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ABSTRACT

Jacqueline F. Mawoneke: A Quality Improvement, Nurse Led Initiative to Decrease the Rate of Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infections at Kindred Hospital.
(Under the direction of Diane Caruso)

Background: Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) are challenging to manage in long-term acute care hospitals (LTACHs). Patients in these facilities need long hospital stays because they have complex medical needs, which make them susceptible to infection. They are also likely to be admitted with a urinary catheter lengthening their catheter duration, which increases their risk of acquiring CAUTI.

Purpose: The purpose of this project was to implement an educational program for nurses and nursing assistants in a LTACH and evaluate the changes in the (1) rates of proper documentation of CAUTI rounding components by the infection control nurse and (2) CAUTI rates after the teaching.

Methods: Education on the facility policy and procedure and the catheter discontinuation protocol was provided to bedside RNs and CNAs at the annual skills fair. CAUTI rounding was conducted weekly with the benchmark goal of 80% to indicate compliance with measures.

Results: Results from this project indicated statistical significance in the differences between CAUTI rounding documentation before and after the intervention (p<.001 for catheter necessity, statlock and bag placed appropriately and between pre- and post-test results from staff education for both RNs and CNAs).

Conclusion: There is limited data on effective prevention strategies to use in LTACHs. Decreasing the rate of CAUTI in these patients will have positive outcomes such as, decreased hospital costs, shorter hospital lengths of stay, and decreased incidence of complications of antibiotic use.
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Background and Significance

Indwelling urinary catheters are used for bladder drainage and are commonly associated with urinary tract infections, which are reported to be the most common type of health care associated infection (HAI) (Moola & Konno, 2010; CDC, 2015). Between 15% and 25% of hospitalized patients receive urinary catheters during a hospital stay (CDC, 2015). These catheters may be inserted for any of the following reasons: surgery, accurate measurement of input and output, relief of urinary retention and institution protocol. Thirty to thirty-six percent of all infections reported by acute care hospitals are CAUTIs (CDC, 2009). There were 93,300 CAUTIs in acute care hospitals in the United States in 2011 (HAI Data and Statistics, 2016).

According to the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) (2009), upwards of $340 million is spent yearly on CAUTI treatment in this country. CAUTI is an infection that can reasonably be prevented through the application of evidence-based guidelines; therefore in 2008, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) implemented a policy under which CMS does not pay hospitals for costs associated with caring for patients who acquired CAUTI during their inpatient stay (i.e., not present at the time of their hospital admission) (CMS.gov, 2004). CAUTI costs are calculated per patient per incident and this varies depending on the organism and the type of infection (Apostolopoulou et al., 2015). Umscheid et al. (2011) found that the expenses associated with CAUTI included lab costs, medications needed to treat the infection, each 0.5-1 day increase in hospital length of stay, nursing and physician care and the costs.
related to the treatment of concurrent infections such as bloodstream infections. The cost of symptomatic CAUTI was $1,200-$4,700 per incident which may have been paid for by the facility or the insurance or the patient (Umscheid et al., 2011). This is an increase from the NHSN estimate of $758 per event (National Healthcare Safety Network, 2009). Preventable CAUTIs cost $115 million to $1.82 billion annually (Umscheid et al., 2011). Reducing the incidence of CAUTI could also save between 225 and 9,031 lives per year (Umscheid et al., 2011).

Adverse outcomes of CAUTI include the following: longer hospital stays, reservoirs for multi-drug resistant organisms (MDROs) secondary opportunistic infections such as sepsis or bloodstream infections, increased morbidity and mortality, and late onset sequelae such as osteomyelitis and meningitis (CDC, 2009; Guide to the Elimination of Catheter-associated Urinary Infections, 2008). Other non-infectious negative outcomes that can occur are nonbacterial urethral inflammation, urethral stricture, mechanical trauma, and mobility impairment (Hollingsworth et al., 2013).

Many of these infections can be prevented using the recommended infection control measures outlined in the CDC Guideline for Prevention of Catheter-associated Urinary Tract Infections of 2009. Decreasing CAUTI rates results in improved patient outcomes, decreased hospital costs, shorter lengths of stay, and decreased incidences of complications of antibiotic use such as the development of Clostridium difficile (C-diff), the development of MDRO infections and sepsis (CDC, 2009; CDC 2012). CAUTI is a frequent infection in acute care hospitals despite the existence of the evidence-based guideline that provides information on effective prevention strategies and surveillance methods (CDC, 2015). The Joint Commission (TJC), which accredits and certifies health care organizations in this country, includes the
implementation of evidence-based practice to curb CAUTI as part of its national patient safety goals (The Joint Commission, 2016). These goals ensure that safe and effective high quality care is provided to each patient in the hospital (The Joint Commission, 2016).

**Problem Statement**

Management of CAUTI in patients in long term acute care hospitals (LTACHs) is challenging. Chitnis et al. (2010) report that LTACHs are a high risk setting for HAIs and have higher rates of CAUTI compared to Intensive Care Units (ICUs). LTACHs provide care to chronically sick patients who have several comorbidities and may require long-term use of urinary catheters. Prolonged catheterization (greater than 6 days) increases the risk of CAUTI with a notable relative risk of between 5.1-6.8 (Guide to the Elimination of Catheter-associated Urinary Infections, 2008). Prevention of CAUTI in LTACHs requires an involved staff and the use of evidence-based strategies. A nurse-led CAUTI prevention program using the CDC guideline together with an updated policy and procedure may lead to a decrease in the rate of infections at a long-term acute care facility.

**Project Purpose**

Prevention of CAUTI in LTACHs has positive outcomes for patients. However, the management of CAUTI in these patients is challenging because many have catheters in place for longer periods of time than patients in short term acute care hospitals. LTACHs provide services to patients who need longer lengths of stay, on average 25 days (Medicare.gov, 2015). Patients in LTACHs are discharged from a short-term acute care hospital, usually an ICU or a critical care unit, and need more specialized care before being discharged home (Medicare.gov, 2015). LTACHs specialize in treating patients with several serious comorbidities who are likely to have multiple risk factors for HAIs such as CAUTI. The most common admission diagnoses are
respiratory failure requiring weaning from mechanical ventilators, rehabilitation from complicated and non-healing surgical interventions, the presence of gastrostomy tubes, total parenteral nutrition (TPN) needs, malnutrition, post-operative or post-trauma related infections and renal failure (Weinstein & Price 2009). Sepsis and wound care are also common. LTACH patients have high rates of antibiotic use and device use (e.g., urinary catheters and central venous lines) and have a high risk of being colonized with MDROs (Weinstein & Price 2009). These conditions are in addition to their chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, hypothyroidism, kidney disease, anemia or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Some of these patients require long-term use of urinary catheters due to their disease processes, which increases their susceptibility to CAUTIs and other HAIs (CDC, 2009; Guide to the Elimination of Catheter-associated Urinary Infections, 2008).

The guideline from the CDC contains recommendations to minimize the use of urinary catheters and the duration of use for patients at higher risk for CAUTI or mortality from catheterization such as women, the elderly, and patients with impaired immunity (CDC, 2009). The same guideline recommends that specific indications be used when deciding to insert a urinary catheter in a patient. However, these guidelines are not specific to LTACHs where patients have several comorbidities and tend to have longer catheter length of stays (LOS). Given this, the purpose of this quality improvement project was to implement an educational program following the new facility policy and procedure for nurses and nursing assistants (based on the 2009 CDC guideline) in a LTACH on patients with a catheter measuring: (1) rates of proper documentation of CAUTI rounding components by the infection control nurse and (2) post-education CAUTI rates.
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE

CAUTIs occur when bacteria enter the urinary tract through the urinary catheter and cause infection (CDC, 2009). CAUTIs have been associated with increased morbidity, mortality, healthcare costs, and longer hospital lengths of stay (CDC, 2009). CAUTI can lead to the development of secondary infections such as central line associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI), increased use of antibiotics, increased incidence of MDROs, complications of antibiotic therapy such as C-diff and late onset sequelae such as osteomyelitis and meningitis (IHI, 2016; McaVane, 2016; Curran & Murdoch, 2009; Townsend, Anderson & Meeker, 2013; Guide to the Elimination of Catheter-associated Urinary Infections, 2008). As a result, many hospitals strive to decrease the occurrence of CAUTI within their population.

