatory disease(4). Pericoronitis is usually unilaterally expressed, but symptoms may occur bilaterally(5). Though it is considered a chronic condition, recurrent symptoms of pain are common. Minor signs and symptoms of pericoronitis include spontaneous pain, localized swelling, purulence or drainage, a foul taste and difficulty in swallowing. Major signs/symptoms of pericoronitis may occur, indicating spread beyond the local alveolar anatomic site, including enlarged lymph nodes, fever, trismus and facial cellulitis.

Epidemiology

Incidence measured for patients presenting to general dental Norwegian practices was estimated at 8-10%(6). Among military recruit populations studies from the US and Scandinavia, incidence is reported between 2-7%(7, 8). Any age group can be affected, but the disease is more common in the late teens and early twenties(9). Venta et al. in 1993 studied consecutive patients with third molar problems at the Finnish Student Health Service. Over half of the 100 students (53%) were diagnosed with pericoronitis; over half of those who were affected had recurrent symptoms(10). Of patients with pericoronitis, 50% had experienced a previous episode, 36% had experienced ≥ 2 episodes and 60% had experienced symptoms in contralateral 3rd molars within a 12 month monitoring period(11). In a period of one year, Fernandes found in 2009 that out of 421 subjects and
676 mandibular third molars, 22% of all vertically impacted teeth examined had developed symptoms and 23% of all partially erupted teeth became symptomatic(12). Kay found a cyclical recurrence of pericoronitis at 6 week intervals, but the most common interval for an additional attack was between the 3rd to the 6th month(13). Data on the frequency of major signs/symptoms occurring with pericoronitis are limited. Piironen and Ylipaavalniemi did report facial swelling associated with pericoronitis in 23% of subjects(14).

In 2003 White et al. looked at 630 subjects with an average age of 21 and found that most subjects’ health-related quality of life measures were recovered by 5 days post-surgery, while the “pain” category was improved to a status of “little or none” by day 7(15), which is comparable to what Conrad et al. found in 1999(16).

Based on subjects’ quality of life responses, McNutt et al. found that oral function difficulty and the pain associated with acute episodes of pericoronitis is likened to third molar removal. Mouth opening, chewing and eating were compromised according to two questionnaires. Additionally, 40% of subjects reported the worst pain as severe(17).

**The Biological Basis of Pericoronitis**

Subgingival anaerobic periodontal pathogens, which are risk factors for periodontal inflammatory disease, accumulate at the biofilm-gingival interface (BGI) on the non-sheddable tooth surface(18, 19). The anaerobic environment at the BGI allows the pathogens to interact with the host immune system(20). The inflammatory immune response results in symptoms, and over time the inflammatory mediators lead to destruction of tissue. Periodontal probing depths reflect the surface area of the BGI: the deeper the probing depths are, the more likely an anaerobic environment exists, favoring colonization of pathogens.

Blakey’s pilot study revealed that high levels of IL1-β in gingival crevicular fluid from subjects with pericoronitis reflect the severity of the inflammatory response to microbial challenge(21). Increased IL1-β and PGE₂ inflammatory mediators in gingival crevicular fluid samples are considered risk indicators for more severe periodontal disease(22).
Treatment

Effective immediate treatment for minor symptoms of pericoronitis includes frequent irrigation until symptoms subside, usually 48-72 hours, and analgesic medications (acetaminophen, and NSAIDs as examples). Some clinicians recommend systemic antibiotic administration which also can be effective. However, due to the recurrent nature of pericoronitis, acute symptoms return after a period of time. Mechanical debridement, scaling and root planning, is likely to be no more effective. Mechanical debridement in subjects with visible 3rd molars did not reduce the total pathogen count in Blakey’s 1996 pilot study, nor the anaerobic pathogen numbers(23). Moss et al. also found similar results(24). Subjects in Phillips’ 2003 study showed twice the risk of delayed healing after third molar removal if there were third molar symptoms pre-surgically with clinically delayed healing requiring at least one additional post-surgery visit(25).