Causes of CAUTI

CAUTIs can occur due to patient related factors, caregiver-related factors, and system related factors. Some patient related factors include: age over 50 years, female gender, diabetes, poor personal hygiene, previous urinary tract infection, and colonization with MDROs (Guide to the Elimination of Catheter-associated Urinary Infections, 2008). Caregiver related factors include: poor hand hygiene prior to catheter manipulation, insertion or maintenance; breaks in the closed system allowing backflow of urine; inappropriate use of catheters; poor insertion techniques which break the sterility of the catheter, leading to biofilm collecting on the surface of the indwelling catheter; and catheters being used longer than necessary (Doshi, Patel, MacKay & Wallach, 2009; Guide to the Elimination of Catheter-associated Urinary Infections, 2008).
System-related causes of CAUTI pertain to the conditions within the hospital, which include: inadequate knowledge and use of current guidelines in the management of CAUTI; routine catheter changes; inappropriate antibiotic use; outdated policy and procedure manuals; and, limited involvement of bedside staff in formulating and implementing policy and procedure pertaining to the use of urinary catheters (Curran & Murdoch, 2009; Guide to the Elimination of Catheter-associated Urinary Tract Infections, 2008; IHI 2016). Current research indicates that prolonged use of a catheter (i.e., for more than 6 days) increases the risk of developing an infection and the IHI (2016) maintains that the daily risk of developing a UTI ranges from 3-7% when a catheter is in place.

**Standards of Practice**

CAUTI prevention can be achieved by following the current guideline together with facility policy and procedures. Typically, these policies and procedures provide the basic standards of practice for staff to follow and, when correctly followed, lead to positive patient outcomes. The CDC CAUTI guideline outlines the standards of practice, which include:

1) Assessing the patient for accepted indications/necessity and alternatives to indwelling urinary catheter use. The accepted indications from the CDC include:

   a) Management of acute urinary retention or obstruction.

   b) Perioperative use for selected surgical procedures, such as:

      i) Urologic surgery or other surgery on contiguous structures of the genitourinary tract.

      ii) Anticipated prolonged duration of surgery (catheters inserted for this reason should be removed in PACU).

      iii) Patients anticipated to receive large-volume infusions or diuretics during surgery.

      iv) Need for intraoperative monitoring of urinary output (CDC, 2009; Gould et al., 2010).
c) Healing of open sacral or perineal wounds in incontinent patients (CDC, 2009).

d) Patient requires prolonged immobilization (e.g., potentially unstable thoracic or lumbar spine, multiple traumatic injuries such as pelvic fractures) (CDC, 2009).

e) To improve comfort for end of life care if needed (CDC, 2009).

f) Need for accurate measurements of urinary output in critically ill patients (CDC, 2009).

2) Using alternatives to indwelling catheters such as intermittent catheterization or external catheterization (CDC, 2009).

3) Adhering to aseptic technique for placement, manipulation, and maintenance of indwelling urinary catheters, which may include:

a) Hand hygiene before and after insertion.

b) Ensuring that trained personnel insert the catheter.

c) Maintaining a closed drainage system.

d) Maintaining an unobstructed urine flow by keeping the collecting bag below the bladder, emptying the bag regularly, preventing the bag from overfilling and avoiding kinking of the catheter.

e) Securing the catheter after insertion to prevent movement and urethral traction (use of a stat lock or a strap) (CDC, 2009).

4) Discontinue indwelling urinary catheters promptly as soon as indications expire (Elpern, 2016).

**Effective Strategies in Decreasing CAUTI**

Reduction in catheter use, early catheter removal, aseptic catheter insertion and care and hand hygiene are the most effective interventions in decreasing the incidence of CAUTI (Ranji et al., 2007; Meddings et al., 2012). Strategies to determine catheter necessity and prompt removal
when no indication is found are effective in CAUTI reduction. According to Cornia, Amory, Fraser, Saint and Lipsky (2003), the strongest predictor of catheter-associated bacteriuria is the duration of use, thus shortening the length of time reduces the risk of infection.

Printed or computer based reminder systems for providers and nurses have been utilized in reducing unnecessary catheter use (Mori, 2014). Cornia et al. (2003) found that a computer-based reminder system for providers in an academic teaching hospital was effective in reminding physicians to renew or discontinue the urinary catheter order after 72 hours. This strategy increased the rate of documentation of indwelling urinary catheter placement from 29% to 92% and shortened the duration of catheterization from 5 days to 3 days (p=.03, 95% confidence interval [CI]). Saint, Kaufman, Thompson, Rogers, and Chenowith (2005) found that a paper reminder system was effective in decreasing the catheterization duration in their intervention group by 7.6% (p=.007, 95% CI). Apisarnthanarak et al. (2007) used the nurse as a clinician reminder during bedside rounding and found this to be effective in reducing the rate of inappropriate urinary catheterization, thereby also reducing the rate of CAUTI (mean rate, 21.5 pre-intervention vs. 5.2 post-intervention infections per 1,000 catheter-days [p<.001, 95% CI]), decreasing the duration of urinary catheterization (pre-intervention vs. post-intervention, 11 vs. 3 days [p<.001, 95% CI]), and the total length of hospitalization.

Other studies have indicated that empowering nurses to remove a catheter when the catheter necessity indications were no longer met was effective in decreasing the rate of CAUTI and decreasing the patient length of stay at the hospital (Crouzet et al., 2007; Mori, 2014; Parry, Grant & Sestovic, 2013). Research indicates in hospitals where nurses practice autonomously by having control over their environment, participate in decisions pertaining to their practice and
Aseptic catheter insertion and hand hygiene have been found to be effective in decreasing CAUTI rates. The CDC guideline (2009) recommends hand hygiene immediately before and after insertion of a urinary catheter or when there is any manipulation of the device or site. Bundles that include these techniques have been created and are used as checklists by staff members. CAUTI bundles include hand washing, aseptic technique with the insertion of a catheter, proper maintenance of the catheter and education provided for staff members who are directly involved with bedside care (John et al., 2015; Love & Rodrigue, 2013 & Phelps, Rhee, Huggins, & Castillo, 2010). Bundling interventions facilitates the active implementation of evidence-based medicine in facilities as well as consistency and teamwork in patient care (Jain, Miller, Belt, King & Berwick, 2006).

**CAUTIs and LTACHs**

Current research on effective strategies to decrease the occurrence of CAUTI has been focused on short-term acute care hospitals in the ICU, medical surgical floors, the Emergency Department (ED) or long term care facilities (e.g., nursing homes). There is limited information on CAUTI prevention in the LTACH setting. These patients have complex disease processes and several comorbidities. The most common admission diagnoses include: respiratory failure requiring weaning from mechanical ventilators, rehabilitation from complicated and non-healing surgical interventions, the presence of gastrostomy tubes, diabetes, total parenteral nutrition (TPN) needs, malnutrition, post-operative or post-trauma related infections, and renal failure (Weinstein & Price 2009). Sepsis and wound care are also common. LTACH patients have high rates of antibiotic use and device use (e.g., urinary catheters and central venous lines) and have a
high risk of being colonized with MDROs (Weinstein & Price 2009). They are more likely to be immune compromised and susceptible to infection due to longer hospital stays. They are exposed to pathogens from other patients, from poor hand hygiene by staff and visitors, unclean surfaces, additional lines and drains used for feeding, delivery of medications, and for drainage purposes (Chitnis et al., 2010).

The treatment and management of CAUTI presents a challenge in the LTACH setting due to patient disease processes, comorbidities, and the occurrence of higher rates (compared to ICUs) of CAUTI associated with resistant organisms such as Vancomycin Resistant Enterococcus (VRE) and multidrug resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Chitnis et al., 2010). Creative ways of decreasing the high rate of CAUTI, given that the patient, caregiver, and systems factors are connected in prevention strategies, are necessary for positive patient outcomes (e.g., improved quality of care, decreased hospital lengths of stay, decreased infection-related costs).
CHAPTER 3: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The advanced practice registered nurse (APRN) recognizes the importance and impact of the other sciences on the practice of nursing (The Essentials of Doctoral Education for Advanced Practice, 2006). Understanding the scientific underpinnings of nursing is important given the current changes to healthcare access and reimbursement. In CAUTI prevention, recognizing that the patient, the caregiver, and the system interact in the process of infection prevention is important because it guides prevention strategies (especially when implementing a new policy to direct care).

Change theories explain the processes involved in change and how to maintain the changes made on a long-term basis. Lewin’s Change Theory is a three-stage model of change that is known as the unfreezing-change-refreeze model (Lewin, 1947). According to Lewin, behavior is a dynamic balance of driving and restraining forces working in opposing directions (Current Nursing, 2011). Driving forces push employees in the desired direction promoting change but restraining forces impede change. Knowledge of this dynamic is important when implementing a quality improvement protocol because staff members are called to change the status quo, which affects their equilibrium. Increasing the driving forces and decreasing the restraining forces allows change to occur seamlessly.

In the unfreezing period of Lewin’s change theory, the change agent increases the driving forces by recognizing a problem, identifying the need for change, and mobilizing others to see the need for change (Shirey, 2013). Unfreezing begins with change agents conducting a gap
analysis illustrating discrepancies between the desired state and the current state (Shirey, 2013). In this quality improvement CAUTI prevention project, the director of quality management (DQM) identified a problem in the rate of CAUTI when compared to other like facilities (LTACHs) within the organization and realized that there was a need for change. To unfreeze the staff, hints of the new policy and procedure to become effective at the end of the year were given at the monthly staff meetings. The goal of decreasing the incidence of CAUTI is important because it impacts the overall performance of the hospital.

The second stage of Lewin’s theory is movement or change. In this stage, creating a detailed plan of action and engaging people to realize the benefit of the proposed change is essential (Shirey, 2013). Staff education about the problems with CAUTI in the hospital can be a driver of change as it gives them an opportunity to realize their impact on hospital benchmarks. Clearly communicating the reasons behind the anticipated change, the goals of the change, the target result, and engaging the staff is important as it increases buy-in (Current Nursing, 2011; Shirey, 2013). Increased staff engagement promotes a more successful and seamless implementation. However, the person leading this change must acknowledge the restraining forces that hinder the implementation, such as fear and uncertainty, and minimize these forces (Shirey, 2013).

The last stage of Lewin’s theory is the refreezing stage. Refreezing is establishing the change as a new habit, so that it becomes the “standard operating procedure” (Current Nursing, 2011). The staff is comfortable with the change, as it has become a part of the culture, policy, and practice (Shirey, 2013). However, the change agent and the leaders of change must act to stabilize the change so that it becomes embedded into existing systems. Success in this project
will lead to decreased CAUTI rates and increased adherence in following the components of the CAUTI rounding tool for surveillance.

Lewin’s change theory has been criticized as too simplistic, making it non-applicable in hospitals, which are nonlinear, dynamic, and ever-changing (Current Nursing, 2011). Change in hospitals does not follow a straight line and constant revisions occur based on the patient needs. These revisions may occur at every step of the theory (Shirey, 2013)
CHAPTER 4: METHODOLOGY

Project Background

A nurse-driven quality improvement project was implemented at Kindred Hospital in Greensboro, North Carolina (NC) to decrease the rate of CAUTI and to increase the rate of charting and compliance in CAUTI rounding by the infection control nurse. This project was exempt from review by the University of North Carolina’s Institutional Review Board (IRB). In June 2016, Kindred Hospital updated its CAUTI Standards of Practice and added a new Discontinuation of Catheters Protocol based on the CDC CAUTI guideline of 2009. Educating the RNs and CNAs on these two new policies would be important because it would increase their knowledge and enable them to better follow the guidelines associated with decreases in CAUTI incidence. Therefore, an educational program was implemented during an end of year, annual skills fair and a majority of subjects attended the fair.

Setting

Kindred Hospital is a LTACH in Greensboro, NC, providing services to patients who need longer hospital stays. On average, patients stay at the hospital for 25 days (Medicare, 2016) but it is not uncommon for patients to be in this hospital for 6 months to a year depending on their comorbidities. These patients are admitted after discharge from a short-term acute care hospital and have complex medical needs with several comorbidities. Patients are admitted for treatment related to respiratory failure requiring weaning from mechanical ventilators, rehabilitation from complicated and non-healing surgical interventions, presence of gastrostomy
tubes, diabetes complications such as amputations, total parenteral nutrition (TPN) needs, malnutrition, post-operative or post-trauma related infections, sepsis, extensive wound care post surgery or due to stage III and stage IV pressure ulcers, and renal failure. They also have chronic disease processes, including diabetes mellitus Type 2, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hypertension, anemia, hypothyroidism, chronic pain syndromes, Alzheimer’s disease, heart disease and arthritis. They may also have a high utilization of devices, e.g., urinary catheters, central venous lines, dialysis catheters, and endotracheal or tracheostomy tubes.

Patients at Kindred Hospital are at a high risk for infections such as CLABSI, ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP), Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), MDROs and C-diff due to their illnesses and the treatments for some of these illnesses.

Kindred Hospital has three floors and four units including a medical surgical floor where some patients have long-term ventilators and feeding tubes, a sub-acute unit where none of the patients are on ventilators, a step-down unit from the ICU, and the ICU. The number of patients varies from 30 to 60 and between 33% and 50% of the patients will have an indwelling urinary catheter. These catheters typically have been placed at the prior institution, increasing the catheter duration.

Kindred Hospital uses the ProTouch electronic medical record (EMR) charting system. All employees use this charting system. Providers are able to perform their own order entry. Nurses also perform order entry as a verbal, telephone, or written order from the physician. The charting system is equipped with a library of order sets that have been created to capture all components of an intervention; for example, the insert urinary catheter order will automatically add the daily catheter assessment order for nurses and the empty urinary catheter order every 6 hours at (6 am, 12 pm, 6 pm, and 12 am). Other examples of order sets in the library include:
removal of urinary catheters and the bladder scan protocol, central venous line maintenance bundle, and bedside procedure order set.

The staff mix involved in clinical care includes registered nurses (RNs), certified nursing assistants (CNAs), respiratory therapists, physical therapists, occupational therapists, speech therapists, and the attending physician/provider. This project was aimed at educating the nurses and nursing assistants who perform daily bedside care.

**Subjects**

All bedside RNs and CNAs participated in this project. Most staff members are cross-trained and float to all units, so the teaching was not specific to any particular floor. There are 66 bedside RNs and 56 CNAs. Of these, 52 RNs and 40 CNAs attended the skills fair and participated in the quality improvement study. The staff members that did not attend the skills fair had their education provided by the education nurse when they were at work so that they could remain in compliance. These staff members took the post-test but did not take the pre-test. Their results were not included in the final statistical analysis of testing data outcomes.

**Educational Intervention**

Prior to the education session at the skills fair, nursing staff members were informed at their monthly meetings that there would be a change in the policy and procedure pertaining to urinary catheters. This was to “unfreeze” them in preparation for the change. A PowerPoint presentation was created and presented to the RNs and CNAs at the mandatory annual skills fair, which was held over two days. Computers were available to show staff where to find the policy and procedure, where to find the CAUTI library of interventions, and where to chart in ProTouch. The education was on a rolling basis to allow staff to come in anytime between 7 am to 5 pm. A pretest was administered to all participants (Appendix 1) to gauge their prior
knowledge of the topics to be covered, and the same test was administered at the end of the teaching to gauge learning. The topics covered in the education session included:

1. Importance of CAUTI prevention and its impact:
   (a) Some 30%-36% of all infections in hospitals are CAUTI and 17%-69% are preventable.
   (b) Complications of CAUTI include increased cost, longer hospital stays, secondary opportunistic infections such as sepsis and CLABSI, and late onset sequelae such as osteomyelitis and meningitis.
   (c) The financial impact of CAUTI is $1200-4700 per incident per patient nationwide.
   (d) There is no reimbursement from Medicare/Medicaid and major insurance companies when CAUTI occurs after a hospital admission.

2. Who is affected by CAUTI? Any occurrence of CAUTI affects patients, families, hospital staff, and hospital outcomes.

3. Why CAUTI occurs in LTACHs:
   (a) LTACH patients have an increased susceptibility to infection due to their comorbidities; longer hospital stays and increased use of devices such as ventilators, drainage tubes and central venous lines.
   (b) LTACH patients have a long duration of catheter use. Any use < 6 days increased the rate of infection and daily risk of bacteriuria at 3%-7% each day of use of a urinary catheter.
   (c) Intraluminal and extraluminal introduction of microbes into the bladder.

4. Prevention of CAUTI in Kindred Hospital patients by:
(a) Following the facility policy and procedure for insertion, maintenance and standard precautions.

(b) Assessing the patient for accepted indications/necessity based on the CDC guideline and the facility policy and procedure.