In the 1996 pilot study Blakey et al. found that 3rd molar removal eradicated pericoronitis symptoms, but the patient’s inflammatory response (IL-1b) remained elevated at the third month post-surgery visit when compared to control subjects(26). More recently, Blakey and Rajasuo separately reported that removal of asymptomatic mandibular 3rd molars resulted in an improvement of periodontal status on the distal of 2nd molars and teeth more anterior in the mouth(27, 28). Not all subjects were improved; 20% of subjects who had all 3rd molars removed had at least one PD>4mm on the distal of the 2nd mandibular molar 9 months after surgery(29).

In comparing prevalence and severity of periodontal inflammatory disease in pericoronitis subjects with those with asymptomatic 3rd molars, Gelesko et al. found that symptomatic subjects had more periodontal inflammatory disease based on periodontal probing depths at least 4mm, incipient disease 31% vs. 25% and early disease 55% vs.38%, respectively(30).

In summary, interesting information can be garnered by following symptomatic pericoronitis subjects over time for changes in periodontal inflammatory disease prevalence pre- and post-removal of all third molars. In patients with pericoronitis, the current concept is that the most
optimal treatment is removal of the third molars. Recurrent symptoms and transmission of the microbial burden to additional teeth more anteriorly are strong arguments towards this model.
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SECTION II

Manuscript

Changes in Periodontal Status after Removal of Symptomatic Third Molars

Introduction

Blakey et al have reported that two-thirds of young adults enrolled with four asymptomatic 3rd molars had at least one periodontal probing depth (PD)$\geq4$mm in the 3rd molar region(1). Subjects having at least one PD$\geq4$mm in the 3rd molar region or those with high subject counts of anaerobic periodontal pathogens, “orange and red cluster” bacteria $\geq10^5$ at enrollment, were at increased risk for disease progression in the 3rd molar region over 2 years, measured by a deepening of PD of at least 2mm(2). After a six year follow-up of 195 subjects in the study population with asymptomatic 3rd molars, periodontal pathology had worsened for the 3rd molar region and non-3rd molars(3) As compared to subjects with all PD$<4$mm at enrollment, if one to three PD$\geq4$mm were detected in the 3rd molar region at baseline, odds were 12 fold of finding at least four PD$\geq4$mm at follow-up(4). Having at least one 3rd molar region PD$\geq4$mm at baseline increased the odds almost four-fold of periodontal pathology being detected on non-3rd molar teeth at follow-up(5).

Subsequently, a subset of the enrolled subjects had 3rd molars removed. Significantly fewer subjects who had all 3rd molars removed had a PD$\geq4$mm detected on the distal of mandibular 2nd molars (D2M) post-surgery as compared to pre-surgery(6). In addition the total number of PD$\geq4$mm around all remaining teeth in the mandible were reduced post-surgery if all 3rd molars were removed. These data suggested that removal of mandibular 3rd molars with at least one PD$\geq4$mm might improve the periodontal status of 2nd molars and possibly the overall periodontal health of teeth more anterior in the mouth.
Gelesko et al studied subjects enrolled with inflammatory symptoms affecting mandibular 3rd molars, termed pericoronitis by most clinicians(7). Subjects with pericoronitis involving mandibular 3rd molars had more underlying periodontal inflammatory disease in affected young adults as measured by PD≥4mm than was detected in young adults with retained asymptomatic 3rd molars.

This study was designed to determine if removing 3rd molars in subjects with pericoronitis, symptomatic 3rd molars, improves the periodontal status of 2nd molars and of teeth more anterior in the mouth.

Subjects and Methods

The data for this IRB approved, prospective, exploratory clinical study were collected from patients/subjects at a single academic clinical center, the University of North Carolina over a four year period ending in 2010. The convenience sampling plan was non-random, with recruiting via advertisements in the university newspaper and “word of mouth.” Inclusion criteria included the following: mild signs/symptoms of pericoronitis affecting at least one lower 3rd molar (spontaneous pain, localized swelling, purulence, drainage), between 18-35 years old, and a health status as determined by the American Society of Anesthesiologists’ classification I or II. Subjects were excluded from the study if they were experiencing major signs or symptoms of pericoronitis (a core temperature > 101°F, dysphagia, trismus with opening <20mm at the incisors), facial swelling or cellulitis, severe uncontrolled discomfort, American Association of Periodontology class 4 periodontal status, an acute illness, systemic antibiotic use within the past 2 months, current tobacco use, a body mass index (BMI)>29, a medical contraindication to full mouth probing or pregnancy.