(c) Using other alternatives to indwelling urinary catheters.

5. Inappropriate uses of catheters:

(a) Using urinary catheters for incontinence or as a substitute for nursing assessment and care would be inappropriate. Staff members were encouraged to consider treatable reasons for incontinence including delirium, infection, medication side effects, overactive bladder, stool impaction and restricted mobility, and to seek treatment for these conditions.

(b) Using catheters for urine specimen collection.

6. Alternatives to urinary catheters including: bedpans, bedside commodes, two-hour toileting, condom catheters and straight catheterization.

7. Following the policy on catheter insertion, maintaining the sterile environment at insertion, asking for assistance as needed, and using a securement device (statlock or catheter strap) after catheter-insertion.

8. Tips for appropriate catheter maintenance:

(a) Hand hygiene before and after insertion or any manipulation of the catheter.

(b) Maintaining unobstructed downward urine flow and a continuously closed drainage system.

(c) Emptying drainage bag twice a shift.

(d) Avoiding changing the catheter at fixed interval i.e. every 30 days.
(e) Maintaining the seal between the catheter and the drainage bag tubing.

(f) Avoiding routine screening or asymptomatic bacteriuria in catheterized patients.

9. Proper urine culture collection:

   (a) Process of collection from the sampling port and urine collection must occur prior to initiating antibiotic therapy.

   (b) Inserting a new catheter for specimen collection if old catheter has been in place for 15 or longer.

   (c) Catheterization is not needed if the patient can void or straight catheterization can occur.

10. Discontinuation of catheters:

    (a) Promoting early discontinuation when possible.

    (b) Daily assessment of catheter necessity and indication for use.

    (c) Empowering of nurses to remove urinary catheters, based on daily assessment, without physician’s order, which is within their scope of practice and per the new discontinuation policy.


    a) Perform bladder scan if patient does not spontaneously void or voids <250 ml within 4 hours after urinary catheter removal.

    b) If bladder volume is ≥350 ml, perform straight cath.

    c) If bladder volume is <350 ml, rescan in 2 hours if patient has not spontaneously voided; perform straight catheterization when volume is >350 ml.
d) Call physician if urinary output is <250 ml in over 8 hours.

e) If bladder volume is <250 ml and patient is voiding, continue to monitor I &O.

f) Document the patient outcome of the post urinary catheter removal in the medical record.

12. Appropriate catheter documentation for insertion and removal in ProTouch (the nurses were able practice doing this in ProTouch using a pseudo patient).

13. Charting on daily necessity of urinary catheter on each patient in ProTouch (the nurses were able to do this in ProTouch using a pseudo patient).

14. The location where the participants (RNs and CNAs) could find the new policy and procedure on Kindred Hospital’s intranet.

All subjects who attended the skills fair were given a paper copy of the Indwelling Urinary Catheter Standards and Practice and the Indwelling Urinary Catheter Discontinuation Protocol (Appendices 2 and 3). At the end of their session, staff members could ask questions pertaining to the education they had received and any gaps they had in their learning. At the conclusion of the teaching, the same test was administered to evaluate learning. A score of 80% or greater on the test indicated appropriate understanding of the material that had been taught.

After the teaching, the television bulletin boards on each of the four floors were used to reinforce what had been taught at the skills fair. The bulletin boards provide updates, new information and any pertinent information about current policy and procedures. Any findings needing remediation based on the results of the weekly CAUTI rounding were also included on these boards. These reminders included: checking for catheter necessity, alternatives to catheters, and the use of catheter straps or stat-locks in the patients. Staff members’ concerns
and questions pertaining to appropriate catheter care and problems that hindered them from following the policy and procedure correctly were addressed during the data collection period.

**Outcome Measures**

The primary outcome was the rate of CAUTI rounding documentation. CAUTI rounding occurred for a consecutive eleven weeks during the post intervention period. A large component of this project was the use of consistent CAUTI rounding, which would assist in determining that the following processes or actions which affect the development of CAUTI: catheter necessity; bag placement below the bladder; stat-lock or strap in place; seal in place; drainage bag not overfilled; and patient name label on removal container were being done appropriately. The rates of proper and consistent documentation of CAUTI rounding by the infection control nurse in the 11 weeks prior to the intervention were compared to the rates of proper and consistent documentation of CAUTI rounding after the intervention and a t test was used to determine if any statistical significance was present. The target goal of documentation was 80% to meet compliance and scores below this benchmark indicated that processes were not being performed as intended and that staff needed some remediation.

The incidence of CAUTI at Kindred Hospital was another outcome measured. The DQM collects CAUTI rate information monthly and reports this to the NHSN. The data from three months prior to the intervention (August 2016, September 2016 and October 2016) were compared to data from the post-implementation period (November 2016, December 2016, and January 2017). A t-test was used to determine significance.

Comparing the results of the pre-test and the post-test assessed changes in staff learning. The goal was to score above 80% on the post-test to indicate that learning had occurred.
Data Analysis

The CAUTI rounding processes: catheter necessity, bag placement, stat-lock or strap intact, seal in place, drainage bag not overfilled, patient label on removal container or graduate were analyzed using an independent $t$ test to determine if differences obtained were statistically significant. These differences would reflect changes in the consistency and the regularity of rounding.

The monthly CAUTI rate during the intervention was calculated using the formula $\left(\frac{\# \text{ of symptomatic CAUTI}}{\# \text{ of urinary catheter days}}\right) \times 1000$ (used by Kindred Hospital). This formula was used to enable accurate comparison of the CAUTI rates prior and post intervention. To determine a CAUTI diagnosis, the facility follows the NHSN criteria that have three categories and the patient has to have at least one of them. The three categories considered before a patient can be diagnosed with CAUTI are (1) when a catheter has been in place for $>2$ days on the date of event with the date of placement being day 1 or if the catheter is removed before the date of event; (2) the patient has to have at least one of the following symptoms: fever ($>38.0^\circ \text{C}$), suprapubic tenderness or costovertebral pain/tenderness with no other recognized cause, urinary frequency, urgency or dysuria; (3) the patient has a urine culture with no more than two species of organisms and one is at least bacterium of $\geq 10^5 \text{ CFU/ml}$ (Catheterout.org, 2009; Device Associated Module UTI, 2017; Nicolle 2014).

CAUTI rate data was analyzed using an independent $t$ test to determine if staff education and effective CAUTI rounding could lead to decreased CAUTI in Kindred Hospital. The independent $t$ test was the most appropriate statistical measure to use because the patients in the sample groups differed each month. CAUTI rates for the months beginning in August 2016 and
ending October 2016 (before the staff education) were compared to post-intervention CAUTI rate (November 2016, December 2016 and January 2017).

Differences between the staff pre-test score and the post-test scores were analyzed using the paired $t$ test to determine if there had been significant changes in staff knowledge resulting from the educational intervention. The paired $t$ test was appropriate because it compared before-and-after observations on the same subjects.

**Process Measures**

Other data measured included the catheter LOS since there is a relationship between incidence of CAUTI and the length of time the catheter is in place (Guide to the Elimination of Catheter-associated Urinary Infections, 2008). Prolonged catheterization (more than 6 days) increases the risk of CAUTI (Guide to the Elimination of Catheter-associated Urinary Infections, 2008). Measuring catheter LOS allows the staff to have an idea of how long their patients have catheters in and should be used when considering removing the catheter. In this project, weekly CAUTI rounding was done using both the Kindred Hospital CAUTI rounding tool (Appendix 4) and an additional tool that included patient age, gender, catheter length of stay, disease process, and when the catheter was removed and when this was documented (Appendix 5).

At the conclusion of the analysis of the data, recommendations were made to Kindred Hospital leadership based on limitations and barriers noted, effective strategies for CAUTI prevention, and the implications of this program at the facility.
CHAPTER 5: RESULTS

CAUTI Rounding: Pre-intervention

Comparison of how often CAUTI rounding occurred before the intervention and after the intervention revealed that this process was not being done consistently (i.e. weekly as expected) and accurately (some data was not recorded on the form). Table 1 illustrates CAUTI rounding prior to the intervention. The mean scores for CAUTI rounding documentation prior to the intervention are indicated in Table 3.