Subjects/patients were seen for baseline data collection at entry and those who elected to have 3rd molars removed were seen at least three months post-surgery. The entry visit included screening for determination of eligibility, collection of baseline data, discussion of treatment options, and consent for participation. Baseline data included demographic data and full mouth periodontal probing (PD), six sites per tooth including the 3rd molars to determine periodontal status. PDs were rounded to the nearest lower whole number (example: 3.6mm was rounded to 3.0mm). A PD≥4mm
was considered a clinical indicator of periodontal inflammatory disease(8). The same PD data were collected post-surgery.

Based on the report by Dicus et al subjects at enrollment were stratified into two groups according to the subject and jaw level with at least one distal of 2\textsuperscript{nd} molar PD\(\geq\) 4mm or none, and at least one PD\(\geq\) 4mm on probing sites on teeth more anterior in the mouth, the principal explanatory variables for these analyses. Outcome variables post-surgery were the frequency of subjects having at least one PD\(\geq\)4mm or none detected as well as the number and the extent (% possible probing sites) of PD\(\geq\)4mm on the distal of 2\textsuperscript{nd} molars and on teeth more anterior in the mouth. Possible confounding variables included gender, race/ethnicity, age at enrollment, and education level (high school or less and at least some college)(9).

Cochran Mantel Haenszel row mean score tests compared age for the two groups. Fisher’s Exact test compared ethnicity and gender, while the Exact McNemar’s test was used to assess the association between subjects’ pre- and post-surgery periodontal status. Level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Fifty-nine percent of the 39 subjects with pericoronitis symptoms having all 3\textsuperscript{rd} molars removed were male (Table 1). The majority (61.5%) of subjects were Caucasian. More subjects were well educated: 90% had at least some college education. The median age at enrollment was 22 years (IQR 20.2 - 25.8) and the median time for the post-surgery follow-up was 7.3 months (IQR 5.8-11.2).

The periodontal status of the distal of 2\textsuperscript{nd} molars (D2M) was improved for most subjects post-surgery as compared to pre-surgery. Of the 36 subjects (92.3%) who had at least one D2M PD\(\geq\)4mm at enrollment, only 7 subjects (19%) had at least one D2M PD\(\geq\)4mm post-surgery (Table 2). Of the 3 subjects with all pre-surgery D2M PD<4mm, only one subject had at least one D2M PD\(\geq\)4mm post-surgery.

The periodontal status, a reduction in the depth of probing sites more anterior to the D2M, also was improved post-surgery for most subjects (Table 3). Of the 24 subjects (61.6%) with at least
one PD\textgreater{}4mm pre-surgery on any non-3\textsuperscript{rd} molar tooth type (probing sites anterior to the D2M), only 4 subjects (10.3\%) had at least one PD\textgreater{}4mm post-surgery. Only 2 of 15 subjects with all pre-surgery PD\textless{}4mm on probing sites anterior to the D2M had worsened post-surgery with at least one PD\textgreater{}4mm detected.

Only 6 subjects (15.4\%) had a PD\textgreater{}4mm on the distal of maxillary 2\textsuperscript{nd} molars pre-surgery (Table 4). No maxillary D2M PD\textgreater{}4mm were detected at follow-up. Mandibular D2M pre-surgery were more likely to have at least one PD\textgreater{}4mm than maxillary D2M (Table 5). Thirty-six subjects (92.3\%) had at least one D2M PD\textgreater{}4mm pre-surgery. The periodontal status of the mandibular D2M of most subjects was improved post-surgery; only 8 of the 36 subjects (20.5\%) had a D2M PD\textgreater{}4mm at follow-up. Of the 3 subjects with all mandibular PD\textless{}4mm at enrollment, 1 had a mandibular D2M PD\textgreater{}4mm post-surgery.