Table 1: Pre-intervention CAUTI rounding documentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Patients with catheters</th>
<th>Catheter necessity</th>
<th>Bag placed appropriately (below level of the bladder)</th>
<th>Stat lock</th>
<th>Seal in place and intact</th>
<th>Drainage bag not overfilled</th>
<th>Patient name label on removal container or graduate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-Feb-16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-Feb-16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-Feb-16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Oct-16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-Oct-16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAUTI Rounding: Post-intervention

CAUTI rounding was done weekly for 11 weeks and the benchmark for compliance was 80%. The catheter necessity goal was not met 6/11 times. This was an important aspect of the processes because two of the CAUTIs that occurred were in patients who had no indication for a
catheter. The target goal was met in week 4, 5, 6, 7, and week 10. Stat locks or urinary catheter straps constituted another process that was routinely not met at 6/11 times during the rounding.

Data were collected pertaining to documentation of placement of the urinary catheter bag below the level of the bladder, seal in place, patient name label on the urine removal graduate and the drainage bag overfilled processes consistently met the target for each of the 11 weeks of rounding. Based on the data collected, the staff was able to meet all process at or above the target goal during week 6 and 7 of the CAUTI rounding. Table 2 illustrates the weekly CAUTI rounding data collected. The mean scores for CAUTI rounding documentation post intervention are indicated in Table 3.

Table 2: Post-intervention CAUTI rounding documentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th># Patients with catheters</th>
<th>Catheter Necessity</th>
<th>Bag placed appropriately (below level of the bladder)</th>
<th>Stat lock</th>
<th>Seal in place and intact</th>
<th>Drainage bag not overfilled</th>
<th>Patient name Label on removal container or graduate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-Nov-16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-Nov-16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-Nov-16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Nov-16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Dec-16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-Dec-16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-Dec-16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Jan-17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Jan-17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-Jan-17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Jan-17</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using an alpha level of 0.05, a one tailed independent-samples $t$ test was conducted to evaluate whether there were any differences in the rate of CAUTI rounding documentation before and after the intervention. Documentation for bag below the bladder, statlock present seal
in place and intact, catheter necessity and drainage bag not overfilled was found to be statistically significant \( t = (p<.001, d=282, CI 95\%) \) as noted in Table 3. However, the rate for CAUTI rounding process documentation was not statistically significant for the patient name label on removal container or graduate \( t (p<.133) \).

**Table 3: \( t \) Test Results for CAUTI rounding documentation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported item</th>
<th>Mean score for pre-intervention</th>
<th>Mean score for post-intervention</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bag placed appropriately (below level of the bladder)</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter Necessity</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statlock</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seal in place and intact</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage bag not overfilled</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient name label</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>.133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CAUTI Rate: Pre intervention**

In the 3 months prior to the intervention Kindred Hospital had 5 CAUTIs reported to the NHSN. The calculated rate was 2.4 CAUTIs per 1000 foley catheter days, which was higher than Kindred Hospital’s target goal of 1.62 per 1000 foley catheter days. The data also indicated no change in the catheter utilization rate, which ranged between 0.37 and 0.48. Table 4 illustrates the pre-intervention CAUTI rate.

**Table 4: Pre-intervention CAUTI rate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported item</th>
<th>August 2016</th>
<th>September 2016</th>
<th>October 2016</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of CAUTI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Foley catheter days</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>2,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAUTI rate (per 1,000 days)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter utilization rate</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CAUTI Rate: Post intervention

A total of five CAUTIs occurred in the post intervention period. Of these, three occurred within the first month of the intervention, one in the second month and one in the third month. The rate of CAUTI was 5.6 per 1000 days in November, 1.70 in December and 1.3 in January (Table 5). The overall rate of CAUTI during the time of the data collection was 2.7 per 1000 days, which exceeded the target rate of 1.62 per 1000 foley catheter days per Kindred Hospital’s benchmarks. The data also indicated no change in the catheter utilization rate, which ranged between 0.33 and 0.42 during the intervention period. This rate was lower than the Kindred Hospital benchmark of <0.47.

Table 5: Post-intervention CAUTI rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported item</th>
<th>November 2016</th>
<th>December 2016</th>
<th>January 2017</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of CAUTI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Foley catheter days</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>1,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAUTI rate (per 1,000 days)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter utilization rate</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no statistical significance noted (p= .408951) in the difference of the rates of CAUTI between the post and the pre-intervention period.

Male patients had a higher incidence of CAUTI (four out of five CAUTIs reported; CAUTI rate of 2.1 per 1000 days) than did females (0.5 per 1000 days). However, the female also had CLABSI, ventilator associated pneumonia, and MRSA infections during the same time period. Four of the CAUTIs occurred in patients over 65 years old and one occurred in a patient under 65 years of age. The average age of these patients was 69 years. The most common disease processes noted among the five patients were hypertension and COPD.
**Staff Education Pre and Post-test Results**

Fifty-two RNs and 40 CNAs attended the skills fair and took the pre and post-test. The results from the paired t test (Table 6) indicated that there was a significant difference in the pre-test and post-test scores for learning in both the RNs and CNAs. This shows that the educational intervention regarding CAUTI resulted in increased knowledge of the procedures to decrease the rate of CAUTI in the hospital.

**Table 6: Improvements in RN and CNA CAUTI rate knowledge resulting from educational intervention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Mean score for pretest</th>
<th>Mean score for posttest</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RN n=52</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>2.635</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNA n=40</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>3.325</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(p<.05 CI 95%)

**Process Measures: Catheter LOS and Catheter Removal**

Data pertaining to catheter LOS and time to catheter removal was collected post intervention. The average catheter LOS was 19.1 days for all patients who had a catheter during the data collection period. The longest uninterrupted catheter LOS was 67 days and the shortest was 1 day. On average, most patients observed had a urinary catheter between 6-10 days before it was removed or they were discharged from the facility. The patients who had a CAUTI during the intervention had a urinary catheter for an average of 18.4 days. These numbers do not clearly reflect the true LOS as most patients in Kindred Hospital were admitted with a urinary catheter already inserted although that information was not passed on from the prior hospital. Additionally, some patients continued to need a catheter after the end of the data collection period while others were discharged with a catheter intact. Figure 1 illustrates the catheter LOS during the data collection period.
The data collected included how long it took the nurse or the assistant to remove a catheter once an order for removal was entered into the chart either directly by the provider or as an order (verbal, telephone or written) from the provider. Of the 17 instances where an order for removal was received from the provider, the urinary catheter was removed within 20 minutes to more than 6 hours after the order. This data is illustrated in Figure 2.
On average the removal occurred within 2-3 hours after the order had been placed in the chart. Some catheters were removed on the same day as the patient discharge, which was in line with the provider order and nurse assessment, but increased the catheter LOS. The nursing policy allowed RNs to initiate the removal of the catheter based on their assessment, but the data obtained from the electronic chart provided limited information on whether the nurse initiated the removal. RNs could create an additional note in ProTouch concerning the removal if they initiated it but there were no additional notes entered pertaining to nurse initiated catheter removal in the charts reviewed.

Occasionally, the order for removal of the catheter was not followed correctly. For instance, one patient had orders for removal on 12/13/16, 12/20/16, 12/27/16 and 1/2/17; the primary nurse made a note acknowledging that the order was received but the order was not followed so that the patient had a non-indicated/not necessary catheter in for 19 days longer than needed. There were also two incidences where the order for removal was entered and the nurse removed the catheter but did not record the removal in the lines, tubes and drains flow-sheet (per
the facility EMR system). In these two cases, it was determined that a removal had occurred by consulting the intake and output flow-sheet, in which the CNA recorded how many times the patient voided or how much voided urine had been measured (the charting system has different options to record output from the various methods that can be used).
CHAPTER 7: DISCUSSION

Conceptual Framework

Lewin’s Change Theory was the most appropriate conceptual framework for this project because it was used in the planning, implementation, and maintenance of the change processes. Aligning the education process with the required annual skills fair was an effective strategy to implement this quality improvement project, since the agency supported the effort and RNs and CNAs were engaged in changing the system for the benefit of patients. The implementation phase was effective with all topics pertaining to the new policy and the updated standards of practice discussed and promoted by stakeholders. To enhance “refreezing” of the information learned, the television bulletin board was utilized and the PI maintained contact with staff for questions and recommendations in order to continue reinforcement of the learned information and solicit information about the effect of the new policy and procedure at the facility. This process was successful and most evident in the change in CAUTI rounding scores (Table 3) and pre and post intervention test scores (Table 6)

CAUTI Rounding

Consistent CAUTI rounding and documentation of the information gathered is imperative at Kindred Hospital. This had not been done regularly and completely prior to the intervention. CAUTI rounding in both the pre-intervention and the post-intervention periods provided information on the processes that improved and those that needed remediation (as they did not meet the benchmark of 80%). For example, documentation on the catheter necessity process
missed the benchmark of 80% in the pre intervention period (mean, 44%) and was slightly above the benchmark in the post intervention period (mean, 80.2%). However, statistical significance was noted (p<.001), indicating improvement, and need for continued intervention. This was an important finding because two of the CAUTIs that occurred were in patients who had no indication for a catheter according to the guideline (CDC, 2009). Currently, one person is tasked with doing the rounding on a weekly basis and the floor/primary nurse records daily catheter necessity in the computer. The record may not be accurate if a full and comprehensive assessment is not done and if the nurse does not check the medical record completely for an indication of catheter necessity. Incomplete or inadequate catheter necessity information results in increased catheter LOS that can lead to preventable CAUTI. With proper remediation using this intervention, this outcome has the potential to improve over time.

All other aspects of CAUTI rounding documentation improved significantly (p<.001 for bag placed appropriately below level of the bladder, catheter necessity, statlock; p<.003 for seal in place; p<.048 for drainage bag not overfilled and intact), except the name of the patient’s name label on the removal container (p = .133). This was because the staff members could easily perform these tasks and had been doing them as part of their daily patient care. These tasks were not particular to a discipline and any clinical staff member could perform them.

The Kindred Hospital CAUTI rounding sheet does not include information pertaining to the catheter length of stay (LOS) and this data is necessary to increase provider and staff awareness of the need for early urinary catheter removal. This information would help the providers to increase their orders for urinary catheter removal (especially when they are no longer necessary). If an accurate and consistent rounding process is performed, this can be discussed at the weekly interdisciplinary team (IDT) meeting, which would prompt providers on
the need for removal if indicated. Increasing awareness can create a CAUTI conscious staff that communicates consistently about the need for removal promptly. This would indeed assist in decreasing the current catheter LOS which has been found effective in decreasing CAUTI (Mori, 2014).

In October 2016, a new infection control nurse took over the position. This may have affected the CAUTI rounding data collected in October since she was getting acclimated to the position. However, at the end of the project, the same infection control nurse continued with CAUTI rounding one floor per day such that some patients were rounded on twice during the week (there are three floors in the hospital). This benefitted patients by promoting prompt remediation of the RNs and CNAs based on the results of the CAUTI round. Another benefit was timely communication to the provider or the nurse when indications for catheter use were no longer applicable and catheter removal occurred, shortening catheter LOS. Essentially, the infection control nurse became the reminder system to both nurses and providers which has been found to be an effective way of decreasing CAUTI (Apisarnthanarak et al., 2007).

**CAUTI Rate**

The literature indicates that following a dedicated and comprehensive plan based on the CDC guidelines can lead to a decrease in CAUTI rates (John et al., 2015; Love & Rodrigue, 2013, Ranji et al., 2007; Meddings et al., 2012). However, decreasing the incidence of CAUTI in the LTACH patient (by their very nature) is difficult. There was no significant difference in the CAUTI rate (p= 0.408951) between the pre-intervention period and the post intervention period—Elpern et al. (2009) suggests that interventions reviewing the appropriateness of indwelling urinary catheters, result in significant reductions in duration of catheterization and occurrences of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. This is a necessary step for Kindred
Hospital. The documentation of the catheter necessity process, although improved (p =<.001) was right at the benchmark at 80%. If this can continue to improve, perhaps additional and avoidable CAUTI could be avoided.

The catheter necessity benchmark may have been consistently missed due to limited communication with the provider when nurses were unsure of the indications for catheter removal and could not easily find documented necessity in the patient’s electronic medical record. Communication between nurse and provider mainly depends on the provider. Some providers round or ask for an update from the nurses, but others do not do this because there is no set time for rounding. Although some indications for catheter use (e.g., open sacral or perineal wounds in incontinent patients, strict input and output), were easy to locate when doing a chart review in ProTouch, it was often difficult and time-consuming to locate other reasons indicated by the CDC guideline (CDC, 2009); therefore, nurses made an educated guess or did not address the indication when charting.

Currently, Kindred Hospital’s policy and procedure allows nurses to remove a urinary catheter based on their assessment, but with limited indication information, nurses may hesitate and instead wait for an order from the provider or any one of the supervisors (who can add a verbal or telephone order on behalf of the provider after the IDT meeting). This in turn increases the average catheter LOS. Ideally, setting up this process such that it is simple for the nurses to find the information needed for their assessment will enhance their participation in early catheter removal.

The catheter LOS may also explain the rate of CAUTI at Kindred Hospital. Given that the daily risk of bacteriuria with catheterization increases by 3% to 10% per day (Garibaldi, Mooney, Epstein, & Britt, 1982; Saint, Lipsky, & Goold, 2002), patients with an average
catheter LOS of 19.1 days have a considerably increased likelihood of becoming infected. Since catheter necessity impacts LOS, the same reasons mentioned with respect to necessity likely influenced this result. However, considerations must be made for the patient population that is receiving care in this type of facility. Their age and their many comorbidities require a lengthy use of catheters, making them susceptible to CAUTI and other HAI’s. In these cases, it is essential to continue to practice hand hygiene, use catheter straps or statlocks, practice consistent and effective perineal care and urinary catheter care, and use alternative methods such as condom catheters where applicable.

**Staff Education**

As Table 6 suggests, the educational intervention was effective in increasing staff knowledge about CAUTI prevention, Kindred Hospital policy and procedures and effects of CAUTI on Kindred Hospital patients and the system. Consequently, the goal of increasing knowledge was achieved as all staff members passed the post-test. However, maintenance of this knowledge is necessary. This is important for any change process because when change is not maintained, staff members return to their old ways of performing their tasks, which might be outdated and not based on the current evidence. Going forward, all nursing staff will be educated in keeping with Kindred Hospital’s policy and procedure concerning indwelling catheter insertion, prompt removal, and catheter care. This is in line with recommendations by Wilson et al., (2009), who also recommended that CAUTI prevention strategies be made with the patient mix in mind. The patient mix in the LTACH is such that these patients are quite susceptible to infection due to their comorbidities and because they may have organisms that are resistant to the usual treatment. They are likely to have longer catheter LOS (in this project an average of 19.1 days of catheter use) which increases the likelihood of becoming infected. They
also tend have high device use (tracheostomies, drainage bulbs and central lines), which creates easy reservoirs for infection. Given these factors, it is important for staff to be well educated on the correct and effective way of decreasing the likelihood of CAUTI and other infections in these patients. CAUTI education has now been added to new staff orientation so that all staff members know about the policy and where to find it. The teaching will also continue to occur at the annual skills fair to reinforce learning especially for tenured staff members.

**Staff Input**

Staff members provided feedback about the rounding process and their concerns about the CAUTI process at Kindred Hospital. The consensus was that there are some patients who refuse to use the statlock or strap or had wounds on their lower extremities, because these methods of securing the device were not effective. A change was made in the rounding process to accommodate for this variance. These patients were not counted as being noncompliant. This was important feedback from the staff as it showed that they were involved in the process and felt empowered to communicate their needs. Feedback from staff members also led to new order sets for catheter removal and the connected bladder scan protocol to ease the process of entering orders into the chart.

Some comments made by staff members related to the ease of use of a foley catheter compared to using alternatives such as bedpans, bedside commode or a condom catheter. Some felt that this added more work, but discussions about the cost to staff and patients (e.g., entering new orders for specimen collection, taking specimen to lab, waiting for results, calling results to MD then starting antibiotic therapy) seemed to alter this opinion.

Some CNAs verbalized how they changed their attitudes when asked to assist with insertion of catheters after understanding how biofilm leads to infections in patients. Others
commented that they had started being more mindful when performing thorough perineal care in the effort to curb CAUTI at the facility.

Although RNs knew that they could remove catheters without the provider order, some were uncomfortable doing this and commented that they would “rather wait for the provider to put in an order”. It is challenging to change this opinion but continued reinforcement may lead to desired outcomes.