Removal of all 3\textsuperscript{rd} molars reduced the total number of D2M PD\textgreater{}4mm and the total number of PD\textgreater{}4mm on probing sites more anterior in the mouth (Table 6). The median number of PD\textgreater{}4mm for the D2M and for probing sites more anterior decreased from 2 (IQR 2-3) and 2 (IQR 0-5), to 0 (IQR 0-0) and (IQR 0-0), respectively. The median number of PD\textgreater{}4mm for all possible probing sites also were decreased post-surgery, 4 (IQR 7-11) to 0 (IQR 0-1). The extent (% possible) of probing sites was also reduced post-surgery (Table 6). The extent scores for D2M PD\textgreater{}4mm pre-surgery were greater than the extent scores for all probing sites more anterior, 13.1\% vs. 0.5\% respectively. Post-surgery extent scores were reduced for the D2M and for probing sites more anterior, 2.6\% and 0.1\% respectively. The extent scores overall encompassing all probing sites were also reduced post-surgery, 4.4\% to 0.5\%.

Although the maxilla was less affected pre-surgery than the mandible, the extent (% possible) of PD\textgreater{}4mm also were reduced post-surgery (Table 7). The pre-surgery extent scores of maxillary D2M PD\textgreater{}4mm were greater than the extent scores for maxillary non-3\textsuperscript{rd} molars, 3.8\% vs. 0.2\% respectively. Post-surgery extent scores were reduced for the D2M and for probing sites more
anterior, both were 0.0%. The extent scores for all possible maxillary probing sites were also reduced post-surgery, from 0.9% to 0.1%.

Removal of 3rd molars reduced the number and extent PD>4mm of mandibular D2M and the mandibular probing sites more anterior (Table 8). The pre-surgery median number of PDs for the mandibular D2M and probing sites more anterior was 2 (IQR 2-3) and 2 (IQR 0-5), respectively. The median number was reduced for both mandibular anatomic regions to 0 (IQR 0-0) post-surgery. The median number of PD>4mm for all possible mandibular probing sites also were reduced pre- to post-surgery, 7 (IQR 4-9) to 0 (IQR 0-0). The pre-surgery mandibular D2M extent scores were higher than the extent scores for more anterior probing sites. Post-surgery, the extent scores for the mandibular D2M were reduced from 22.4% to 5.1%, and more anterior probing sites extent scores were reduced from 0.7% to 0.2%. The extent scores for all possible mandibular probing sites were also reduced post-surgery, from 7.9% to 0.9%.

Discussion

Our findings suggest that removing all 3rd molars may improve the periodontal status of adjacent 2nd molars in patients with pericoronitis symptoms, reducing the severity of periodontal inflammatory disease in affected individuals. Of the 36 subjects with at least one D2M PD>4mm pre-surgery, only 7 (19%) had a D2M PD>4mm post-surgery, with an average follow-up of 7 months, which most clinicians would deem adequate for healing. Perhaps more important, the periodontal status of teeth more anterior, fewer PD>4mm anterior to D2M, was also improved.

Overall the pre-surgery periodontal status of these young, healthy adults with pericoronitis symptoms was good. The median number of PD>4mm for all probing sites pre-surgery was 4 (IQR 7-11); 4.4% of all possible probing sites were affected. Pre-surgery the median number of PD>4mm was similar for the D2M and 3rd molars, 2 (IQR 2-3) and 2 (IQR 1-3). However, 13.1% of all possible D2M probing sites were affected as compared to 3.8% of all 3rd molar probing sites. The median number and the extent (% possible) of D2M PD>4mm, and the median number and the extent
of PD≥4mm more anterior were less post-surgery, D2M 0 (IQR 0-0) and 2.6%, and 0 (IQR 0-0) and 0.1%, respectively.

Other authors have reported similar outcomes. Blakey et al recently suggested that removal of asymptomatic 3rd molars may improve the periodontal status of young adults with the early stages of periodontal inflammatory disease, with beneficial changes particularly affecting the distal of 2nd molars(10). In that study, fewer of the 56 subjects with all asymptomatic 3rd molars removed had periodontal inflammatory disease 9 months post-surgery than were detected pre-surgery. Similar to our findings, for Blakey et al.’s subjects the total number of PD≥4mm were reduced not only on D2M but also on probing sites more anterior in the mouth. Not all of the subjects with asymptomatic 3rd molars studied by Blakey et al had an improved periodontal status; 20% of subjects had at least one D2M PD≥4mm at 9 months post-surgery(11). After a systematic review of reports on the impact of 3rd molar surgery on 2nd molar periodontal status, Dodson and Richardson reported that, in the aggregate, detrimental periodontal changes on the D2M pre-surgery to post-surgery were clinically insignificant. However, not all subjects included in their analyses were improved; 20 of their 48 subjects (42%) had a D2M PD≥4mm or a D2M clinical attachment level ≥2mm post-surgery(12).

Kan et al performed a periodontal examination on mandibular D2M at least 6 months after removal of impacted 3rd molars on subjects who averaged 29 years old. Three-quarters of the 3rd molars were mesioangular pre-surgery. Mean post-surgery D2M PD was 5.4mm (SD 0.8mm) with two-thirds of the subjects having at least one D2M PD>4mm(13). Karapataki et al also reported PD after removal of bilateral mandibular mesioangular impacted 3rd molars in subjects with a mean age of 32 years. Two-thirds of the subjects had at least one D2M PD≥4mm at the follow-up examination(14). Krausz et al reported post-surgery D2M PD data on subjects with a mean age of 30 years who had one impacted mandibular 3rd molar removed while retaining the contralateral 3rd molar(15). At three year post-surgery follow-up, mean D2M PD was greater in the quadrant with the retained 3rd molar as compared to the quadrant with the 3rd molar removed (5.1mm vs. 4.9mm). No pre-surgery periodontal data were reported for these three studies(13-15).
Are our findings biologically plausible? The data we report are compatible with current biological models of periodontal inflammatory disease which focus on the Biofilm Gingival Interface (BGI)(16). In affected individuals increased periodontal probing depths suggest a BGI with an enlarged surface area with an enlarged anaerobic environment, facilitating the colonization of subgingival pathogens. Periodontal pathology is a result of the interaction of increased numbers of periodontal pathogens with the immune system at the BGI. It is possible that 3rd molar removal would effectively reduce the surface area of the BGI, thus altering the subgingival anaerobic conditions which facilitate colonization of pathogens and an immune response to the bacteria, and potentially improve the periodontal condition.

Are treatment options other than 3rd molar removal effective in improving symptoms for subjects with pericoronitis? Palliative treatment for minor symptoms of pericoronitis includes irrigation of the affected anatomic site and medications to relieve pain. Some clinicians may add antibiotics to the regimen. The reports by Kay et al and Venta et al suggest that symptoms recur for most patients in a few months unless 3rd molars are removed(17-18). Although we report that most subjects with symptomatic 3rd molars had at least one D2M PD≥4mm, no periodontal data were included in these reports for purposes of comparison.