Limitations

Although some staff members had an idea that a policy and procedure change was supposed to occur, the majority had not attended the monthly meetings, thus had a difficult time “unfreezing” from the status quo. Ideally, more driving forces for change should have been employed by increasing the number of stakeholders and change agents besides the DQM, Kindred Hospital’s education department, and the infection control nurse. This could have assisted in updating staff attitudes about the new policy and procedure since more stakeholders and change agents besides people in leadership positions would have been involved. In the unfreezing stage, surveys to gauge staff involvement, thoughts about the effect of CAUTI on Kindred Hospital, and their role in CAUTI prevention would have assisted in building the teaching program.

Another constraint to this project was that it lasted 11 weeks. A longer time period would allow the facility to notice the effectiveness of the intervention. Kindred Hospital now has tools to continue this project for a longer period to determine the ongoing effects of the intervention and possibly change some processes to decrease the rate of CAUTI.

Participation in this intervention was limited to nurses and nursing assistants, which was a limitation. Teaching physical therapy and occupational therapy on topics such as: where the
bag should be when they are doing therapy, teaching effective perineal care for patients who have new deficits and are learning new techniques for self care, or emptying the catheter drainage bag if they find it full, can increase knowledge about ways they can prevent CAUTI. The providers should also have been included in the project because increasing their awareness could possibly lead them to remember their catheters when taking care of their patient. Additionally, educating them about nurse empowerment to remove catheters without their order could have made the transition easier for nurses and providers alike.

The PI is a nurse at the hospital; when staff members were aware of the PI’s presence, they tried to correct the items they knew would be checked and documented on a CAUTI round. This observer-related bias could have been a limitation of the study as it could have led to increased compliance only when the PI was present. Instead of having the same person performing the rounding, changing the observer may lead to more accurate data. Added to that, much of the rounding occurred in the late afternoon going into the night shift. Data collected on the night shift could be different based on the staff on each shift.

This paper did not explore staff years of experience, which could have assisted in creating an effective “unfreezing” state for the RNs and CNAs. It is possible that the newer staff were willing to change and follow the new policy and procedure, whereas the more experienced/more seasoned staff saw no need to change. The opposite could be true as well. However, this data was not explored. It is beneficial to be aware of years of experience when implementing change because this can assist in increasing the staff buy-in by decreasing the fears associated with change. Additionally, this information may be used to build a learning program that benefits the different learners by how they learn effectively.
This project occurred in a small hospital with a convenience sample. It is possible that the results obtained may not be generalized to other LTACHs. There are other like facilities in the area and it would be ideal to find out how they are managing CAUTI and what has been effective.

**Recommendations**

To maintain the gains made so far, staff members must remain engaged and several CAUTI champions including the PI are needed. At this time, the CAUTI champions are the DQM and the infection control nurse. Several CAUTI champions on each of the floors to include a mix of clinicians in different roles can benefit the hospital and continue to promote different and creative ideas leading to CAUTI prevention. Providers must be educated on the need for a consistent charting and rounding to include urinary catheter necessity/indications and removal orders. Increasing provider and nurse awareness that nurses can remove catheters without the provider order is also necessary because there are positive outcomes for patients when this is done consistently.

Another recommendation is to add a specific charting bundle within the lines, tubes and drains flowsheet (in the EMR) where the provider selects the specific reason for a catheter. An automatic renewal order linked to the original catheter order could appear in the chart every seven days to remind the physician to stop or renew the order for continued use. This would be in line with recommendations by Cornia et al, (2003) who found that a computer-based reminder system for providers in an academic teaching hospital was effective in reminding physicians to renew or discontinue the urinary catheter order after 72 hours. The ProTouch charting system has a similar mechanism in place for narcotic medications, which need a physician renewal after
7 days. The nurse who sees that this order has not been checked can remind the provider to renew or can give recommendations based on the nurse’s assessment.

Adding a no cosign required option for nurses who need to put in an order for removal based on their assessment is another method to improve the rates of nurses following the discontinuation protocol (Appendix 3). Some providers do not cosign when they have not given the specific order for removal but the charting system requires that the nurse enter a physician name when they put in the order. This may empower more nurses to remove catheters without the provider order, which is within their scope of practice.

The last recommendation is for the infection control nurse to continue with consistent rounding to catch each patient at least once a week and if possible twice a week to increase compliance with the components on the CAUTI rounding sheet and to continue to reinforce the new knowledge that has been gained by the staff.

**Conclusion**

Although this project did not reduce the rate of CAUTI at Kindred Hospital, it did increase the rate of CAUTI rounding documentation. These are processes that are necessary as they can lead to a reduction the incidence of CAUTI in facilities. Involvement of all staff and providers during the implementation stage of an intervention and the sustainability of that intervention are effective strategies in reducing incidence. LTACH patients, although challenging due to their numerous and complex disease processes, would benefit from decreased use of catheters and decreased duration of catheter LOS. Continued use of the tools that were used in this project will determine if there are any effects over a longer period of time than was available for this project. Continued learning, and shared information among facilities can assist in identifying further effective solutions to CAUTI in LTACHs.
APPENDIX 1: PRE AND POST TEST
Kindred Hospital of Greensboro
WRITTEN COMPETENCY
CAUTI Prevention

NAME: _____________________________ DATE: ___________ SCORE:____

1. The urinary tract is the most common site of nosocomial (hospital-acquired) infections.  T or F

2. It is appropriate to insert an indwelling urinary catheter to reduce the risk of patient fall.  T or F

3. Urinary retention is an appropriate indication for placement of an indwelling urinary catheter.  T or F

4. The most effective strategy to prevent a CAUTI is not to insert an indwelling urinary catheter.  T or F

5. Best practice for care of the indwelling urinary catheter includes:
   a. Keeping the catheter secured
   b. Replacing the catheter every 30 days
   c. Performing catheter care every shift & PRN
   d. a & c only

6. List three steps as proper technique when obtaining a urine specimen for culture from a patient with an indwelling urinary catheter:
   a. ________________________________________________________________
   b. ________________________________________________________________
   c. ________________________________________________________________

7. Staff should obtain a new measuring container (graduate) every day for each patient with an indwelling urinary catheter.  T or F

8. Hand hygiene should be performed immediately before and after any manipulation of the catheter drainage system.  T or F

9. All patients with an indwelling urinary catheter should have a daily needs assessment done to determine if the patient continues to meet acceptable criteria.  T or F

10. Nurses can remove foley catheters without a physician order if the patient does not meet the criteria for catheter use  T or F
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**POLICY**

1. Indwelling urinary catheters will be inserted only when clinically indicated and only after careful consideration of alternative methods.
2. Indwelling urinary catheters will be removed as soon as they are no longer indicated according to the facility indwelling urinary catheter discontinuation protocol.

**RATIONALE**

To reduce the risk and incidence of catheter associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) by following evidence-based guidelines.

**COMPONENTS**

1. The insertion of an indwelling urinary catheter requires a physician order and specific indication.
2. All patients admitted to the hospital with an existing indwelling urinary catheter will be assessed by a registered nurse during the admission assessment for a clinical indication according to the facility indwelling urinary catheter discontinuation protocol.
3. Patients with urinary catheters will be assessed each shift by a registered nurse according to the facility indwelling urinary catheter discontinuation protocol.
4. All healthcare workers providing urinary catheter care will be educated in the epidemiology of and infection prevention and control procedures for preventing CAUTI's (Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infection).
5. All registered nurses and licensed practical nurses will complete an annual return demonstration competency on indwelling urinary catheter insertion.
6. Limit Unnecessary Indwelling Urinary Catheters

Rationale: One of the most important infection prevention measures is to limit the use of urinary catheters for carefully selected patients, thereby reducing the size of the population at risk.

a. Recommended indications for urinary catheter use include the following:
   i. Urinary retention including obstruction and neurogenic bladder when the patient is unable to pass urine because of an enlarged prostate, blood clots, or an edematous scrotum/penis or is unable to empty the bladder because of neurologic disease/medication effect.
   ii. Continuous and/or intermittent bladder irrigation.
   iii. When a urologist and/or urologist is involved in the patient’s care.
   iv. When a critical care patient requires hourly monitoring of urine output.
   v. When a critical care patient is hemodynamically unstable, paralyzed, and/or sedated.
   vi. To assist in healing of Stage III or IV perineal and sacral/coccygeal wounds and in incontinent patients to prevent further deterioration of wound and skin.
   vii. When patient is hospice/comfort care or palliative care.

b. Indwelling urinary catheters should not be inserted to manage urinary incontinence.