The findings in this study should be examined with some caution. Our subjects are not representative of the US population; the number of Asians, and Hispanics were few. Additionally, 90% of our subjects had “some college” experience, an education level higher than the US population. Subjects who reported tobacco use or antibiotic treatment were excluded. Further study of subjects with pericoronitis symptoms using tobacco or being treated short-term with antibiotics is warranted. With these limitations, the data we report suggests that most subjects with pericoronitis symptoms have clinical evidence of early periodontal inflammatory disease, and periodontal health can be improved after 3rd molar removal. These findings should assist clinicians advising patients seeking treatment for pericoronitis, a chronic condition with recurring acute episodes. Research
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Table 1. Characteristics of subjects having symptomatic 3rd molars removed, N=39.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Subjects with Pericoronitis Signs/symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Grad</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Grad</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post College Education</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age In Years median (IQR)</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Interval (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(IQR) = Interquartile range, 25th to 75th percentile
Table 2. The number and percent of subjects at enrollment and post $3^{rd}$ molar removal, with at least one periodontal probing depth (PD)\geq4mm or all PD<4mm on the distal of all $2^{nd}$ molars (D2M), N=39.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects at Enrollment D2M PD</th>
<th>All PD&lt;4mm</th>
<th>At Least 1 PD\geq4mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All PD&lt;4mm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Least One PD\geq4mm</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note- The percentage of subjects with at least one PD\geq4mm on the distal of $2^{nd}$ molars was significantly less post-surgery after all $3^{rd}$ molars were removed, P<0.001.
Table 3. The number and percent of subjects at enrollment and post 3rd molar removal with at least one periodontal probing depth (PD) > 4mm or all PD < 4mm, on any non-3rd molar tooth-type (probing sites anterior D2M), N=39.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects Non-3rd Molar PD at Enrollment</th>
<th>Subjects Non-3rd Molar PD Post-surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All PD&lt;4mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All PD&lt;4mm</td>
<td>13 33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Least One PD&gt;4mm</td>
<td>20 51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33 84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. The number and percent of subjects at enrollment and post 3rd molar removal with at least one periodontal probing depth (PD) ≥4mm or all PD<4mm on the distal of maxillary 2nd molars (D2M) N=39.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects Maxillary D2M PD at Enrollment</th>
<th>All PD&lt;4mm</th>
<th>At Least 1 PD≥4mm</th>
<th>Post-surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All PD&lt;4mm</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Least One PD≥4mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note - The percentage of subjects with at least one PD≥4mm on the distal of maxillary 2nd molars was significantly less post-surgery after all 3rd molars were removed, P<0.001.
Table 5. The number and percent of subjects at enrollment and post 3\textsuperscript{rd} molar removal with at least one periodontal probing depth (PD) $\geq 4\text{mm}$ or all PD$<4\text{mm}$ on the distal of mandibular 2\textsuperscript{nd} molars (D2M), N=39.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects Mandibular D2M PD at Enrollment</th>
<th>All PD$&lt;4\text{mm}$</th>
<th>At Least 1 PD$\geq 4\text{mm}$</th>
<th>Post-surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All PD$&lt;4\text{mm}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Least One PD$\geq 4\text{mm}$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note- The percentage of subjects with at least one PD$\geq 4\text{mm}$ on the distal of mandibular 2\textsuperscript{nd} molars was significantly less post-surgery after all 3\textsuperscript{rd} molars were removed, P$<0.001$. 
Table 6. Subject level number and extent (% possible) probing sites at least 4mm for subjects with symptomatic mandibular 3rd molars at enrollment, N=39.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Enroll Median Number (IQR)</th>
<th>Post-surgery Extent (% possible PD)</th>
<th>Enroll</th>
<th>Post-surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3rd Molar</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal 2nd Molar</td>
<td>2 (2-3)</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-3rd Molar*</td>
<td>2 (0-5)</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total subjects</td>
<td>4 (7-11)</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All PD anterior to D2M
All 3rd molars removed in each subject
Table 7. Number and extent (% possible) maxillary probing sites at least 4mm for subjects with symptomatic mandibular 3rd molars at enrollment, n=37*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Enroll Median Number (IQR)</th>
<th>Post-surgery Extent (% possible PD)</th>
<th>Enroll</th>
<th>Post-surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3rd Molar</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal 2nd Molar</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-3rd Molar**</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Maxilla</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Two subjects were missing both maxillary 3rd molars  
** All PD anterior to D2M  
All 3rd molars removed in each subject
Table 8. Number and extent (% possible) mandibular probing sites at least 4mm for subjects with symptomatic mandibular 3rd molars at enrollment, N=39.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Enroll Median Number (IQR)</th>
<th>Post-surgery</th>
<th>Enroll Extent (% possible PD)</th>
<th>Post-surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3rd Molar</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal 2nd Molar</td>
<td>2 (2-3)</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-3rd Molar*</td>
<td>2 (0-5)</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mandible</td>
<td>7 (4-9)</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Does not include the distal of the 2nd molar
All 3rd molars removed in each subject.