7. Alternatives to Indwelling Urinary Catheters
   a. Condom catheterization for men with whom a urinary catheter is indicated and who have minimal post void residual urine
b. Intermittent catheterization for
   i. Patients requiring chronic urinary drainage for neurogenic bladder
   ii. Patients with urinary retention

c. Use of a portable ultrasound devices to assess urine volume to reduce unnecessary catheterizations

8. Catheter Insertion
   a. Indwelling urinary catheters will be inserted using aseptic technique and sterile equipment
   b. The perineal area will be cleansed with soap and water prior to disinfection with betadine
   c. A securement device will be utilized on all patients with a urinary catheter unless contraindicated

9. Urinary Catheter Maintenance
   a. Hand hygiene should be done immediately before and after any manipulation of the catheter site or apparatus.
   b. Maintain a sterile, continuously closed drainage system. If disconnection is necessary, a new urinary catheter will be inserted.
   c. Avoid irrigation of urinary catheter. Closed continuous irrigation may be used to prevent obstruction.
   d. Maintain unobstructed, downward urine flow by keeping the drainage bag below the level of the patient’s bladder at all times.
      i. Position the drainage bag so that it does not have contact with the floor.
      ii. Keep the tubing free of kinks and obstruction.
      iii. Position bag towards bottom of bed on bed frame when patient in bed or on stretcher-do not hang from railing.
   e. Empty the urinary drainage bag aseptically and frequently enough to maintain urine flow and prevent reflux. When emptying, use a clean, labeled container for each patient and avoid contact between the emptying port and the container.
   f. Change gloves and practice good hand hygiene patients in the same room when emptying each patient’s drainage system.
   g. Catheter care includes gently cleaning of the perineal area with soap and water at least once a shift. Remove any gross debris from the catheter, always moving from the urethra down to the connection site of the catheter to the bag tubing. Do not manipulate the catheter more than is absolutely necessary. Do not pull on the catheter during cleaning. Verify that catheter securement device remains secure and in a position not to pull on the catheter.
   h. Only change indwelling catheters when medically indicated rather than at arbitrarily fixed intervals.
      i. If an indwelling catheter has been in place for greater than 2 weeks at the onset of a CAUTI and is still indicated, remove catheter and replace with a new catheter.
         • NOTE: Unless contraindicated such as surgical placement.
      ii. Do not routinely screen for asymptomatic bacteruria in catheterized patients

10. Urine culture collection
   a. A urine specimen for culture should be obtained prior to initiating antimicrobial therapy
   b. The urine culture should be obtained from the freshly placed catheter if in place greater than 15 days.
   c. Obtain urine samples from sampling port using aseptic technique and standard practice guidelines.
   d. If use of the catheter can be discontinued, a culture of a voided midstream urine or straight catheter specimen should be obtained following standard practice guidelines.
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APPENDIX 3: DISCONTINUATION PROTOCOL

Indwelling Urinary Catheter Discontinuation Protocol  H-PC 03-005 PRO

Release Date: 06/2016  HD Manual  Patient Care
Original Date: 06/2016  Section 03  Clinical Programs

Facility Specific Addendum Attached - Review All of Policy and Addendum Pages
(Check if State Specific and/or Facility Specific Policy Addendum is attached)

RATIONAL

1. To provide a standardized protocol for a registered nurse to discontinue an indwelling urinary catheter as indicated per criteria set forth by Medical Executive Committee and Governing Board.
2. To reduce the incidence of device related urinary tract infections that contribute to morbidity, mortality, healthcare cost, and antibiotic use, by earliest possible removal of indwelling urinary catheters.

PROCEDURE

1. Assessments for indwelling urinary catheter removal will be completed by the admitting registered nurse at the time of the patient transfer or admission to any nursing unit utilizing the criteria listed below in 5.
2. If all criteria for removing the indwelling urinary catheter are met, the registered nurse or licensed delegate will remove the indwelling urinary catheter unless the physician has written an order with the specific indication to maintain the indwelling urinary catheter in situ.
3. Nursing removes the indwelling urinary catheter automatically without a physician order, under authority of this approved protocol.
4. All indwelling urinary catheters will be assessed every shift by the registered nurse utilizing the criteria listed below in 5. This daily assessment will be documented in the medical record. The urinary catheter will be removed as soon as the catheter is no longer necessary and meets all removal criteria.
   • NOTE: Patients with neurogenic bladder or surgical placement of the catheter do not require an assessment every shift for necessity.
5. All criteria must be met to ensure that the patient meets the criteria for urinary catheter removal:
   a. No urologist or nephrologist on the case
   b. No open sacral or perineal wound (stage III & IV) or skin deterioration-consult with wound care team.
   c. Not on comfort and/or hospice care
   d. No continuous or intermittent bladder irrigation
   e. Not admitted with or documented condition for chronic indwelling catheter
   f. Critical care patient is hemodynamically stable, not chemically paralyzed, sedated and/or does not requires hourly output measurement
   g. No written order to maintain the urinary catheter in situ
6. The registered nurse assesses each patient who has an indwelling urinary catheter in place at time of transfer or admission to the nursing unit and every shift thereafter until the patient meets all of the removal criteria (Policy Statement 5) and the indwelling urinary catheter is removed
7. If the removal criteria to remove the urinary catheter is not met the nurse will:
   a. Document in the medical record “urinary catheter not removed” related to one or more of the following indications:
      i. Urologist or nephrologist on the case
      ii. Patient has an open sacral or perineal wound (stage III & IV)
      iii. Patient is on comfort and/or hospice care
      iv. Patient admitted with chronic indwelling catheter with written indication
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v. Critical care patient is hemodynamically unstable, is chemically paralyzed, sedated or requires hourly output measurement

vi. Physician has written an order with an appropriate indication to maintain the urinary catheter in situ

vii. Urinary catheter is being used for continuous or intermittent bladder irrigation

8. When all the removal criteria has been met the registered nurse will:
   a. Document on the physician order sheet or within ProTouch “Discontinuation of indwelling urinary catheter according to the indwelling urinary catheter discontinuation protocol”
   b. Educate patient/family regarding the removal of the indwelling urinary catheter, the process for monitoring urinary output after removal, and the use of the bladder scanner for monitoring bladder residual.
   c. Document in the medical record the date and time the indwelling urinary catheter was removed, the output, color of urine, and patient’s response

9. After the indwelling urinary catheter is removed, the registered nurse will assess patient for adequate bladder emptying. Follow the post removal protocol below:
   a. Encourage oral fluid intake (unless contraindicated)
   b. Schedule toileting every 2-3 hours to provide opportunity to urinate. If ordered, encourage the patient to be out of bed to the bathroom, use bedside commode, or urinal (male and female).
   c. Perform bladder scan every 4 hours until spontaneous voiding resumes.
   d. If patient does not spontaneously void or voids <250 ml within 4 hours:
      i. Perform bladder scan
         1) If bladder volume is =>350 ml, perform straight cath.
         2) If bladder volume is <350 ml, rescan in 2 hours if patient has not spontaneously voided; perform straight catheterization when volume is >350 ml.
      ii. If straight catheterization urine is more than 500 ml, call physician for further orders.
   e. Urinary Retention can be a side effect of many medications; Review the patient’s medication list for medications that could contribute to urinary retention
      i. Often these are anticholinergic meds such as: antispasmodics, antihistamines, antidepressants, muscle relaxants and antiemetic’s
      ii. Consider contacting the physician to discontinue or reduce the dose
   f. Call physician if urinary output is <250 ml in over 8 hours.
   g. If bladder volume is <250 ml and patient is voiding, continue to monitor I & O.
   h. Document the patient outcome of the post urinary catheter removal in the medical record.
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# APPENDIX 4: FACILITY URINARY CATHER ROUNDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Room #</th>
<th>Patient Name</th>
<th>Catheter Necessity</th>
<th>Bag Placed Appropriately (Below level of the bladder)</th>
<th>Stat Lock</th>
<th>Seal in place and intact</th>
<th>Drainage Bag Not Overfilled</th>
<th>Pt. Name Label on Removal Container (Graduate, etc.)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
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**Discipline conducting Rounds:**

- # Observed: [Number]
- # Compliant: [Number]
- Percentage Compliant: [Percentage]

**Improved / Not Improved:**

- Improved: [Status]
- Not Improved: [Status]
## APPENDIX 5: PI URINARY CATHETER ROUNDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Urinary Catheter Rounds-Greensboro</th>
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